


# The Anchorage Community Survey, 2005

---

## *Sourcebook*



Justice Center

UNIVERSITY of ALASKA ANCHORAGE

### **Other Anchorage Community Indicators Publications**

(Available on the World Wide Web at: <http://justice.aua.alaska.edu/indicators/index.html>)

Series 1:

*Residents' Satisfaction with Core Government Services*

Series 2:

*Sexual Assault in Anchorage*

Series 3:

*Neighborhood Characteristics and Social Deviance*

**The  
Anchorage Community Survey, 2005**



# **The Anchorage Community Survey, 2005**

---

## *Sourcebook*

Brad A. Myrstol  
Robert H. Langworthy  
Justice Center  
University of Alaska Anchorage



A Publication of the Justice Center  
JC 0508.01

Justice Center  
University of Alaska Anchorage  
Anchorage, Alaska 99508

All rights reserved. Published by the Justice Center in 2005 (JC 0508.01)

© 2005 Justice Center, University of Alaska Anchorage

Printed in the United States of America

## Acknowledgments

---

We'd like to begin by acknowledging all those who participated in this study, whose thoughtful responses populate all the tables in this volume. Thank you! All of us involved with the ACS realize it is no small favor to give an interviewer 15 to 20 minutes of your day in order to answer a battery of questions which are sometimes fairly obscure. Thank you for your patience and generosity; we hope this *Sourcebook* puts some of the questions posed to you in a meaningful context. Please know that your responses will serve as an invaluable resource to the people of Anchorage for years to come and hold the potential to shape the future of this city.

It is only because of the contributions of a large cadre of people who demonstrated remarkable patience, persistence and a deep commitment to excellence that the idea of an *Anchorage Community Survey, 2005 Sourcebook* has been transformed into a reality. Special recognition goes to the six interviewers who saw the project through to its conclusion, and whose contributions went far beyond mere data collection. Other interviewers came and went, but only these few made it all the way to the end. To all those who use the information contained in these pages, you should know that the following individuals are the ones primarily responsible for its rigor and overall high quality: Miranda Burzinski; Debbie Cooper; Billye Crafton; Tabatha Harris; Nikos Pastos; and Nestlyne Sherman. A number of other interviewers made substantial contributions as well, and we would like to acknowledge each of them for their hard work as well: Karen Aleksa; Joel Hunt; Boris Lopez; Sonja McLemore; Elizabeth Percak-Dennett; and Jennyfer Thrailkill.

Thanks also go to all those who've worked behind the scenes at the Justice Center who often don't get the credit they deserve. As always, all those involved in the project owe a special debt of gratitude to the person who makes all of the research at the Justice Center go, Marie Brunner, our office manager, keeper of the books, and master coordinator of all things project-related. Research of this kind always entails impromptu requests for a whole litany of esoteric odds and ends—from coding labels to anti-bacterial alcohol wipes to AA batteries—that always seem to escape the pre-project shopping list, but which always prove to be central to getting the research *done*. The receiver of all these ad hoc requests is Amy Perkins, who not only manages to fill them, but who makes it happen with enthusiasm and a smile. We would also like to thank Alan McKelvie for all his help with the inevitable networking and hardware issues that CATI interviewing produces. It is such a relief to know that no matter what problem creeps up a workable solution will be forthcoming. Brad would also like to thank Alan personally for filling in when the 7-day a week, nights and weekends schedule got to be too much. Thanks for the breather! Many thanks also go out to Antonia Moras all the help she provided in getting the *Sourcebook* ready to see the light of day. Finally, we'd like to acknowledge Melissa Green for her helpful suggestions on table layout and the preparation of the *Sourcebook's* orienting maps.

— Brad Myrstol & Bob Langworthy  
April 2005

*Anchorage Community Survey, 2005*

**Research Team**

**Principal Investigators**

Robert H. Langworthy, Ph.D.  
*Director, the Justice Center at UAA*

Brad A. Myrstol, M.A.  
*Research Associate, the Justice Center at UAA*

**Project Director**

Brad A. Myrstol

**Research Interviewers**

Karen Aleksa  
Miranda L. Burzinski  
Deborah S. Cooper  
Billye R. Crafton  
Tabatha Harris  
Joel Hunt  
Boris Lopez  
Sonja McLemore  
Nikos Pastos  
Elizabeth Percak-Dennett  
Nestlyne Sherman  
Jennyfer Thrailkill



## Contents

---

Acknowledgments .....	v
Research Team .....	vi
Contents .....	vii
<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Section 1 Anchorage Community Capacity .....</b>	<b>9</b>
Local Community Capacity .....	11
Local Government Capacity .....	63
Criminal Justice Capacity .....	95
<b>Section 2 Research in Focus: Anchorage Police Department .....</b>	<b>139</b>
<b>Section 3 Respondent Demographics .....</b>	<b>177</b>
<b>Section 4 Survey Addenda .....</b>	<b>199</b>
Collective Deterrence .....	201
Perceptions of Youth Violence .....	225
Municipal Spending & Services .....	245
Perceptions of Public Health Issues .....	271
<b>Section 5 Appendices .....</b>	<b>297</b>
Appendix A: Methodology .....	299
Appendix B: Survey Sample .....	307
Appendix C: Addenda Samples .....	313
Appendix D: Questionnaire .....	319



## Introduction

The *Anchorage Community Survey* is a biannual study conducted by the Justice Center at the University of Alaska Anchorage as part of the Center's *Anchorage Community Indicators Project* (ACI). The ACI is an ongoing research effort developed with two aims in mind: 1) to systematically collect information that can be used to construct comprehensive descriptions of the social dynamics of Anchorage communities; and 2) to build a multi-disciplinary research platform for use by researchers, policymakers, and practitioners interested in the investigation of community contexts. As part of the ACI, the *Anchorage Community Survey* (ACS) is designed to contribute valuable information about the nature and quality of social life within the network of communities forming the municipality of Anchorage. The work for the *Anchorage Community Survey, 2005* took place over a five-month time period beginning in October of 2004 and ending in February 2005. A randomly selected sample of 2,485 adult residents participated.<sup>1</sup>

A significant impetus for the ACS was the realization that current, in-depth descriptions of Anchorage communities<sup>2</sup> detailing their complexity, dynamism and richness were in short supply. A major shortcoming of existing descriptions of Anchorage communities is that they usually rely solely on data collected by governmental bodies, particularly the information collected every ten years by the United States Census Bureau<sup>3</sup>.

Although data collected by the U.S. Census Bureau provide essential information about the character of Anchorage communities, they suffer from two notable flaws. First, descriptions of Anchorage communities that rely solely on census data, or other governmental information sources, are typically limited to the city as a whole—the “Anchorage community.” And, while residents of Anchorage can certainly be thought of as members of a single over-arching community, each person is also a member of one or more smaller communities, many of which lie within a micro-geography of neighborhoods. People also belong to communities not bounded by geography, but instead comprise individuals who share similar concerns and points of view – what are often termed communities of interest. A comprehensive approach to the study of Anchorage communities, therefore, must be flexible enough account for multiple community memberships across multiple levels. The ACS accomplishes this task by not only measuring community along lines of social membership (demographic characteristics and common interests), but also by allowing researchers to place respondents within geographical context (neighborhoods) using spatial referencing techniques.

The second problem with descriptions of community that rely on governmental data is that while these pieces of information describe the social and economic structures of a community, they provide little nuance with respect to the nature of life within human communities—the things that make life pleasant or intolerable. For example, a community's median household income tells us a bit about its overall economic health and system of stratification, but provides us with little to go on with respect to the actual well-being of the people who live there. In order to access the more “subterranean” aspects of community, researchers must take account of more subtle quality-of-life

---

<sup>1</sup> Details of the sampling protocol used for the study are provided in Appendix A.

<sup>2</sup> The term community as used here, is defined in sociological terms as a collection of people who share demography, geography or interests, and who also share some degree of interdependency.

<sup>3</sup> To access the data from the most recent decennial census go to the U.S. Census Bureau's Census 2000 Gateway at <http://www.census.gov/main/www/cen2000.html>.

issues, posing such questions as: What problems are most salient for the people who live in Anchorage communities? Are community members able to identify issues of mutual concern? Assuming they can, do they then have the capacity to respond to them? Do some communities suffer social problems disproportionately? Do the institutions of local government adequately address the needs of Anchorage communities? It's just these sorts of questions for which the ACS seeks to provide answers.

## Community Capacity

*Community capacity* is the central organizing concept of the Justice Center's approach to its study of Anchorage communities. By community capacity we mean the ability of a community to define and identify issues of mutual concern and to mobilize local resources to address them. Within the ACS community capacity is measured across three domains:

- **Local community capacity:** the capacity of localized communities to identify problems—particularly problems of crime, delinquency and public disorder—and mobilize informal, grassroots responses them;
- **Local government capacity:** addresses the ability of local government to deliver several essential government services that make a significant contribution to the overall well-being of Anchorage communities; and
- **Criminal justice capacity:** the capacity of city and state governmental institutions to deliver formal social controls in response to problems of crime and social disorder.

Each of these dimensions is discussed in greater detail in their respective sections of this *Sourcebook*.

## Organization of the *Sourcebook*

The *Anchorage Community Survey, 2005 Sourcebook* contains four substantive sections along with appendices detailing the study's methodology, instrumentation, sampling adequacy and rate of response. Section I of the *Sourcebook* contains three sub-sections detailing each dimension of community capacity. Section II presents results from this year's particular research focus topic: residents' perceptions, attitudes and evaluations of the Anchorage police department. Section III provides a summary of the demographic and household characteristics of those who participated in the survey. Finally, Section IV presents the results of the four survey addenda incorporated into the *Anchorage Community Survey, 2005*, each of which has a unique topical focus.

In Section I (Anchorage Community Capacity) and Section II (Research in Focus: Anchorage Police), each survey item is presented in a series of three tables showing the distribution of responses according to community council area (refer to orienting maps beginning on following page), select individual demographic characteristics, and select household characteristics. Section III presents the distribution of responses to each survey question according to demographic and household characteristics.

Finally, Section IV contains results to the four survey addenda included in this year's ACS. Each addendum was administered to a randomly selected sub-sample of respondents at the conclusion


of the first three sections of the survey<sup>4</sup>. Topics covered by the addenda include: a) residents' perceptions of sanctions for the commission of gun crimes; b) residents' beliefs and attitudes about youth violence in Anchorage; c) opinions of and experiences with local government services and programs; and d) views of select public health issues. Because the survey addenda were administered to sub-samples of ACS respondents, their sample sizes are much smaller than those of Sections I, II, and III; and, as a consequence of the relatively small sample sizes, these data are not presented according to community council areas.

---

<sup>4</sup> See Tables C1 thru C3 in the Appendix for the distribution of addenda respondents across each community council area, individual demographics, and household characteristics.











Chugiak-Eagle River Area Community Councils





## **Section 1**

### **Anchorage Community Capacity**

---



## **Local Community Capacity**



## Local Community Capacity—An Introduction

An understanding of community capacity is central to the evolution of strategies for strengthening communities. Hallmarks of localized community capacity include: social cohesion and trust; capacity for informal social control; and, active participation in local social institutions by residents. Collectively, these characteristics are known as social capital, and are thought to be important elements in assessing the strength of community. The argument is that communities characterized by higher levels of cohesion and trust, community-level social controls, and institutional participation will be more resilient than communities lacking such traits.

When we speak of localized communities we are referring specifically to contextually specific social collectives that share certain demography, interests, or geography, and which are also interdependent. The spatial context in which a social collective is situated—that is, a *neighborhood community*—is perhaps what most people think of when the term community is invoked. However, while localized communities are often bounded by geography, in our highly mobile society where people regularly commute ten miles or more to sites of work, education, worship and recreation, geographical definitions of community can become overly limiting. Therefore, we also include in our conceptualization of community the notion that social groups cohere along social structural lines, as well as those of mutual interest and concern—commonly known as *communities of interest*. In order to reflect these orientations, each survey item will be presented according to community council area (neighborhood communities), and individual demographics and household characteristics (communities of interest).

Contained within this section are 48 tables providing a sketch of *local community capacity* within Anchorage—the capacity of localized communities to identify problems—particularly problems of crime, delinquency and public disorder—and mobilize informal, grassroots responses to them. We have measured this concept along the three dimensions of social capital mentioned previously, with each designed to evaluate different, yet important, aspects of a community’s ability to recognize problems and organize to respond to them. These dimensions are:

- **Social cohesion and trust** (Questions 1–5);
- **Informal social control** (Questions 6–10); and
- **Social participation** (Questions 11–16).





**Public Perceptions of Social Cohesion & Trust, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "People in your neighborhood can be trusted."

*"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	26.5%	57.3%	6.8%	4.9%	1.4%	2.2%	0.9%
<b>Community Council Area</b>								
Abbott Loop	77	24.7%	53.2%	10.4%	2.6%	5.2%	2.6%	1.3%
Airport Heights	100	22.0	59.0	7.0	8.0	2.0	1.0	1.0
Basher	5	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	25.3	65.9	4.4	4.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bear Valley	9	33.3	55.6	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	21.9	65.6	6.3	3.1	0.0	0.0	3.1
Campbell Park	78	11.5	62.8	5.1	6.4	2.6	7.7	3.9
Chugiak	104	34.6	55.8	6.7	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.9
Downtown	11	27.3	36.4	18.2	18.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Eagle River	93	28.0	52.7	7.5	8.6	0.0	2.2	1.1
Eagle River Valley	110	37.3	57.3	4.5	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	4.4	52.9	17.6	16.2	2.9	1.5	4.5
Girdwood	66	47.0	48.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0
Glen Alps	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	16.7	53.3	13.3	3.3	6.7	6.7	0.0
Hillside East	56	32.1	60.7	5.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8
Huffman/O'Malley	121	38.8	51.2	4.1	1.7	0.8	1.7	1.7
Mid-Hillside	119	33.6	53.8	5.9	1.7	0.8	3.4	0.8
Mountain View	9	0.0	55.6	22.2	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	18.8	59.4	11.6	7.2	1.4	1.6	0.0
Northeast	72	20.8	51.4	9.7	11.1	2.8	2.8	1.4
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	32.1	52.8	6.6	1.9	0.9	2.8	2.9
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	37.7	53.3	3.3	1.6	0.0	3.3	0.8
Rogers Park	106	28.3	60.4	4.7	4.7	0.9	1.0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	67	9.0	58.2	9.0	10.4	7.5	5.9	0.0
Sand Lake	105	26.7	60.0	6.7	2.9	1.0	2.7	0.0
Scenic Foothills	88	27.3	67.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	3.4	0.0
South Addition	101	25.7	62.4	7.9	3.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
South Fork	7	14.3	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Spenard	71	15.5	57.7	7.0	11.3	4.2	2.8	1.5
Taku/Campbell	100	16.0	61.0	11.0	7.0	0.0	5.0	0.0
Tudor Area	49	32.7	59.2	4.1	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
Turnagain	80	28.8	60.0	3.8	5.0	1.3	1.1	0.0
University Area	81	24.7	48.1	7.4	14.8	2.5	1.2	1.3
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	17.5	62.5	10.0	6.3	1.3	1.3	1.1

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – 14 February, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Public Perceptions of Social Cohesion & Trust, by Select Demographics Characteristics**

Question: "People in your neighborhood can be trusted."

*"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	26.5%	57.3%	6.8%	4.9%	1.4%	2.2%	0.9%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>								
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	21.9%	56.3%	10.4%	7.3%	1.0%	2.1%	1.0%
Asian (only)	42	23.8	50.0	11.9	11.9	0.0	2.4	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	1.9	61.1	14.8	14.8	5.6	1.8	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	16.7	66.7	0.0	8.3	0.0	8.3	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	28.1	58.1	6.3	4.2	1.3	1.9	0.1
All Other	199	24.1	57.8	6.0	6.0	2.5	3.0	0.6
Missing/Refuse	108	19.4	42.6	9.3	5.6	0.0	5.6	17.5
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>								
Yes	76	17.1%	60.5%	9.2%	6.6%	2.6%	4.0%	0.0%
No	2,393	26.8	57.2	6.7	4.8	1.3	2.1	1.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.4	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	15.4	69.2	7.7	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	1,378	29.3%	53.0%	7.0%	5.2%	1.3%	2.7%	1.5%
Male	1,105	23.0	62.6	6.5	4.6	1.4	1.6	0.3
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>								
18 – 24 yrs	101	9.9%	59.4%	8.9%	12.9%	4.0%	4.0%	0.9%
25 – 34 yrs	259	16.2	56.4	13.9	9.3	1.9	1.5	0.8
35 – 44 yrs	407	26.0	58.0	6.4	5.2	1.7	2.0	0.7
45 – 54 yrs	738	29.5	56.9	6.0	4.7	0.9	1.2	0.8
55 – 64 yrs	540	29.1	55.7	7.8	3.0	1.5	2.4	0.5
65 yrs & up	436	28.7	59.9	2.8	3.0	0.7	3.9	1.0
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>								
No degree	25	16.0%	60.0%	16.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	385	23.6	51.9	7.8	10.4	2.9	3.4	0.0
1+ yrs. college, no degree	638	20.8	61.1	8.8	5.0	1.6	2.5	0.2
Associate's degree	206	18.4	59.7	8.7	7.8	1.5	3.4	0.5
Bachelor's degree	606	32.8	57.6	5.1	2.6	0.8	1.1	0.0
Graduate/professional degree	545	33.0	57.6	4.4	2.2	0.9	1.3	0.6
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	16.0	38.7	8.0	8.0	0.0	5.3	24.0
<b>Primary work status</b>								
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	26.3%	58.6%	6.9%	4.6%	1.3%	2.0%	0.3%
Full-time homemaker	138	41.3	44.2	5.1	6.5	2.2	0.7	0.0
Part-time	163	27.0	58.9	6.1	5.5	1.2	1.3	0.0
Unemployed	97	19.6	60.8	10.3	8.2	1.1	0.0	0.0
Disabled for work	41	12.2	48.8	17.1	9.8	4.9	7.2	0.0
In school only	32	9.4	65.6	21.9	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Retired	446	27.8	60.8	4.5	2.2	0.9	3.4	0.4
Other	109	26.6	53.2	5.5	10.1	2.8	1.8	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	18.2	36.4	7.8	7.8	1.3	5.2	23.3
<b>Residency</b>								
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: Yes	1,780	28.9%	58.0%	5.9%	3.9%	1.3%	1.6%	0.4%
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: No	704	20.3	55.4	9.1	7.5	1.4	3.7	2.6
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Public Perceptions of Social Cohesion & Trust, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "People in your neighborhood can be trusted."

*"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	26.5%	57.3%	6.8%	4.9%	1.4%	2.2%	0.9%
<b>Household Size</b>								
1 – 3 residents	1,727	26.5%	57.8%	6.8%	5.0%	1.4%	2.3%	0.2%
4 – 6 residents	631	28.2	58.2	6.3	4.0	1.3	1.9	0.1
7 or more residents	43	20.9	58.1	7.0	11.6	2.4	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	84	16.7	39.3	9.5	7.1	0.0	4.8	22.6
<b>Income (household)</b>								
Less than \$15,000	72	13.9%	47.2%	16.7%	15.3%	1.4%	5.5%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	97	9.3	55.7	12.4	11.3	7.2	4.1	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	367	18.8	59.7	9.8	7.1	1.9	2.7	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	27.0	57.8	6.3	5.6	1.5	1.8	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	26.0	58.7	6.3	6.6	0.6	1.5	0.3
\$80,000 or more	883	32.0	59.0	4.5	2.5	0.8	1.0	0.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	27.7	53.8	10.1	2.5	2.5	3.4	0.0
Missing/Refuse	343	27.4	52.5	5.5	3.5	0.9	4.1	6.1

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Public Perceptions of Social Cohesion & Trust, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "People in your neighborhood generally don't get along with each other."

*"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	1.0%	5.5%	5.6%	62.8%	21.7%	2.4%	1.0%
<b>Community Council Area</b>								
Abbott Loop	77	1.3%	5.2%	5.2%	67.5%	18.2%	1.3%	1.3%
Airport Heights	100	3.0	3.0	2.0	68.0	21.0	3.0	0.0
Basher	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	0.0	0.0	2.2	68.1	28.6	1.1	0.0
Bear Valley	9	0.0	11.1	0.0	44.4	44.5	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	6.3	9.4	3.1	46.9	31.3	0.0	3.0
Campbell Park	78	2.6	9.0	6.4	65.4	11.5	2.6	2.5
Chugiak	104	0.0	2.9	3.8	62.5	28.8	1.0	1.0
Downtown	11	0.0	9.1	0.0	90.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
Eagle River	93	1.1	2.2	8.6	66.7	19.4	1.1	0.9
Eagle River Valley	110	0.0	5.5	3.6	57.3	31.8	0.9	0.9
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	1.5	13.2	10.3	57.4	8.8	4.4	4.4
Girdwood	66	0.0	4.5	3.0	56.1	31.8	3.0	1.6
Glen Alps	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	3.3	13.3	3.3	53.3	20.0	6.8	0.0
Hillside East	56	1.8	0.0	7.1	66.1	23.2	0.0	1.8
Huffman/O'Malley	121	0.8	4.1	2.5	55.4	34.7	0.8	1.7
Mid-Hillside	119	0.0	5.9	3.4	63.9	22.7	3.4	0.7
Mountain View	9	0.0	11.1	11.1	77.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	0.0	5.8	11.6	63.8	14.5	2.9	1.4
Northeast	72	0.0	9.7	5.6	55.6	22.2	5.6	1.3
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	0.9	0.9	3.8	67.0	22.6	2.8	2.0
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	0.8	6.6	2.5	61.5	26.2	2.4	0.0
Rogers Park	106	2.8	5.7	9.4	63.2	16.0	1.9	1.0
Russian Jack Park	67	4.5	6.0	7.5	67.2	11.9	1.5	1.4
Sand Lake	105	0.0	7.6	9.5	60.0	22.9	0.0	0.0
Scenic Foothills	88	1.1	4.5	5.7	65.9	21.6	1.2	0.0
South Addition	101	0.0	5.0	6.9	59.4	26.7	2.0	0.0
South Fork	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.7	14.3	0.0	0.0
Spenard	71	0.0	8.5	9.9	54.9	15.5	9.9	1.3
Taku/Campbell	100	0.0	9.0	5.0	66.0	16.0	4.0	0.0
Tudor Area	49	2.0	4.1	4.1	61.2	26.5	2.1	0.0
Turnagain	80	0.0	2.5	3.8	72.5	20.0	1.2	0.0
University Area	81	1.2	8.6	6.2	61.7	14.8	6.2	1.3
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	0.0	6.3	11.3	66.3	12.5	2.5	1.1

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Public Perceptions of Social Cohesion & Trust, by Select Demographics Characteristics**

Question: "People in your neighborhood generally don't get along with each other."

*"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	1.0%	5.5%	5.6%	62.8%	21.7%	2.5%	0.9%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>								
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	4.2%	6.3%	10.4%	63.5%	14.6%	0.0%	1.0%
Asian (only)	42	0.0	14.3	9.5	61.9	14.3	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	1.9	7.4	11.1	61.1	14.8	3.7	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	0.0	8.3	0.0	66.7	16.7	8.3	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	0.8	5.1	5.3	63.5	22.8	2.4	0.1
All Other	199	1.5	7.0	4.0	59.3	23.6	4.6	0.0
Missing/Refuse	108	0.0	5.6	5.6	56.5	11.1	1.9	19.3
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>								
Yes	76	1.3%	11.8%	9.2%	57.9%	17.1%	2.7%	0.0%
No	2,393	1.0	5.3	5.5	62.8	22.0	2.4	1.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	0.0	0.0	7.7	84.6	7.7	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	1,378	0.9%	5.2%	5.9%	59.5%	24.0%	2.8%	1.7%
Male	1,105	1.0	6.0	5.2	66.8	18.9	2.0	0.1
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>								
18 – 24 yrs	101	3.0%	7.9%	10.9%	63.4%	12.9%	1.0%	0.9%
25 – 34 yrs	259	0.4	6.6	12.4	61.4	17.0	1.5	0.7
35 – 44 yrs	407	2.0	4.7	4.7	65.1	20.6	2.5	0.4
45 – 54 yrs	738	0.7	6.0	5.4	60.4	25.1	1.9	0.5
55 – 64 yrs	540	0.6	4.6	5.2	63.5	23.0	2.4	0.7
65 yrs & up	436	0.9	5.5	2.1	64.9	20.6	4.4	1.6
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>								
No degree	25	0.0%	16.0%	4.0%	52.0%	16.0%	12.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	385	2.9	9.9	5.5	62.1	16.1	3.5	0.0
1+ yrs. college, no degree	638	0.9	6.3	7.2	63.6	19.3	2.5	0.2
Associate's degree	206	1.0	5.3	9.7	60.7	18.9	3.9	0.5
Bachelor's degree	606	0.3	3.6	4.8	63.2	26.6	1.3	0.2
Graduate/professional degree	545	0.6	2.6	3.3	65.0	26.8	1.7	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	0.0	20.0	0.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	0.0	9.3	5.3	49.3	5.3	2.7	28.1
<b>Primary work status</b>								
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	0.4%	5.4%	6.4%	62.7%	23.1%	1.9%	0.1%
Full-time homemaker	138	0.7	4.3	5.8	56.5	30.4	2.3	0.0
Part-time	163	1.8	3.7	3.1	68.7	21.5	1.2	0.0
Unemployed	97	2.1	7.2	12.4	59.8	15.5	3.0	0.0
Disabled for work	41	7.3	9.8	14.6	53.7	12.2	2.4	0.0
In school only	32	3.1	9.4	3.1	65.6	15.6	3.2	0.0
Retired	446	0.7	5.4	2.7	66.6	20.0	4.3	0.3
Other	109	4.6	2.8	3.7	63.3	22.0	3.6	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	0.0	11.7	3.9	46.8	7.8	2.6	27.2
<b>Residency</b>								
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: Yes	1,780	1.0%	4.9%	4.5%	63.7%	23.6%	2.2%	0.1%
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: No	704	1.0	7.1	8.4	60.4	17.0	3.1	3.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Public Perceptions of Social Cohesion & Trust, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "People in your neighborhood generally don't get along with each other."

"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	1.0%	5.5%	5.6%	62.8%	21.7%	2.5%	0.9%
<b>Household Size</b>								
1 – 3 residents	1,727	0.9%	5.2%	5.6%	63.9%	21.7%	2.6%	0.1%
4 – 6 residents	631	1.3	5.5	6.0	61.6	23.6	1.7	0.3
7 or more residents	43	0.0	14.0	2.3	62.8	18.6	2.3	0.0
Missing/Refuse	84	0.0	7.1	4.8	48.8	9.5	3.6	26.2
<b>Income (household)</b>								
Less than \$15,000	72	4.2%	9.7%	9.7%	61.1%	9.7%	5.6%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	97	0.0	14.4	10.3	59.8	12.4	3.1	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	367	1.1	7.1	9.5	63.5	14.7	4.1	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	1.5	5.2	7.0	63.7	20.7	1.9	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	0.9	4.2	4.8	66.5	21.3	2.1	0.2
\$80,000 or more	883	0.2	4.2	4.2	61.7	28.5	1.0	0.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	1.7	0.0	3.4	63.9	23.5	7.5	0.0
Missing/Refuse	343	1.7	7.3	3.2	61.2	17.5	2.6	6.5

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Public Perceptions of Social Cohesion & Trust, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "People in your neighborhood do not share the same values."

*"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	2.6%	20.1%	13.7%	42.9%	9.1%	10.0%	1.6%
<b>Community Council Area</b>								
Abbott Loop	77	5.2%	22.1%	14.3%	39.0%	5.2%	11.7%	2.5%
Airport Heights	100	5.0	15.0	20.0	37.0	9.0	13.0	1.0
Basher	5	0.0	20.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	1.1	11.0	13.2	52.7	8.8	13.2	0.0
Bear Valley	9	11.1	22.2	11.1	22.2	22.2	11.2	0.0
Birchwood	32	0.0	28.1	6.3	31.3	9.4	21.9	3.0
Campbell Park	78	2.6	28.2	20.5	34.6	2.6	9.0	2.5
Chugiak	104	2.9	17.3	16.3	44.2	12.5	5.8	1.0
Downtown	11	0.0	36.4	18.2	27.3	9.1	9.0	0.0
Eagle River	93	1.1	21.5	14.0	46.2	6.5	6.5	4.2
Eagle River Valley	110	0.9	8.2	13.6	52.7	18.2	5.5	0.9
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	5.9	33.8	17.6	30.9	2.9	4.4	4.5
Girdwood	66	4.5	16.7	18.2	40.9	13.6	6.1	0.0
Glen Alps	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	0.0	26.7	13.3	36.7	3.3	16.7	3.3
Hillside East	56	1.8	10.7	14.3	57.1	5.4	7.1	3.6
Huffman/O'Malley	121	1.7	14.9	14.9	46.3	14.9	5.8	1.5
Mid-Hillside	119	2.5	10.9	13.4	43.7	16.0	11.8	1.7
Mountain View	9	0.0	55.6	22.2	11.1	0.0	11.1	0.0
North Star	69	1.4	23.2	17.4	39.1	8.7	8.7	1.5
Northeast	72	2.8	23.6	9.7	36.1	6.9	19.4	1.5
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	0.9	16.0	10.4	52.8	5.7	11.3	2.9
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	1.6	15.6	12.3	52.5	9.0	7.4	1.6
Rogers Park	106	1.9	22.6	7.5	50.0	7.5	8.5	2.0
Russian Jack Park	67	3.0	25.4	9.0	38.8	9.0	10.4	4.4
Sand Lake	105	4.8	16.2	14.3	47.6	8.6	7.6	0.9
Scenic Foothills	88	1.1	22.7	9.1	47.7	8.0	11.4	0.0
South Addition	101	1.0	20.8	17.8	40.6	12.9	6.9	0.0
South Fork	7	0.0	28.6	14.3	57.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Spenard	71	4.2	26.8	16.9	22.5	8.5	19.7	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	6.0	25.0	13.0	40.0	3.0	12.0	1.0
Tudor Area	49	4.1	30.6	12.2	32.7	10.2	10.2	0.0
Turnagain	80	2.5	23.8	6.3	42.5	11.3	13.6	0.0
University Area	81	3.7	27.2	9.9	33.3	9.9	14.8	1.2
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	0.0	23.8	16.3	45.0	5.0	8.8	1.1

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – 14 February, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Public Perceptions of Social Cohesion & Trust, by Select Demographics Characteristics**

Question: "People in your neighborhood do not share the same values."

*"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	2.6%	20.1%	13.7%	42.9%	9.1%	10.0%	1.6%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>								
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	3.1%	22.9%	16.7%	34.4%	8.3%	13.5%	1.0%
Asian (only)	42	2.4	28.6	14.3	33.3	9.5	11.9	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	5.6	31.5	7.4	38.9	5.6	9.3	1.9
Pacific Islander (only)	12	8.3	33.3	0.0	41.7	8.3	8.4	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	2.5	19.1	14.3	44.8	9.4	9.4	0.5
All Other	199	2.5	23.1	10.1	40.2	10.1	13.6	0.5
Missing/Refuse	108	0.9	20.4	11.1	27.8	3.7	11.1	25.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>								
Yes	76	1.3%	26.3%	13.2%	32.9%	9.2%	15.8%	1.3%
No	2,393	2.6	19.8	13.7	43.4	9.2	9.8	1.6
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	0.0	30.8	23.1	30.8	0.0	15.4	0.0
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	1,378	2.9%	20.5%	12.6%	40.1%	10.7%	10.8%	2.4%
Male	1,105	2.2	19.7	15.0	46.5	7.1	9.0	0.5
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>								
18 – 24 yrs	101	0.0%	24.8%	22.8%	36.6%	7.9%	6.9%	1.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	3.1	21.6	21.6	37.8	5.0	10.0	0.8
35 – 44 yrs	407	2.5	20.6	12.8	48.6	5.7	8.8	1.0
45 – 54 yrs	738	3.4	18.6	12.6	44.2	11.8	8.5	0.9
55 – 64 yrs	540	2.0	19.4	11.5	46.9	8.9	9.8	1.5
65 yrs & up	436	2.3	21.3	12.4	35.6	10.8	14.7	3.0
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>								
No degree	25	4.0%	16.0%	12.0%	20.0%	16.0%	32.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	385	2.9	23.6	14.5	36.1	6.8	15.6	0.5
1+ yrs. college, no degree	638	2.8	21.8	13.2	44.5	7.7	9.7	0.3
Associate's degree	206	3.9	20.9	14.1	40.8	8.7	10.7	1.0
Bachelor's degree	606	2.0	18.3	12.2	47.5	10.7	8.7	0.5
Graduate/professional degree	545	2.4	17.1	15.8	46.2	11.7	6.2	0.6
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	0.0	20.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	1.3	24.0	9.3	16.0	0.0	13.3	36.0
<b>Primary work status</b>								
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	2.2%	19.5%	13.6%	46.6%	9.3%	8.5%	0.4%
Full-time homemaker	138	3.6	22.5	14.5	39.9	13.0	6.5	0.0
Part-time	163	3.7	18.4	13.5	41.7	12.9	9.8	0.0
Unemployed	97	4.1	25.8	21.6	29.9	6.2	11.3	1.0
Disabled for work	41	9.8	29.3	12.2	31.7	4.9	9.8	2.4
In school only	32	3.1	15.6	31.3	43.8	0.0	6.3	0.0
Retired	446	1.8	19.7	10.8	41.9	10.1	14.8	0.9
Other	109	4.6	19.3	18.3	39.4	4.6	13.8	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	1.3	24.7	7.8	18.2	1.3	11.7	35.1
<b>Residency</b>								
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: Yes	1,780	2.2%	20.4%	13.1%	44.4%	10.1%	9.3%	0.5%
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: No	704	3.6	19.5	15.1	39.3	6.5	11.8	4.3
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.



**Public Perceptions of Social Cohesion & Trust, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "People in your neighborhood do not share the same values."

*"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	2.6%	20.1%	13.7%	42.9%	9.1%	10.0%	1.6%
<b>Household Size</b>								
1 – 3 residents	1,727	2.3%	20.0%	13.7%	43.3%	9.4%	10.9%	0.4%
4 – 6 residents	631	3.6	19.2	14.4	45.6	9.4	7.3	0.5
7 or more residents	43	2.3	34.9	16.3	37.2	2.3	7.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	84	1.2	22.6	7.1	19.0	3.6	13.1	33.4
<b>Income (household)</b>								
Less than \$15,000	72	4.2%	27.8%	12.5%	38.9%	5.6%	11.0%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	97	4.1	25.8	19.6	27.8	6.2	15.5	1.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	367	4.1	23.7	13.6	34.3	6.8	16.1	1.4
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	3.3	26.7	14.1	40.0	7.4	8.5	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	2.1	19.8	12.9	46.7	9.6	8.7	0.3
\$80,000 or more	883	1.7	16.5	14.2	50.6	11.3	5.4	0.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	1.7	11.8	19.3	37.8	7.6	21.8	0.0
Missing/Refuse	343	2.6	20.4	9.6	37.9	8.7	12.0	8.8

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Public Perceptions of Social Cohesion & Trust, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "People in your neighborhood are willing to help their neighbors."

*"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	<b>24.3%</b>	<b>62.6%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>
<b>Community Council Area</b>								
Abbott Loop	77	22.1%	59.7%	7.8%	5.2%	0.0%	2.6%	2.6%
Airport Heights	100	26.0	65.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0
Basher	5	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	31.9	57.1	6.6	3.3	0.0	1.1	0.0
Bear Valley	9	33.3	55.6	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	21.9	71.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	3.1
Campbell Park	78	14.1	60.3	6.4	10.3	1.3	5.1	2.6
Chugiak	104	36.5	57.7	2.9	1.9	0.0	0.0	1.0
Downtown	11	18.2	72.7	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Eagle River	93	23.7	60.2	5.4	8.6	0.0	0.0	2.2
Eagle River Valley	110	29.1	61.8	3.6	2.7	0.9	0.9	1.0
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	10.3	58.8	5.9	16.2	1.5	2.9	4.4
Girdwood	66	40.9	57.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0
Glen Alps	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	30.0	50.0	3.3	3.3	0.0	10.0	3.3
Hillside East	56	23.2	64.3	7.1	3.6	0.0	0.0	1.8
Huffman/O'Malley	121	28.9	57.9	5.0	5.0	0.8	0.8	1.7
Mid-Hillside	119	30.3	63.0	1.7	0.8	0.0	3.4	0.8
Mountain View	9	11.1	88.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	13.0	71.0	8.7	4.3	0.0	1.4	1.4
Northeast	72	18.1	61.1	6.9	8.3	0.0	4.2	1.4
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	22.6	64.2	4.7	2.8	0.0	2.8	2.8
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	32.8	61.5	1.6	2.5	0.0	0.8	0.8
Rogers Park	106	20.8	65.1	6.6	3.8	0.9	1.9	0.9
Russian Jack Park	67	19.4	59.7	6.0	7.5	3.0	1.5	3.0
Sand Lake	105	22.9	67.6	6.7	0.0	1.9	0.9	0.0
Scenic Foothills	88	23.9	67.0	2.3	4.5	0.0	2.3	0.0
South Addition	101	23.8	66.3	3.0	4.0	1.0	2.0	0.0
South Fork	7	42.9	57.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Spennard	71	21.1	54.9	8.5	9.9	1.4	2.8	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	16.0	71.0	4.0	7.0	0.0	2.0	0.0
Tudor Area	49	24.5	67.3	4.1	4.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Turnagain	80	22.5	68.8	5.0	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
University Area	81	19.8	60.5	7.4	7.4	0.0	3.7	1.2
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	18.8	57.5	11.3	8.8	0.0	1.3	2.5

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – 14 February, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Public Perceptions of Social Cohesion & Trust, by Select Demographics Characteristics**

Question: "People in your neighborhood are willing to help their neighbors."

*"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	24.3%	62.6%	5.0%	4.6%	0.5%	1.9%	1.1%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>								
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	21.9%	57.3%	10.4%	9.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%
Asian (only)	42	23.8	61.9	4.8	7.1	0.0	2.4	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	20.4	59.3	5.6	11.1	0.0	3.7	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	25.3	63.8	4.5	4.2	0.6	1.5	0.1
All Other	199	24.1	57.3	7.0	7.0	0.5	4.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	108	9.3	54.6	6.5	0.0	0.0	3.7	25.9
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>								
Yes	76	18.4%	64.5%	2.6%	10.5%	0.0%	2.6%	1.4%
No	2,393	24.6	62.4	5.1	4.4	0.5	1.8	1.2
Don't Know/ Not Applicable	3	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	1,378	27.7%	58.3%	4.8%	4.6%	0.6%	1.9%	2.1%
Male	1,105	20.0	67.8	5.2	4.6	0.4	1.8	0.2
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>								
18 – 24 yrs	101	15.8%	60.4%	9.9%	8.9%	1.0%	3.0%	1.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	19.3	63.7	8.9	5.8	0.4	1.2	0.7
35 – 44 yrs	407	27.0	57.7	6.6	5.2	0.7	2.2	0.5
45 – 54 yrs	738	26.2	61.7	5.1	4.1	0.5	1.6	0.8
55 – 64 yrs	540	22.8	66.5	2.6	4.6	0.6	1.7	1.2
65 yrs & up	436	25.5	64.2	2.8	3.2	0.0	2.3	2.1
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>								
No degree	25	24.0%	52.0%	12.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	385	23.9	57.4	6.5	9.6	0.8	1.8	0.0
1+ yrs. college, no degree	638	22.4	63.2	5.6	5.6	0.6	2.4	0.2
Associate's degree	206	18.9	65.5	6.8	4.9	0.5	2.4	1.0
Bachelor's degree	606	27.1	64.9	4.6	2.3	0.0	1.2	0.0
Graduate/professional degree	545	27.5	65.1	2.6	2.9	0.7	1.1	0.0
Don't Know/ Not Applicable	5	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	8.0	44.0	5.3	1.3	0.0	4.0	37.4
<b>Primary work status</b>								
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	23.2%	64.3%	5.5%	4.8%	0.4%	1.7%	0.1%
Full-time homemaker	138	34.8	56.5	2.9	3.6	0.7	1.5	0.0
Part-time	163	27.6	61.3	3.7	4.9	0.6	1.8	0.0
Unemployed	97	21.6	63.9	5.2	7.2	1.0	1.0	0.0
Disabled for work	41	31.7	36.6	14.6	9.8	2.4	4.9	0.0
In school only	32	9.4	71.9	9.4	9.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
Retired	446	25.8	65.0	3.4	3.4	0.0	2.2	0.2
Other	109	27.5	59.6	4.6	4.6	1.8	1.8	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	9.1	44.2	5.2	1.3	0.0	3.9	36.4
<b>Residency</b>								
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: Yes	1,780	26.5%	63.5%	4.0%	4.1%	0.6%	1.1%	0.2%
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: No	704	18.6	60.1	7.4	5.8	0.3	3.7	4.1
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Public Perceptions of Social Cohesion & Trust, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "People in your neighborhood are willing to help their neighbors."

*"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	24.3%	62.6%	5.0%	4.6%	0.5%	1.9%	1.1%
<b>Household Size</b>								
1 – 3 residents	1,727	23.9%	64.7%	4.6%	4.6%	0.4%	1.7%	0.0%
4 – 6 residents	631	27.7	59.0	5.7	4.6	0.8	1.9	0.3
7 or more residents	43	18.6	65.1	4.7	11.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	84	9.5	45.2	7.1	0.0	0.0	3.6	34.6
<b>Income (household)</b>								
Less than \$15,000	72	19.4%	54.2%	8.3%	15.3%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	97	12.4	61.9	10.3	11.3	0.0	4.1	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	367	17.4	65.4	6.0	6.8	1.4	3.0	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	23.7	63.0	5.6	5.9	1.1	0.7	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	20.4	67.7	4.2	4.5	0.3	2.7	0.3
\$80,000 or more	883	28.9	63.4	3.7	2.8	0.2	0.8	0.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	28.6	61.3	5.0	3.4	0.0	1.7	0.0
Missing/Refuse	343	26.8	54.5	5.2	2.0	0.3	2.6	8.6

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Public Perceptions of Social Cohesion & Trust, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "Yours is a close-knit neighborhood."

*"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	11.5%	34.8%	19.8%	27.4%	2.7%	2.5%	1.3%
<b>Community Council Area</b>								
Abbott Loop	77	10.4%	31.2%	16.9%	33.8%	2.6%	1.3%	3.8%
Airport Heights	100	13.0	38.0	18.0	24.0	1.0	4.0	2.0
Basher	5	20.0	40.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	9.9	39.6	19.8	24.2	1.1	5.5	0.0
Bear Valley	9	22.2	22.2	22.2	22.2	0.0	11.1	0.0
Birchwood	32	15.6	28.1	12.5	40.6	0.0	0.0	3.2
Campbell Park	78	9.0	28.2	23.1	32.1	1.3	3.8	2.6
Chugiak	104	17.3	36.5	25.0	16.3	1.0	2.9	1.0
Downtown	11	9.1	36.4	0.0	27.3	18.2	9.1	0.0
Eagle River	93	15.1	35.5	22.6	23.7	0.0	0.0	3.2
Eagle River Valley	110	17.3	34.5	21.8	23.6	1.8	0.0	1.0
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	2.9	30.9	10.3	44.1	5.9	1.5	4.4
Girdwood	66	27.3	39.4	13.6	16.7	0.0	3.0	0.0
Glen Alps	2	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	13.3	36.7	20.0	23.3	0.0	3.3	3.3
Hillside East	56	7.1	32.1	23.2	26.8	5.4	3.6	1.8
Huffman/O'Malley	121	14.9	33.1	21.5	28.1	0.8	0.0	1.7
Mid-Hillside	119	13.4	30.3	15.1	34.5	0.8	4.2	1.7
Mountain View	9	0.0	44.4	22.2	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	4.3	36.2	24.6	21.7	10.1	1.4	1.4
Northeast	72	5.6	30.6	22.2	31.9	1.4	6.9	1.4
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	9.4	38.7	21.7	23.6	1.9	2.8	1.9
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	13.1	26.2	27.9	25.4	4.1	2.5	0.8
Rogers Park	106	12.3	41.5	16.0	20.8	5.7	2.8	0.9
Russian Jack Park	67	9.0	32.8	13.4	37.3	3.0	1.5	3.0
Sand Lake	105	13.3	38.1	16.2	28.6	3.8	0.0	0.0
Scenic Foothills	88	10.2	33.0	25.0	29.5	0.0	2.3	0.0
South Addition	101	12.9	37.6	22.8	20.8	4.0	2.0	0.0
South Fork	7	14.3	28.6	28.6	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
Spenard	71	4.2	33.8	14.1	39.4	4.2	2.8	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	10.0	36.0	14.0	32.0	5.0	3.0	0.0
Tudor Area	49	10.2	36.7	28.6	20.4	4.1	0.0	0.0
Turnagain	80	7.5	55.0	12.5	20.0	3.8	1.3	0.0
University Area	81	7.4	27.2	18.5	34.6	6.2	4.9	1.2
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	8.8	28.8	28.8	30.0	0.0	2.5	1.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – 14 February, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Public Perceptions of Social Cohesion & Trust, by Select Demographics Characteristics**

Question: "Yours is a close-knit neighborhood."

*"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	11.5%	34.8%	19.8%	27.4%	2.7%	2.5%	1.3%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>								
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	12.5%	27.1%	24.0%	33.3%	0.0%	2.1%	1.0%
Asian (only)	42	11.9	45.2	26.2	7.1	2.4	7.2	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	1.9	48.1	14.8	25.9	5.6	3.7	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	16.7	41.7	16.7	24.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	11.6	35.4	20.7	27.0	2.8	2.3	0.2
All Other	199	16.1	28.1	12.6	38.2	3.0	2.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	108	4.6	31.5	13.9	18.5	1.9	4.6	25.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>								
Yes	76	13.2%	34.2%	11.8%	35.5%	2.6%	1.3%	1.3%
No	2,393	11.5	34.6	20.1	27.2	2.8	2.5	1.3
Don't Know/ Not Applicable	3	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	0.0	61.5	15.4	7.7	0.0	15.4	0.0
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	1,378	12.1%	34.6%	19.6%	26.4%	2.9%	2.0%	2.3%
Male	1,105	10.8	34.9	20.1	28.7	2.5	2.9	0.1
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>								
18 – 24 yrs	101	5.9%	36.6%	21.8%	27.7%	4.0%	3.0%	1.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	8.1	29.3	24.3	31.7	3.5	2.3	0.8
35 – 44 yrs	407	12.8	34.4	21.9	25.3	2.5	2.7	0.4
45 – 54 yrs	738	13.4	37.4	18.0	26.3	2.3	1.6	1.0
55 – 64 yrs	540	9.3	33.9	22.4	26.5	3.3	3.1	1.5
65 yrs & up	436	13.3	34.9	14.7	30.0	2.3	2.8	2.0
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>								
No degree	25	4.0%	48.0%	12.0%	20.0%	4.0%	12.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	385	15.1	36.1	17.1	25.7	3.1	2.9	0.0
1+ yrs. college, no degree	638	10.8	35.6	17.6	28.8	4.1	3.1	0.0
Associate's degree	206	9.2	35.9	18.4	28.2	4.4	2.4	1.5
Bachelor's degree	606	12.7	33.8	22.6	27.1	1.8	1.8	0.2
Graduate/professional degree	545	10.8	34.3	22.9	28.4	1.5	1.7	0.4
Don't Know/ Not Applicable	5	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	4.0	24.0	10.7	21.3	1.3	2.7	36.0
<b>Primary work status</b>								
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	10.8%	34.4%	22.0%	27.5%	2.2%	2.8%	0.3%
Full-time homemaker	138	15.2	39.9	20.3	21.0	2.9	0.7	0.0
Part-time	163	14.7	28.8	20.9	31.3	3.7	0.6	0.0
Unemployed	97	11.3	39.2	18.6	22.7	7.2	1.0	0.0
Disabled for work	41	12.2	43.9	9.8	22.0	9.8	2.3	0.0
In school only	32	0.0	50.0	28.1	12.5	3.1	6.3	0.0
Retired	446	13.2	35.7	15.0	30.7	2.0	3.1	0.3
Other	109	12.8	33.9	17.4	29.4	5.5	1.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	3.9	24.7	11.7	22.1	1.3	1.3	35.0
<b>Residency</b>								
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: Yes	1,780	12.5%	36.9%	19.7%	26.3%	2.6%	1.7%	0.3%
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: No	704	9.1	29.4	20.0	30.1	3.1	4.1	4.2
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Public Perceptions of Social Cohesion & Trust, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Yours is a close-knit neighborhood."

*"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	11.5%	34.8%	19.8%	27.4%	2.7%	2.5%	1.3%
<b>Household Size</b>								
1 – 3 residents	1,727	11.5%	34.4%	19.6%	29.0%	2.9%	2.4%	0.2%
4 – 6 residents	631	12.4	37.6	21.1	23.8	2.2	2.7	0.2
7 or more residents	43	11.6	30.2	23.3	30.2	4.7	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	84	4.8	23.8	11.9	20.2	2.4	3.6	33.3
<b>Income (household)</b>								
Less than \$15,000	72	16.7%	36.1%	8.3%	30.6%	4.2%	4.1%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	97	7.2	27.8	15.5	38.1	8.2	3.2	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	367	10.1	33.2	15.8	33.0	5.4	2.5	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	11.9	33.0	22.6	27.8	3.3	1.4	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	11.1	36.2	20.4	26.6	2.4	2.7	0.6
\$80,000 or more	883	12.5	36.5	22.1	25.5	1.2	1.9	0.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	12.6	40.3	18.5	21.0	3.4	4.2	0.0
Missing/Refuse	343	10.5	31.8	19.5	25.4	1.5	3.2	8.1

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Public Perceptions of Informal Social Control, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "One or more of your neighbors could be counted on to intervene if children were spray-painting on a local building."  
 "Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	<b>38.0%</b>	<b>50.9%</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>
<b>Community Council Area</b>								
Abbott Loop	77	35.1%	50.6%	1.3%	5.2%	0.0%	5.2%	2.6%
Airport Heights	100	36.0	51.0	3.0	2.0	0.0	7.0	1.0
Basher	5	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	45.1	53.8	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bear Valley	9	44.4	44.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0
Birchwood	32	34.4	56.3	3.1	3.1	0.0	0.0	3.1
Campbell Park	78	34.6	46.2	2.6	5.1	3.8	5.1	2.6
Chugiak	104	47.1	47.1	0.0	1.9	1.0	1.9	1.0
Downtown	11	27.3	63.6	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0
Eagle River	93	40.9	53.8	1.1	1.1	0.0	1.1	2.2
Eagle River Valley	110	50.0	41.8	2.7	1.8	0.0	2.7	1.0
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	26.5	41.2	8.8	11.8	4.4	1.5	5.9
Girdwood	66	47.0	45.5	4.5	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0
Glen Alps	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	40.0	40.0	3.3	6.7	0.0	6.7	3.3
Hillside East	56	42.9	50.0	3.6	1.8	0.0	0.0	1.7
Huffman/O'Malley	121	41.3	50.4	2.5	2.5	0.0	1.7	1.7
Mid-Hillside	119	42.0	52.1	0.8	0.8	0.0	2.5	1.7
Mountain View	9	22.2	77.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	26.1	65.2	0.0	2.9	2.9	1.4	1.4
Northeast	72	31.9	50.0	5.6	4.2	1.4	4.2	2.7
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	36.8	56.6	0.0	0.0	0.9	3.8	1.9
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	45.9	47.5	2.5	1.6	0.0	1.6	0.8
Rogers Park	106	32.1	58.5	0.9	3.8	0.0	1.9	2.8
Russian Jack Park	67	31.3	49.3	3.0	9.0	1.5	3.0	3.0
Sand Lake	105	41.9	48.6	2.9	2.9	0.0	2.9	1.0
Scenic Foothills	88	44.3	48.9	1.1	1.1	1.1	3.4	0.0
South Addition	101	33.7	53.5	4.0	3.0	1.0	4.0	1.0
South Fork	7	28.6	42.9	14.3	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
Spenard	71	22.5	59.2	4.2	7.0	2.8	1.4	2.8
Taku/Campbell	100	30.0	54.0	10.0	3.0	0.0	2.0	0.8
Tudor Area	49	51.0	36.7	4.1	2.0	0.0	6.1	0.0
Turnagain	80	43.8	47.5	3.8	2.5	0.0	2.5	0.0
University Area	81	35.8	48.1	3.7	6.2	1.2	2.5	2.5
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	22.5	60.0	1.3	11.3	0.0	2.5	2.5

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 –February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.



**Public Perceptions of Informal Social Control, by Select Demographics Characteristics**

Question: "One or more of your neighbors could be counted on to intervene if children were spray-painting on a local building."  
 "Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	38.0%	50.9%	2.7%	3.3%	0.7%	2.8%	1.6%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>								
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	38.5%	51.0%	3.1%	4.2%	2.1%	0.0%	1.1%
Asian (only)	42	28.6	47.6	0.0	19.0	0.0	4.8	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	27.8	57.4	3.7	5.6	1.9	3.6	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	33.3	58.3	0.0	8.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	39.5	51.4	2.8	2.6	0.6	2.9	0.2
All Other	199	36.7	50.8	2.5	5.0	1.0	2.5	1.5
Missing/Refuse	108	23.1	38.9	1.9	3.7	0.9	2.8	28.7
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>								
Yes	76	31.6%	50.0%	5.3%	7.9%	0.0%	2.6%	2.6%
No	2,393	38.3	50.8	2.7	3.1	0.8	2.8	1.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	23.1	69.2	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	1,378	40.5%	47.8%	2.6%	3.5%	0.5%	2.9%	2.2%
Male	1,105	35.0	54.7	2.9	3.0	1.0	2.6	0.8
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>								
18 – 24 yrs	101	25.7%	56.4%	5.9%	6.9%	1.0%	2.0%	2.1%
25 – 34 yrs	259	31.3	55.2	5.4	4.2	1.9	0.8	1.2
35 – 44 yrs	407	42.5	47.9	1.2	3.4	0.7	3.4	0.9
45 – 54 yrs	738	43.4	47.7	2.8	2.4	0.4	1.9	1.4
55 – 64 yrs	540	39.1	53.5	1.3	3.1	0.4	1.5	1.1
65 yrs & up	436	30.7	52.3	3.4	3.2	0.9	6.7	2.8
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>								
No degree	25	16.0%	68.0%	0.0%	8.0%	4.0%	4.0%	0.0%
High school / GED	385	34.3	51.2	2.9	5.2	1.8	3.6	1.0
1+ yrs. college, no degree	638	39.7	50.6	2.5	3.6	0.6	2.7	0.3
Associate's degree	206	34.5	47.6	5.8	5.3	1.9	3.9	1.0
Bachelor's degree	606	41.1	53.1	2.1	1.3	0.0	2.4	0.0
Graduate/professional degree	545	41.1	51.0	2.6	2.4	0.4	2.4	0.1
Don't Know/ Not Applicable	5	20.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	14.7	34.7	1.3	5.3	0.0	2.7	41.3
<b>Primary work status</b>								
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	39.7%	51.5%	2.6%	2.7%	0.8%	2.3%	0.4%
Full-time homemaker	138	47.8	40.6	2.2	7.2	0.0	2.2	0.0
Part-time	163	41.1	53.4	2.5	1.2	0.6	1.2	0.0
Unemployed	97	35.1	52.6	3.1	3.1	3.1	2.1	0.9
Disabled for work	41	41.5	43.9	4.9	4.9	0.0	2.4	2.4
In school only	32	21.9	65.6	3.1	9.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
Retired	446	33.4	53.6	3.4	3.4	0.7	5.4	0.1
Other	109	40.4	50.5	2.8	3.7	0.0	2.6	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	16.9	32.5	1.3	5.2	0.0	2.6	41.5
<b>Residency</b>								
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: Yes	1,780	41.3%	50.6%	2.3%	2.4%	0.5%	2.5%	0.4%
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: No	704	29.8	51.4	3.8	5.4	1.3	3.6	4.7
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Public Perceptions of Informal Social Control, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "One or more of your neighbors could be counted to intervene if children were spray-painting on a local building."  
 "Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	38.0%	50.9%	2.7%	3.3%	0.7%	2.8%	1.6%
<b>Household Size</b>								
1 – 3 residents	1,727	36.5%	52.5%	3.2%	3.2%	0.8%	3.4%	0.4%
4 – 6 residents	631	45.0	48.0	1.6	3.3	0.6	1.3	0.2
7 or more residents	43	37.2	58.1	2.3	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	84	17.9	35.7	1.2	3.6	0.0	2.4	39.3
<b>Income (household)</b>								
Less than \$15,000	72	22.2%	41.7%	6.9%	13.9%	2.8%	6.9%	5.6%
\$15,000 - \$24,999	97	20.6	53.6	6.2	12.4	4.1	3.1	0.0
\$25,000 - \$49,999	367	30.8	57.2	3.5	3.3	0.8	4.4	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	37.0	53.3	2.2	3.3	0.7	3.5	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	41.0	52.1	1.8	1.8	0.3	3.0	0.0
\$80,000 or more	883	45.4	49.0	2.0	1.6	0.6	1.2	0.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	36.1	51.3	4.2	4.2	0.8	3.4	0.0
Missing/Refuse	343	33.5	46.6	2.6	3.8	0.0	3.2	10.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Public Perceptions of Informal Social Control, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "One or more of your neighbors could be counted on to intervene if children were showing disrespect to an adult."  
 "Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"

(Percent of valid responses)

		RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	16.9%	51.5%	12.4%	10.1%	1.4%	6.4%	1.3%
<b>Community Council Area</b>								
Abbott Loop	77	14.3%	49.4%	18.2%	11.7%	0.0%	3.9%	2.5%
Airport Heights	100	16.0	57.0	7.0	8.0	2.0	9.0	1.0
Basher	5	40.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	19.8	49.5	12.1	13.2	1.1	4.4	0.0
Bear Valley	9	0.0	77.8	11.1	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0
Birchwood	32	21.9	56.3	6.3	12.5	0.0	0.0	3.0
Campbell Park	78	16.7	53.8	9.0	9.0	5.1	3.8	2.6
Chugiak	104	20.2	51.0	16.3	6.7	0.0	4.8	1.0
Downtown	11	9.1	54.5	9.1	18.2	0.0	9.1	0.0
Eagle River	93	14.0	54.8	11.8	12.9	0.0	4.3	2.2
Eagle River Valley	110	22.7	53.6	10.9	5.5	0.0	6.4	0.9
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	7.4	38.2	14.7	16.2	7.4	11.8	4.4
Girdwood	66	19.7	43.9	19.7	13.6	0.0	3.0	0.0
Glen Alps	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	20.0	40.0	10.0	16.7	0.0	10.0	3.3
Hillside East	56	21.4	60.7	10.7	1.8	0.0	3.6	1.8
Huffman/O'Malley	121	19.8	52.1	11.6	9.9	0.0	5.0	1.7
Mid-Hillside	119	16.8	58.0	11.8	5.9	0.8	5.0	1.7
Mountain View	9	22.2	55.6	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	21.7	52.2	8.7	8.7	2.9	4.3	1.4
Northeast	72	11.1	48.6	6.9	9.7	2.8	18.1	2.8
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	12.3	64.2	7.5	5.7	0.0	8.5	1.9
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	24.6	54.9	8.2	5.7	0.8	4.1	1.6
Rogers Park	106	16.0	54.7	16.0	6.6	0.0	4.7	1.9
Russian Jack Park	67	14.9	43.3	10.4	16.4	4.5	7.5	3.0
Sand Lake	105	20.0	53.3	11.4	9.5	1.9	3.9	0.0
Scenic Foothills	88	15.9	50.0	12.5	8.0	3.4	10.2	0.0
South Addition	101	14.9	44.6	17.8	9.9	2.0	9.9	1.0
South Fork	7	14.3	71.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
Spenard	71	11.3	46.5	15.5	14.1	5.6	5.6	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	13.0	44.0	11.0	26.0	1.0	5.0	0.0
Tudor Area	49	16.3	49.0	18.4	6.1	0.0	10.2	0.0
Turnagain	80	20.0	50.0	15.0	7.5	1.3	6.3	0.0
University Area	81	16.0	50.6	9.9	14.8	0.0	7.4	1.2
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	8.8	47.5	23.8	10.0	0.0	7.5	2.5

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 –February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Public Perceptions of Informal Social Control, by Select Demographics Characteristics**

Question: "One or more of your neighbors could be counted on to intervene if children were showing disrespect to an adult."  
 "Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	16.9%	51.5%	12.4%	10.1%	1.4%	6.4%	1.3%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>								
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	20.8%	52.1%	12.5%	7.3%	1.0%	5.2%	1.1%
Asian (only)	42	16.7	50.0	11.9	14.3	0.0	7.1	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	20.4	51.9	9.3	13.0	1.9	3.7	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	8.3	91.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	16.8	52.3	13.2	10.2	1.2	6.2	0.2
All Other	199	19.6	50.3	8.5	9.0	4.5	8.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	108	9.3	34.3	8.3	10.2	0.0	10.2	27.8
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>								
Yes	76	17.1%	52.6%	7.9%	9.2%	2.6%	10.6%	0.0%
No	2,393	16.9	51.4	12.6	10.0	1.3	6.3	1.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	0.0	61.5	0.0	23.1	7.7	7.7	0.0
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	1,378	19.7%	50.3%	10.7%	9.2%	1.2%	6.7%	2.2%
Male	1,105	13.3	52.9	14.6	11.1	1.6	6.0	0.5
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>								
18 – 24 yrs	101	11.9%	44.6%	18.8%	16.8%	2.0%	5.0%	0.9%
25 – 34 yrs	259	13.9	40.9	20.5	16.6	3.5	3.5	1.2
35 – 44 yrs	407	20.6	50.6	11.1	11.3	1.2	4.7	0.5
45 – 54 yrs	738	17.3	53.1	12.2	9.2	1.1	6.0	1.1
55 – 64 yrs	540	18.1	55.4	10.6	8.3	1.3	5.4	0.9
65 yrs & up	436	14.0	53.2	10.1	7.1	0.7	12.2	2.7
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>								
No degree	25	12.0%	56.0%	8.0%	4.0%	4.0%	16.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	385	18.4	55.3	7.5	10.1	2.1	6.2	0.4
1+ yrs. college, no degree	638	18.7	49.2	11.9	12.5	1.9	5.6	0.2
Associate's degree	206	11.2	59.7	8.7	10.7	2.4	6.3	1.0
Bachelor's degree	607	18.0	53.3	14.9	7.4	0.7	5.7	0.0
Graduate/professional degree	545	16.0	50.1	16.3	9.7	0.7	7.0	0.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	20.0	20.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	8.0	25.3	5.3	10.7	0.0	10.7	40.0
<b>Primary work status</b>								
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	16.2%	50.7%	14.4%	11.3%	1.4%	5.9%	0.1%
Full-time homemaker	138	23.9	51.4	12.3	5.8	1.4	5.1	0.0
Part-time	163	20.9	58.3	10.4	6.7	0.0	3.7	0.0
Unemployed	97	15.5	56.7	14.4	8.2	3.1	2.1	0.0
Disabled for work	41	22.0	41.5	7.3	14.6	4.9	9.7	0.0
In school only	32	9.4	56.3	3.1	28.1	0.0	3.1	0.0
Retired	446	15.9	56.3	9.9	7.6	0.9	9.2	0.2
Other	109	20.2	50.5	8.3	9.2	3.7	8.3	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	10.4	23.4	5.2	10.4	0.0	10.4	40.2
<b>Residency</b>								
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: Yes	1,780	18.0%	54.3%	11.2%	9.0%	1.0%	6.3%	0.2%
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: No	704	13.9	44.5	15.5	12.8	2.4	6.5	4.4
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Public Perceptions of Informal Social Control, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "One or more of your neighbors could be counted to intervene if children were showing disrespect to an adult."

*"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	16.9%	51.5%	12.4%	10.1%	1.4%	6.4%	1.3%
<b>Household Size</b>								
1 – 3 residents	1,727	15.3%	51.6%	13.5%	11.1%	1.3%	7.0%	0.2%
4 – 6 residents	631	22.3	53.4	10.8	7.8	1.7	3.8	0.2
7 or more residents	43	11.6	67.4	7.0	4.7	0.0	9.3	0.0
Missing/Refuse	84	10.7	27.4	4.8	8.3	0.0	11.9	36.9
<b>Income (household)</b>								
Less than \$15,000	72	19.4%	36.1%	6.9%	22.2%	5.6%	8.3%	1.5%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	97	11.3	44.3	17.5	12.4	6.2	8.3	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	367	14.2	49.3	14.2	13.4	1.9	7.1	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	16.3	50.0	14.4	11.9	2.2	5.2	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	16.8	56.9	10.2	10.2	0.6	5.4	0.0
\$80,000 or more	883	19.4	53.7	13.0	7.7	0.6	5.4	0.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	16.8	58.8	10.9	5.9	0.8	6.8	0.0
Missing/Refuse	343	14.9	46.9	9.6	9.3	0.9	9.0	9.4

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Public Perceptions of Informal Social Control, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "One or more of your neighbors could be counted on to intervene if the fire station closest to their home was threatened with budget cuts."  
 "Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	28.5%	55.0%	4.9%	5.8%	0.6%	3.7%	1.5%
<b>Community Council Area</b>								
Abbott Loop	77	27.3%	55.8%	3.9%	3.9%	1.3%	5.2%	2.6%
Airport Heights	100	22.0	57.0	4.0	6.0	1.0	9.0	1.0
Basher	5	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	40.7	56.0	1.1	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bear Valley	9	33.3	44.4	0.0	11.1	0.0	11.1	0.0
Birchwood	32	25.0	62.5	6.3	0.0	0.0	3.1	3.1
Campbell Park	78	21.8	55.1	6.4	10.3	0.0	3.8	2.6
Chugiak	104	34.6	58.7	4.8	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
Downtown	11	18.2	72.7	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0
Eagle River	93	32.3	50.5	5.4	5.4	0.0	2.2	4.3
Eagle River Valley	110	39.1	51.8	0.9	4.5	0.0	2.7	1.0
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	16.2	47.1	4.4	19.1	1.5	5.9	5.9
Girdwood	66	42.4	47.0	3.0	4.5	0.0	3.0	0.0
Glen Alps	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	26.7	56.7	6.7	3.3	0.0	3.3	3.3
Hillside East	56	35.7	57.1	1.8	1.8	0.0	1.8	1.8
Huffman/O'Malley	121	31.4	57.0	5.0	4.1	0.0	0.8	1.7
Mid-Hillside	119	28.6	52.1	8.4	4.2	0.0	5.0	1.7
Mountain View	9	22.2	66.7	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	23.2	59.4	7.2	7.2	0.0	0.0	2.9
Northeast	72	23.6	50.0	2.8	8.3	1.4	12.5	1.4
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	29.2	55.7	2.8	2.8	0.0	7.5	1.9
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	38.5	51.6	3.3	1.6	0.0	3.3	1.6
Rogers Park	106	24.5	51.9	11.3	6.6	0.9	1.9	2.8
Russian Jack Park	67	22.4	55.2	3.0	11.9	1.5	3.0	3.0
Sand Lake	105	30.5	58.1	5.7	2.9	1.0	1.9	0.0
Scenic Foothills	88	35.2	51.1	6.8	3.4	0.0	3.4	0.0
South Addition	101	24.8	58.4	5.9	6.9	1.0	2.0	1.0
South Fork	7	14.3	71.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
Spenard	71	18.3	57.7	8.5	7.0	2.8	4.2	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	26.0	52.0	7.0	10.0	2.0	3.0	0.0
Tudor Area	49	24.5	57.1	8.2	4.1	0.0	6.1	0.0
Turnagain	80	25.0	60.0	3.8	5.0	1.3	5.0	0.0
University Area	81	19.8	60.5	4.9	8.6	0.0	4.9	1.2
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	18.8	56.3	6.3	11.3	0.0	5.0	2.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Public Perceptions of Informal Social Control, by Select Demographics Characteristics**

Question: "One or more of your neighbors could be counted on to intervene if the fire station closest to their home was threatened with budget cuts."

"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	28.5%	55.0%	4.9%	5.8%	0.6%	3.7%	1.5%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>								
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	34.4%	40.6%	7.3%	12.5%	1.0%	3.1%	1.1%
Asian (only)	42	23.8	59.5	7.1	7.1	0.0	2.5	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	31.5	48.1	1.9	11.1	1.9	5.5	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	33.3	50.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	29.1	56.7	4.8	4.8	0.5	3.7	0.4
All Other	199	28.6	54.3	5.0	7.0	1.5	3.0	0.6
Missing/Refuse	108	10.2	39.8	6.5	10.2	0.0	5.6	27.8
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>								
Yes	76	32.9%	43.4%	7.9%	10.5%	1.3%	2.6%	1.4%
No	2,393	28.5	55.2	4.9	5.6	0.5	3.7	1.6
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	0.0	76.9	0.0	7.7	0.0	15.4	0.0
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	1,378	31.9%	52.0%	4.4%	5.4%	0.4%	3.5%	2.4%
Male	1,105	24.2	58.6	5.6	6.2	0.8	4.0	0.6
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>								
18 – 24 yrs	101	35.6%	45.5%	8.9%	6.9%	1.0%	1.0%	1.1%
25 – 34 yrs	259	27.8	55.2	5.4	6.6	1.9	1.9	1.2
35 – 44 yrs	407	35.1	49.6	4.2	6.4	0.7	3.4	0.5
45 – 54 yrs	738	31.0	55.3	4.1	5.1	0.3	3.0	1.2
55 – 64 yrs	540	27.2	59.3	4.3	5.2	0.2	2.8	1.0
65 yrs & up	436	18.3	56.9	6.9	6.2	0.5	8.0	3.2
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>								
No degree	25	12.0%	60.0%	12.0%	8.0%	0.0%	8.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	385	26.8	53.8	4.4	8.1	1.3	5.5	0.3
1+ yrs. college, no degree	638	29.9	52.2	5.5	7.5	0.5	3.8	0.6
Associate's degree	206	22.3	61.7	5.3	5.3	0.5	3.9	1.0
Bachelor's degree	606	32.0	57.6	3.8	4.1	0.2	2.3	0.0
Graduate/professional degree	545	29.9	56.7	5.5	3.1	0.7	3.7	0.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	20.0	60.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	8.0	32.0	5.3	10.7	0.0	4.0	40.0
<b>Primary work status</b>								
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	29.1%	56.4%	4.7%	5.7%	0.7%	3.1%	0.3%
Full-time homemaker	138	39.9	49.3	5.1	1.4	0.7	2.9	0.7
Part-time	163	40.5	52.8	4.3	1.8	0.0	0.6	0.0
Unemployed	97	26.8	49.5	7.2	10.3	2.1	3.1	1.0
Disabled for work	41	26.8	46.3	4.9	14.6	2.4	2.4	2.6
In school only	32	37.5	40.6	3.1	12.5	0.0	6.3	0.0
Retired	446	20.9	61.0	6.1	4.9	0.2	6.7	0.2
Other	109	30.3	55.0	2.8	8.3	0.0	3.7	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	11.7	28.6	5.2	10.4	0.0	5.2	39.0
<b>Residency</b>								
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: Yes	1,780	29.8%	55.3%	5.1%	5.0%	0.6%	3.7%	0.5%
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: No	704	25.0	54.1	4.7	7.7	0.4	3.7	4.4
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Public Perceptions of Informal Social Control, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "One or more of your neighbors could be counted to intervene if the fire station closest to their home was threatened with budget cuts."  
 "Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	28.5%	55.0%	4.9%	5.8%	0.6%	3.7%	1.5%
<b>Household Size</b>								
1 – 3 residents	<b>1,727</b>	25.6%	57.1%	5.7%	6.5%	0.6%	4.1%	0.4%
4 – 6 residents	<b>631</b>	38.4	51.8	3.2	3.0	0.5	2.9	0.3
7 or more residents	<b>43</b>	30.2	62.8	2.3	4.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>84</b>	11.9	32.1	4.8	10.7	0.0	3.6	36.9
<b>Income (household)</b>								
Less than \$15,000	<b>72</b>	25.0%	45.8%	2.8%	12.5%	2.8%	6.9%	4.1%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>97</b>	17.5	60.8	5.2	12.4	3.1	1.0	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>367</b>	24.3	53.7	7.1	9.3	1.1	4.1	0.4
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>270</b>	25.9	59.3	4.4	7.0	0.0	3.4	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>334</b>	28.1	58.1	4.5	4.2	0.6	4.5	0.0
\$80,000 or more	<b>883</b>	33.9	56.9	3.7	2.7	0.1	2.4	0.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>119</b>	31.9	47.9	6.7	3.4	0.8	9.2	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>343</b>	23.9	48.1	6.4	7.9	0.3	4.4	9.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.



**Public Perceptions of Informal Social Control, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "One or more of your neighbors could be counted on to intervene if a fight broke out in front of their house."

"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	22.6%	49.7%	9.7%	6.0%	1.1%	9.3%	1.6%
<b>Community Council Area</b>								
Abbott Loop	77	19.5%	48.1%	7.8%	9.1%	1.3%	11.7%	2.5%
Airport Heights	100	26.0	51.0	7.0	2.0	3.0	10.0	1.0
Basher	5	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	25.3	44.0	15.4	4.4	1.1	9.9	0.0
Bear Valley	9	33.3	33.3	22.2	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0
Birchwood	32	25.0	53.1	3.1	6.3	0.0	9.4	3.1
Campbell Park	78	20.5	55.1	6.4	5.1	1.3	7.7	3.8
Chugiak	104	30.8	48.1	10.6	2.9	0.0	5.8	1.9
Downtown	11	9.1	45.5	9.1	9.1	0.0	27.3	0.0
Eagle River	93	16.1	52.7	11.8	8.6	0.0	8.6	2.2
Eagle River Valley	110	24.5	50.0	10.9	2.7	0.9	10.0	1.0
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	8.8	54.4	5.9	14.7	1.5	10.3	4.4
Girdwood	66	39.4	39.4	4.5	6.1	3.0	7.6	0.0
Glen Alps	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	20.0	60.0	6.7	3.3	0.0	3.3	6.7
Hillside East	56	25.0	51.8	14.3	3.6	0.0	3.6	1.7
Huffman/O'Malley	121	24.8	54.5	9.9	3.3	0.0	5.8	1.7
Mid-Hillside	119	30.3	46.2	10.1	2.5	0.8	7.6	2.5
Mountain View	9	11.1	66.7	11.1	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0
North Star	69	18.8	49.3	10.1	8.7	2.9	8.7	1.4
Northeast	72	22.2	47.2	4.2	6.9	1.4	15.3	2.8
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	15.1	62.3	5.7	6.6	0.0	8.5	1.9
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	31.1	50.0	6.6	4.9	0.0	4.9	2.5
Rogers Park	106	17.0	53.8	10.4	7.5	0.0	8.5	2.8
Russian Jack Park	67	20.9	38.8	13.4	9.0	6.0	9.0	3.0
Sand Lake	105	20.0	55.2	9.5	6.7	0.0	7.6	1.0
Scenic Foothills	88	21.6	47.7	13.6	4.5	2.3	10.2	0.0
South Addition	101	24.8	52.5	9.9	5.0	0.0	6.9	1.0
South Fork	7	71.4	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Spenard	71	19.7	43.7	8.5	9.9	4.2	12.7	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	17.0	39.0	15.0	11.0	2.0	16.0	0.0
Tudor Area	49	28.6	40.8	14.3	6.1	0.0	10.2	0.0
Turnagain	80	21.3	51.3	10.0	5.0	0.0	12.5	0.0
University Area	81	13.6	45.7	8.6	8.6	2.5	19.8	1.2
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	16.3	55.0	13.8	6.3	0.0	6.3	2.5

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 –February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Public Perceptions of Informal Social Control, by Select Demographics Characteristics**

Question: "One or more of your neighbors could be counted on to intervene if a fight broke out in front of their house."  
 "Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	22.6%	49.7%	9.7%	6.0%	1.1%	9.3%	1.6%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>								
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	25.0%	51.0%	5.2%	5.2%	1.0%	11.5%	1.1%
Asian (only)	42	11.9	59.5	16.7	2.4	2.4	7.1	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	22.2	55.6	3.7	13.0	1.9	3.7	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	25.0	58.3	8.3	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	23.4	50.0	10.2	5.8	1.0	9.1	0.5
All Other	199	22.6	49.7	8.5	8.0	2.0	8.5	0.7
Missing/Refuse	108	9.3	34.3	8.3	4.6	0.0	15.7	27.8
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>								
Yes	76	19.7%	55.3%	7.9%	5.3%	1.3%	9.2%	1.3%
No	2,393	22.8	49.4	9.8	6.1	1.1	9.2	1.7
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	7.7	53.8	7.7	0.0	0.0	30.8	0.0
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	1,378	24.7%	47.2%	9.5%	5.3%	0.9%	9.9%	2.5%
Male	1,105	19.9	52.7	10.0	6.9	1.4	8.3	0.8
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>								
18 – 24 yrs	101	11.9%	44.6%	17.8%	10.9%	1.0%	12.9%	0.9%
25 – 34 yrs	259	14.3	49.8	11.6	11.6	1.5	10.0	1.2
35 – 44 yrs	407	22.9	51.1	9.6	6.9	0.7	7.9	1.0
45 – 54 yrs	738	25.3	49.9	11.1	4.9	0.9	6.5	1.4
55 – 64 yrs	540	27.0	48.1	7.6	5.7	0.7	9.4	1.5
65 yrs & up	436	19.7	51.4	7.3	3.0	1.8	13.8	3.0
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>								
No degree	25	0.0%	64.0%	8.0%	8.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	385	20.3	51.9	8.1	7.8	2.3	9.4	0.3
1+ yrs. college, no degree	638	23.8	49.4	9.1	6.7	1.1	9.6	0.3
Associate's degree	206	18.9	49.5	12.6	4.9	1.9	10.7	1.5
Bachelor's degree	606	23.1	53.0	9.9	5.6	0.5	7.4	0.5
Graduate/professional degree	545	27.3	47.3	10.8	4.4	0.7	8.8	0.6
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	0.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	4.0	26.7	8.0	5.3	0.0	16.0	40.0
<b>Primary work status</b>								
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	21.9%	52.5%	10.1%	6.3%	1.0%	7.8%	0.4%
Full-time homemaker	138	28.3	42.8	8.7	5.8	0.7	13.8	0.0
Part-time	163	28.8	40.5	14.7	4.9	0.6	10.4	0.0
Unemployed	97	25.8	44.3	9.3	9.3	3.1	8.2	0.0
Disabled for work	41	22.0	43.9	9.8	9.8	0.0	12.2	2.3
In school only	32	9.4	59.4	6.3	6.3	3.1	15.5	0.0
Retired	446	23.1	53.8	7.8	3.6	1.3	9.6	0.7
Other	109	26.6	39.4	9.2	11.0	0.9	11.9	0.9
Missing/Refuse	77	5.2	26.0	9.1	3.9	0.0	15.6	40.2
<b>Residency</b>								
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: Yes	1,780	25.2%	50.5%	9.6%	5.3%	0.9%	8.0%	0.5%
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: No	704	15.9	47.6	10.1	7.8	1.6	12.4	4.7
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Public Perceptions of Informal Social Control, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "One or more of your neighbors could be counted to intervene if a fight broke out in front of their house."

"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	22.6%	49.7%	9.7%	6.0%	1.1%	9.3%	1.6%
<b>Household Size</b>								
1 – 3 residents	<b>1,727</b>	23.4%	49.0%	9.8%	6.6%	1.3%	9.4%	0.5%
4 – 6 residents	<b>631</b>	23.6	53.2	9.0	4.9	0.8	8.1	0.3
7 or more residents	<b>43</b>	9.3	55.8	20.9	2.3	0.0	11.6	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>84</b>	4.8	32.1	8.3	3.6	0.0	14.3	36.9
<b>Income (household)</b>								
Less than \$15,000	<b>72</b>	18.1%	33.3%	4.2%	13.9%	4.2%	23.6%	2.7%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>97</b>	17.5	45.4	11.3	10.3	4.1	11.3	0.0
\$25,000\$ – 49,999	<b>367</b>	18.3	51.5	9.3	7.9	1.9	10.9	0.3
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>270</b>	24.4	49.6	11.1	7.4	0.7	6.7	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>334</b>	21.0	54.2	9.0	5.7	1.2	8.4	0.5
\$80,000 or more	<b>883</b>	25.9	52.3	9.9	4.4	0.6	6.6	0.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>119</b>	26.9	43.7	10.9	3.4	1.7	13.4	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>343</b>	19.5	43.1	9.9	5.2	0.0	12.2	10.1

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Public Perceptions of Informal Social Control, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "One or more of your neighbors could be counted on to intervene if children were skipping school and hanging out on a neighborhood street corner."  
 "Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	17.2%	43.1%	12.5%	15.6%	2.3%	8.0%	1.3%
<b>Community Council Area</b>								
Abbott Loop	77	16.9%	39.0%	14.3%	22.1%	1.3%	3.9%	2.5%
Airport Heights	100	14.0	46.0	9.0	11.0	4.0	15.0	1.0
Basher	5	40.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	25.3	39.6	13.2	15.4	2.2	4.3	0.0
Bear Valley	9	22.2	0.0	44.4	22.2	0.0	11.2	0.0
Birchwood	32	21.9	43.8	12.5	12.5	0.0	6.3	3.0
Campbell Park	78	17.9	30.8	19.2	12.8	5.1	11.5	2.7
Chugiak	104	20.2	46.2	11.5	15.4	0.0	5.8	0.9
Downtown	11	0.0	63.6	18.2	18.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Eagle River	93	12.9	47.3	15.1	15.1	1.1	6.5	2.0
Eagle River Valley	110	27.3	44.5	11.8	7.3	1.8	6.4	0.9
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	7.4	39.7	11.8	25.0	4.4	8.8	2.9
Girdwood	66	24.2	37.9	15.2	15.2	1.5	4.5	1.5
Glen Alps	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	16.7	43.3	10.0	20.0	3.3	3.3	3.4
Hillside East	56	17.9	51.8	16.1	8.9	0.0	3.6	1.7
Huffman/O'Malley	121	20.7	52.9	10.7	9.1	2.5	2.5	1.6
Mid-Hillside	119	16.8	47.9	9.2	9.2	1.7	13.4	1.8
Mountain View	9	11.1	33.3	22.2	22.2	0.0	0.0	11.2
North Star	69	14.5	42.0	10.1	20.3	5.8	5.8	1.5
Northeast	72	15.3	43.1	8.3	22.2	1.4	6.9	2.8
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	15.1	50.0	11.3	12.3	0.0	9.4	1.9
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	24.6	43.4	13.1	9.8	0.0	8.2	0.8
Rogers Park	106	16.0	38.7	14.2	13.2	1.9	14.2	1.8
Russian Jack Park	67	16.4	31.3	11.9	23.9	4.5	9.0	2.9
Sand Lake	105	20.0	43.8	15.2	17.1	2.9	1.0	0.0
Scenic Foothills	88	17.0	45.5	9.1	19.3	0.0	9.1	0.0
South Addition	101	12.9	41.6	19.8	14.9	2.0	7.9	0.9
South Fork	7	14.3	28.6	14.3	14.3	0.0	28.5	0.0
Spenard	71	16.9	36.6	8.5	22.5	9.9	4.2	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	9.0	44.0	12.0	22.0	3.0	10.0	0.0
Tudor Area	49	20.4	36.7	14.3	10.2	0.0	18.4	0.0
Turnagain	80	12.5	51.3	12.5	13.8	2.5	7.4	0.0
University Area	81	12.3	37.0	6.2	28.4	3.7	11.1	1.3
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	13.8	42.5	11.3	17.5	2.5	8.8	3.6

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Public Perceptions of Informal Social Control, by Select Demographics Characteristics**

Question: "One or more of your neighbors could be counted on to intervene if children were skipping school and hanging out on a neighborhood street corner."

"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	17.2%	43.1%	12.5%	15.6%	2.3%	8.0%	1.3%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>								
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	22.9%	31.3%	14.6%	22.9%	2.1%	5.2%	1.0%
Asian (only)	42	7.1	38.1	19.0	23.8	4.8	7.2	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	29.6	37.0	5.6	16.7	7.4	3.7	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	16.7	58.3	8.3	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	17.1	45.0	12.7	14.7	2.0	8.2	0.3
All Other	199	19.6	38.7	11.6	19.1	3.5	7.5	0.0
Missing/Refuse	108	7.4	28.7	9.3	16.7	1.9	8.3	27.7
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>								
Yes	76	15.8%	48.7%	11.8%	17.1%	1.3%	5.3%	0.0%
No	2,393	17.3	42.9	12.5	15.4	2.3	8.1	1.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	33.3	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	0.0	53.8	7.7	30.8	0.0	7.7	0.0
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	1,378	20.5%	39.7%	12.0%	14.7%	2.4%	8.4%	2.3%
Male	1,105	13.2	47.1	13.1	16.7	2.1	7.4	0.4
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>								
18 – 24 yrs	101	11.9%	27.7%	19.8%	29.7%	4.0%	5.9%	1.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	11.2	37.5	16.6	25.1	3.9	4.6	1.1
35 – 44 yrs	407	20.9	40.5	13.0	16.2	2.0	6.9	0.5
45 – 54 yrs	738	19.8	44.7	12.5	13.1	2.2	6.5	1.2
55 – 64 yrs	540	18.9	46.9	9.6	14.3	1.7	7.8	0.8
65 yrs & up	436	12.4	45.2	11.5	11.9	2.1	14.2	2.7
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>								
No degree	25	4.0%	52.0%	4.0%	20.0%	8.0%	12.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	385	17.7	37.9	13.2	17.9	2.6	9.9	0.8
1+ yrs. college, no degree	638	18.3	40.4	12.1	16.8	3.4	9.0	0.0
Associate's degree	206	15.0	47.1	10.7	16.0	2.9	7.3	1.0
Bachelor's degree	606	18.3	48.7	13.0	13.5	0.8	5.7	0.0
Graduate/professional degree	545	17.1	44.8	14.1	13.9	1.8	8.1	0.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	20.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	8.0	20.0	4.0	17.3	1.3	9.3	40.1
<b>Primary work status</b>								
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	16.2%	45.1%	13.7%	15.3%	2.0%	7.6%	0.1%
Full-time homemaker	138	26.8	44.2	8.7	13.0	2.9	4.4	0.0
Part-time	163	21.5	40.5	14.7	17.2	1.2	4.3	0.6
Unemployed	97	17.5	40.2	13.4	20.6	5.2	3.1	0.0
Disabled for work	41	22.0	36.6	7.3	14.6	12.2	7.3	0.0
In school only	32	3.1	53.1	6.3	31.3	0.0	6.2	0.0
Retired	446	16.6	44.2	11.9	12.8	1.8	12.1	0.7
Other	109	22.0	34.9	8.3	22.9	2.8	9.1	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	9.1	18.2	5.2	15.6	1.3	10.4	40.2
<b>Residency</b>								
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: Yes	1,780	18.4%	45.3%	12.9%	13.6%	2.0%	7.5%	0.3%
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: No	704	14.2	37.4	11.4	20.6	3.0	9.1	4.3
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Public Perceptions of Informal Social Control, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "One or more of your neighbors could be counted to intervene if children were skipping school and hanging out on a local neighborhood street corner."

"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	17.2%	43.1%	12.5%	15.6%	2.3%	8.0%	1.3%
<b>Household Size</b>								
1 – 3 residents	<b>1,727</b>	15.7%	44.2%	12.4%	16.3%	2.5%	8.8%	0.1%
4 – 6 residents	<b>631</b>	22.7	42.3	13.5	13.3	1.9	5.9	0.5
7 or more residents	<b>43</b>	14.0	48.8	11.6	20.9	0.0	4.7	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>84</b>	9.5	22.6	7.1	14.3	1.2	8.3	36.9
<b>Income (household)</b>								
Less than \$15,000	<b>72</b>	12.5%	27.8%	13.9%	19.4%	8.3%	16.7%	1.4%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>97</b>	12.4	30.9	14.4	26.8	6.2	9.3	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>367</b>	14.2	38.7	12.3	20.2	4.1	10.5	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>270</b>	15.6	41.1	15.2	19.6	1.9	6.3	0.4
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>334</b>	16.8	47.0	13.8	14.7	1.2	6.6	0.0
\$80,000 or more	<b>883</b>	19.8	47.6	12.2	13.1	0.9	6.2	0.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>119</b>	21.0	45.4	13.4	7.6	2.5	9.2	0.8
Missing/Refuse	<b>343</b>	16.6	39.7	8.7	13.4	2.6	9.6	9.4

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Social Participation, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "Do you or any household members belong to a church, synagogue, mosque or other religious organization?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	54.5%	45.1%	0.1%	0.3%
<b>Community Council Area</b>					
Abbott Loop	77	67.5%	31.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Airport Heights	100	49.0	51.0	0.0	0.0
Basher	5	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	61.5	38.5	0.0	0.0
Bear Valley	9	22.2	77.8	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	56.3	43.7	0.0	0.0
Campbell Park	78	55.1	44.9	0.0	0.0
Chugiak	104	67.3	32.7	0.0	0.0
Downtown	11	36.4	63.6	0.0	0.0
Eagle River	93	59.1	38.7	1.1	1.1
Eagle River Valley	110	51.8	48.2	0.0	0.0
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	39.7	58.8	0.0	1.5
Girdwood	66	27.3	72.7	0.0	0.0
Glen Alps	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	46.7	50.0	3.3	0.0
Hillside East	56	44.6	53.6	0.0	1.8
Huffman/O'Malley	121	59.5	40.5	0.0	0.0
Mid-Hillside	119	54.6	44.5	0.0	0.9
Mountain View	9	44.4	55.6	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	42.0	58.0	0.0	0.0
Northeast	72	55.6	43.1	0.0	1.3
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	58.5	41.5	0.0	0.0
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	57.4	42.6	0.0	0.0
Rogers Park	106	58.5	41.5	0.0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	67	61.2	38.8	0.0	0.0
Sand Lake	105	61.9	38.1	0.0	0.0
Scenic Foothills	88	58.0	42.0	0.0	0.0
South Addition	101	49.5	50.5	0.0	0.0
South Fork	7	42.9	57.1	0.0	0.0
Spenard	71	59.2	40.8	0.0	0.0
Taku/Campbell	100	54.0	46.0	0.0	0.0
Tudor Area	49	63.3	36.7	0.0	0.0
Turnagain	80	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
University Area	81	50.6	48.1	0.0	1.3
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	40.0	57.5	0.0	2.5

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 –February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Social Participation, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "Do you or any household members belong to a church, synagogue, mosque or other religious organization?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	54.5%	45.1%	0.1%	0.3%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>					
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	59.4%	39.6%	0.0%	1.0%
Asian (only)	42	73.8	26.2	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	77.8	22.2	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	83.3	16.7	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	53.0	46.6	0.2	0.2
All Other	199	61.3	38.7	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	108	42.6	56.5	0.0	0.9
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>					
Yes	76	65.8%	34.2%	0.0%	0.0%
No	2,393	54.1	45.4	0.1	0.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	61.5	38.5	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>					
Female	1,378	56.5%	43.3%	0.1%	0.1%
Male	1,105	51.9	47.4	0.2	0.5
Missing/Refuse	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>					
18 – 24 yrs	101	44.6%	55.4%	0.0%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	47.1	52.5	0.0	0.4
35 – 44 yrs	407	51.4	48.6	0.0	0.0
45 – 54 yrs	738	54.9	44.6	0.0	0.5
55 – 64 yrs	540	56.1	43.3	0.4	0.2
65 yrs & up	436	61.9	37.4	0.2	0.5
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>					
No degree	25	40.0%	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	385	50.9	48.3	0.0	0.8
1 + yrs. college, no degree	638	58.8	41.2	0.0	0.0
Associate's degree	206	56.8	42.7	0.5	0.0
Bachelor's degree	606	55.6	44.2	0.0	0.2
Graduate/professional degree	545	53.6	45.3	0.4	0.7
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	32.0	68.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>					
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	53.4%	46.2%	0.1%	0.3%
Full-time homemaker	138	65.2	34.8	0.0	0.0
Part-time	163	62.0	38.0	0.0	0.0
Unemployed	97	48.5	51.5	0.0	0.0
Disabled for work	41	53.7	46.3	0.0	0.0
In school only	32	43.8	56.2	0.0	0.0
Retired	446	57.6	41.7	0.2	0.5
Other	109	56.9	42.2	0.9	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	29.9	67.5	0.0	2.6
<b>Residency</b>					
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: Yes	1,780	57.5%	42.0%	0.1%	0.4%
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: No	704	46.7	53.0	0.1	0.2
Missing/Refuse	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.



**Social Participation, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Do you or any household members belong to a church, synagogue, mosque or other religious organization?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	54.5%	45.1%	0.1%	0.3%
<b>Household Size</b>					
1 – 3 residents	<b>1,727</b>	50.9%	48.6%	0.1%	0.4%
4 – 6 residents	<b>631</b>	64.8	34.9	0.2	0.1
7 or more residents	<b>43</b>	86.0	14.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>84</b>	34.5	65.5	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>					
Less than \$15,000	<b>72</b>	44.4%	55.6%	0.0%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>97</b>	57.7	42.3	0.0	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>367</b>	52.0	47.4	0.3	0.3
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>270</b>	58.9	41.1	0.0	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>334</b>	57.5	42.2	0.0	0.3
\$80,000 or more	<b>883</b>	54.5	45.2	0.2	0.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>119</b>	43.7	56.3	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>343</b>	55.7	42.9	0.0	1.4

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 –February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Social Participation, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "Do you or any household members belong to a local political organization?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	<b>29.7%</b>	<b>69.2%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>
<b>Community Council Area</b>					
Abbott Loop	77	28.6%	70.1%	1.3%	0.0%
Airport Heights	100	31.0	68.0	1.0	0.0
Basher	5	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	30.8	69.2	0.0	0.0
Bear Valley	9	11.1	88.9	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	21.9	78.1	0.0	0.0
Campbell Park	78	25.6	73.1	1.3	0.0
Chugiak	104	26.0	73.0	1.0	0.0
Downtown	11	9.1	90.9	0.0	0.0
Eagle River	93	31.2	66.7	2.1	0.0
Eagle River Valley	110	30.0	70.0	0.0	0.0
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	23.5	75.0	0.0	1.5
Girdwood	66	21.2	78.8	0.0	0.0
Glen Alps	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	26.7	70.0	3.3	0.0
Hillside East	56	32.1	64.3	3.6	0.0
Huffman/O'Malley	121	34.7	63.6	1.7	0.0
Mid-Hillside	119	41.2	57.1	0.8	0.9
Mountain View	9	22.2	77.8	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0
Northeast	72	22.2	73.6	2.8	1.4
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	33.0	67.0	0.0	0.0
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	27.9	70.5	1.6	0.0
Rogers Park	106	33.0	65.1	1.9	0.0
Russian Jack Park	67	26.9	73.1	0.0	0.0
Sand Lake	105	28.6	70.5	0.0	0.9
Scenic Foothills	88	21.6	78.4	0.0	0.0
South Addition	101	46.5	53.5	0.0	0.0
South Fork	7	42.9	57.1	0.0	0.0
Spenard	71	21.1	78.9	0.0	0.0
Taku/Campbell	100	29.0	70.0	0.0	1.0
Tudor Area	49	28.6	71.4	0.0	0.0
Turnagain	80	38.8	60.0	1.2	0.0
University Area	81	23.5	74.1	1.2	1.2
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	22.5	75.0	0.0	2.5

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Social Participation, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "Do you or any household members belong to a local political organization?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	29.7%	69.2%	0.8%	0.3%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>					
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	26.0%	74.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	21.4	76.2	2.4	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	25.9	74.1	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	41.7	50.0	8.3	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	30.0	68.9	0.9	0.2
All Other	199	34.7	64.8	0.5	0.0
Missing/Refuse	108	21.3	75.9	0.0	2.8
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>					
Yes	76	25.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%
No	2,393	29.8	69.0	0.8	0.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	23.1	69.2	0.0	7.7
<b>Gender</b>					
Female	1,378	30.6%	68.1%	1.0%	0.3%
Male	1,105	28.5	70.6	0.5	0.4
Missing/Refuse	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>					
18 – 24 yrs	101	21.8%	74.3%	3.0%	0.9%
25 – 34 yrs	259	21.2	77.2	0.8	0.8
35 – 44 yrs	407	27.5	72.5	0.0	0.0
45 – 54 yrs	738	29.7	69.6	0.3	0.4
55 – 64 yrs	540	32.2	65.9	1.7	0.2
65 yrs & up	436	35.6	63.3	0.9	0.2
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>					
No degree	25	40.0%	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	385	19.2	80.0	0.5	0.3
1+ yrs. college, no degree	638	25.2	73.2	1.1	0.5
Associate's degree	206	28.6	70.9	0.5	0.0
Bachelor's degree	606	32.2	67.0	0.7	0.2
Graduate/professional degree	545	41.3	57.2	1.1	0.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	13.3	85.3	0.0	1.4
<b>Primary work status</b>					
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	28.7%	70.5%	0.6%	0.2%
Full-time homemaker	138	32.6	66.7	0.7	0.0
Part-time	163	32.5	63.8	2.5	1.2
Unemployed	97	27.8	72.2	0.0	0.0
Disabled for work	41	19.5	80.5	0.0	0.0
In school only	32	37.5	56.3	6.2	0.0
Retired	446	33.9	65.0	0.9	0.2
Other	109	29.4	69.7	0.9	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	15.6	81.8	0.0	2.6
<b>Residency</b>					
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: Yes	1,780	32.3%	66.6%	0.8%	0.3%
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: No	704	23.0	75.9	0.9	0.2
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Social Participation, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Do you or any household members belong to a local political organization?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	29.7%	69.2%	0.8%	0.3%
<b>Household Size</b>					
1 – 3 residents	<b>1,727</b>	30.2%	68.7%	0.8%	0.3%
4 – 6 residents	<b>631</b>	30.1	68.9	1.0	0.0
7 or more residents	<b>43</b>	34.9	65.1	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>84</b>	13.1	84.5	0.0	2.4
<b>Income (household)</b>					
Less than \$15,000	<b>72</b>	20.8%	77.8%	0.0%	1.4%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>97</b>	22.7	76.3	1.0	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>367</b>	24.0	75.2	0.8	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>270</b>	30.0	70.0	0.0	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>334</b>	32.9	66.8	0.3	0.0
\$80,000 or more	<b>883</b>	34.4	64.4	0.9	0.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>119</b>	21.8	74.8	3.4	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>343</b>	26.5	71.1	0.9	1.5

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Social Participation, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "Do you or any household members belong to a block group, tenant association or community council?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	<b>7.5%</b>	<b>91.9%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>
<b>Community Council Area</b>					
Abbott Loop	77	18.2%	80.5%	1.3%	0.0%
Airport Heights	100	13.0	87.0	0.0	0.0
Basher	5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	14.3	85.7	0.0	0.0
Bear Valley	9	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Campbell Park	78	9.0	91.0	0.0	0.0
Chugiak	104	4.8	95.2	0.0	0.0
Downtown	11	36.4	63.6	0.0	0.0
Eagle River	93	7.5	91.4	1.1	0.0
Eagle River Valley	110	5.5	94.5	0.0	0.0
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	8.8	91.2	0.0	0.0
Girdwood	66	1.5	98.5	0.0	0.0
Glen Alps	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	3.3	93.3	3.4	0.0
Hillside East	56	5.4	92.9	1.8	0.0
Huffman/O'Malley	121	2.5	97.5	0.0	0.0
Mid-Hillside	119	3.4	96.6	0.0	0.0
Mountain View	9	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	14.5	82.6	2.9	0.0
Northeast	72	8.3	90.3	0.0	1.4
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	7.5	92.5	0.0	0.0
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	4.1	95.9	0.0	0.0
Rogers Park	106	8.5	90.6	0.9	0.0
Russian Jack Park	67	9.0	91.0	0.0	0.0
Sand Lake	105	6.7	92.4	1.0	0.0
Scenic Foothills	88	5.7	94.3	0.0	0.0
South Addition	101	5.9	93.1	1.0	0.0
South Fork	7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Spenard	71	9.9	90.1	0.0	0.0
Taku/Campbell	100	4.0	95.0	1.0	0.0
Tudor Area	49	10.2	89.8	0.0	0.0
Turnagain	80	6.3	92.5	1.2	0.0
University Area	81	7.4	90.1	1.2	1.2
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	13.8	85.0	0.0	1.2

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Social Participation, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "Do you or any household members belong to a block group, tenant association or community council?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	7.5%	91.9%	0.5%	0.1%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>					
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	24.0%	76.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	38.1	61.9	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	25.9	74.1	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	4.6	95.0	0.3	0.1
All Other	199	13.1	85.4	1.5	0.0
Missing/Refuse	108	7.4	88.9	2.8	0.9
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>					
Yes	76	13.2%	86.8%	0.0%	0.0%
No	2,393	7.4	92.1	0.4	0.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	0.0	92.3	7.7	0.0
<b>Gender</b>					
Female	1,378	7.4%	92.0%	0.5%	0.1%
Male	1,105	7.6	91.8	0.5	0.1
Missing/Refuse	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>					
18 – 24 yrs	101	9.9%	90.1%	0.0%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	8.1	91.5	0.0	0.4
35 – 44 yrs	407	9.1	90.9	0.0	0.0
45 – 54 yrs	738	5.8	93.4	0.5	0.3
55 – 64 yrs	540	8.3	91.3	0.4	0.0
65 yrs & up	436	7.1	91.5	1.4	0.0
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>					
No degree	25	4.0%	96.0%	0.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	385	5.7	93.8	0.3	0.2
1+ yrs. college, no degree	638	9.1	90.8	0.1	0.0
Associate's degree	206	9.7	89.3	1.0	0.0
Bachelor's degree	606	6.8	92.7	0.5	0.0
Graduate/professional degree	545	7.9	91.0	0.7	0.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	2.7	96.0	1.3	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>					
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	7.6%	92.0%	0.3%	0.1%
Full-time homemaker	138	5.8	92.8	1.4	0.0
Part-time	163	6.7	93.3	0.0	0.0
Unemployed	97	6.2	92.8	1.0	0.0
Disabled for work	41	19.5	80.5	0.0	0.0
In school only	32	9.4	90.6	0.0	0.0
Retired	446	7.8	91.5	0.7	0.0
Other	109	8.3	90.8	0.9	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	2.6	94.8	1.3	1.3
<b>Residency</b>					
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: Yes	1,780	7.8%	91.6%	0.4%	0.2%
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: No	704	6.8	92.6	0.6	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Social Participation, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Do you or any household members belong to a block group, tenant association or community council?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	7.5%	91.9%	0.5%	0.1%
<b>Household Size</b>					
1 – 3 residents	1,727	6.9%	92.4%	0.5%	0.2%
4 – 6 residents	631	9.5	90.2	0.3	0.0
7 or more residents	43	9.3	90.7	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	84	3.6	95.2	1.2	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>					
Less than \$15,000	72	6.9%	93.1%	0.0%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	97	9.3	90.7	0.0	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	367	7.1	92.4	0.5	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	7.8	92.2	0.0	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	8.4	91.3	0.3	0.0
\$80,000 or more	883	6.8	92.8	0.3	0.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	9.2	89.9	0.8	0.0
Missing/Refuse	343	7.9	90.1	1.5	0.5

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Social Participation, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "Do you or any household members belong to a business or civic group like the Chamber of Commerce, Rotary Club, or Elks or Moose lodges?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	30.5 %	68.9%	0.5%	0.1%
<b>Community Council Area</b>					
Abbott Loop	77	23.4%	75.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Airport Heights	100	28.0	71.0	1.0	0.0
Basher	5	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	40.7	59.3	0.0	0.0
Bear Valley	9	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	34.4	65.6	0.0	0.0
Campbell Park	78	26.9	73.1	0.0	0.0
Chugiak	104	35.6	64.4	0.0	0.0
Downtown	11	27.3	72.7	0.0	0.0
Eagle River	93	11.8	87.1	1.1	0.0
Eagle River Valley	110	36.4	63.6	0.0	0.0
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	19.1	80.9	0.0	0.0
Girdwood	66	30.3	68.2	1.5	0.0
Glen Alps	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	46.7	53.3	0.0	0.0
Hillside East	56	30.4	69.6	0.0	0.0
Huffman/O'Malley	121	35.5	63.6	0.8	0.0
Mid-Hillside	119	47.1	52.9	0.0	0.0
Mountain View	9	11.1	88.9	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	27.5	72.5	0.0	0.0
Northeast	72	19.4	80.6	0.0	0.0
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	28.3	69.8	0.9	0.9
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	45.9	52.5	0.8	0.8
Rogers Park	106	24.5	75.5	0.0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	67	13.4	86.6	0.0	0.0
Sand Lake	105	29.5	70.5	0.0	0.0
Scenic Foothills	88	30.7	68.2	0.0	1.1
South Addition	101	42.6	56.4	1.0	0.0
South Fork	7	57.1	42.9	0.0	0.0
Spenard	71	21.1	78.9	0.0	0.0
Taku/Campbell	100	21.0	78.0	1.0	0.0
Tudor Area	49	49.0	51.0	0.0	0.0
Turnagain	80	26.3	71.3	2.5	0.0
University Area	81	25.9	72.8	1.2	0.0
No Community Council <sup>b</sup>	80	23.8	75.0	0.0	1.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.



**Social Participation, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "Do you or any household members belong to a business or civic group like the Chamber of Commerce, Rotary Club, or Elks or Moose Lodges?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	30.5%	68.9%	0.5%	0.1%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>					
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	14.6%	85.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	16.7	83.3	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	24.1	75.9	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	16.7	83.3	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	32.6	66.9	0.4	0.1
All Other	199	30.2	68.3	1.5	0.0
Missing/Refuse	108	16.7	80.6	1.9	0.8
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>					
Yes	76	25.0%	73.7%	1.3%	0.0%
No	2,393	30.7	68.7	0.5	0.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	30.8	69.2	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>					
Female	1,378	32.3%	67.1%	0.5%	0.1%
Male	1,105	28.1	71.1	0.5	0.3
Missing/Refuse	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>					
18 – 24 yrs	101	14.9%	82.1%	3.0%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	15.4	84.2	0.0	0.4
35 – 44 yrs	407	27.3	72.5	0.2	0.0
45 – 54 yrs	738	32.7	66.8	0.3	0.2
55 – 64 yrs	540	39.8	60.0	0.2	0.0
65 yrs & up	436	31.0	67.7	1.1	0.2
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>					
No degree	25	16.0%	84.0%	0.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	385	18.2	81.0	0.5	0.3
1+ yrs. college, no degree	638	24.8	74.5	0.7	0.0
Associate's degree	206	25.7	73.3	0.5	0.5
Bachelor's degree	606	38.0	61.6	0.3	0.1
Graduate/professional degree	545	42.8	56.9	0.2	0.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	9.3	89.3	1.4	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>					
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	31.0%	68.6%	0.2%	0.2%
Full-time homemaker	138	34.8	65.2	0.0	0.0
Part-time	163	31.9	67.5	0.6	0.0
Unemployed	97	21.6	78.4	0.0	0.0
Disabled for work	41	14.6	85.4	0.0	0.0
In school only	32	25.0	68.8	6.2	0.0
Retired	446	33.6	65.2	0.9	0.3
Other	109	31.2	67.9	0.9	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	13.0	85.7	1.3	0.0
<b>Residency</b>					
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: Yes	1,780	33.9%	65.5%	0.4%	0.2%
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: No	704	21.9	77.4	0.6	0.1
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Social Participation, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Do you or any household members belong to a business or civic group like the Chamber of Commerce, Rotary Club, or Elks or Moose Lodges?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	30.5%	68.9%	0.5%	0.1%
<b>Household Size</b>					
1 – 3 residents	<b>1,727</b>	32.2%	67.1%	0.5%	0.2%
4 – 6 residents	<b>631</b>	29.3	70.4	0.3	0.0
7 or more residents	<b>43</b>	14.0	86.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>84</b>	11.9	86.9	1.2	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>					
Less than \$15,000	<b>72</b>	15.3%	84.7%	0.0%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>97</b>	16.5	82.5	1.0	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>367</b>	21.3	77.9	0.8	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>270</b>	30.7	68.9	0.0	0.4
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>334</b>	29.9	69.8	0.3	0.0
\$80,000 or more	<b>883</b>	38.7	60.9	0.4	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>119</b>	28.6	70.6	0.8	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>343</b>	27.1	71.1	0.9	0.9

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Social Participation, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "Do you or any household members belong to an ethnic or nationality club in Anchorage?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	<b>26.2%</b>	<b>73.2%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>
<b>Community Council Area</b>					
Abbott Loop	77	26.0%	72.7%	1.3%	0.0%
Airport Heights	100	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
Basher	5	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	22.0	76.9	1.1	0.0
Bear Valley	9	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	18.8	81.2	0.0	0.0
Campbell Park	78	29.5	70.5	0.0	0.0
Chugiak	104	39.4	60.6	0.0	0.0
Downtown	11	18.2	81.8	0.0	0.0
Eagle River	93	33.3	64.5	1.1	1.1
Eagle River Valley	110	30.0	70.0	0.0	0.0
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	26.5	73.5	0.0	0.0
Girdwood	66	27.3	72.7	0.0	0.0
Glen Alps	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	16.7	83.3	0.0	0.0
Hillside East	56	23.2	76.8	0.0	0.0
Huffman/O'Malley	121	18.2	81.0	0.8	0.0
Mid-Hillside	119	31.1	68.9	0.0	0.0
Mountain View	9	33.3	55.6	0.0	11.1
North Star	69	18.8	79.7	1.4	0.0
Northeast	72	18.1	81.9	0.0	0.0
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	24.5	75.5	0.0	0.0
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	33.6	65.6	0.8	0.0
Rogers Park	106	26.4	72.6	0.9	0.0
Russian Jack Park	67	20.9	79.1	0.0	0.0
Sand Lake	105	28.6	71.4	0.0	0.0
Scenic Foothills	88	25.0	73.9	1.1	0.0
South Addition	101	33.7	66.3	0.0	0.0
South Fork	7	28.6	71.4	0.0	0.0
Spennard	71	23.9	76.1	0.0	0.0
Taku/Campbell	100	17.0	79.0	4.0	0.0
Tudor Area	49	20.4	79.6	0.0	0.0
Turnagain	80	38.8	61.3	0.0	0.0
University Area	81	27.2	72.8	0.0	0.0
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	22.5	76.3	0.0	1.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Social Participation, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "Do you or any household members belong to an ethnic or nationality club in Anchorage?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	26.2%	73.2%	0.5%	0.1%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>					
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	16.7%	83.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	11.9	88.1	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	22.2	75.9	1.9	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	16.7	83.3	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	27.1	72.3	0.5	0.1
All Other	199	32.7	67.3	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	108	16.7	81.5	0.9	0.9
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>					
Yes	76	22.4%	77.6%	0.0%	0.0%
No	2,393	26.3	73.1	0.5	0.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	38.5	61.5	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>					
Female	1,378	25.0%	74.5%	0.4%	0.1%
Male	1,105	27.7	71.6	0.5	0.2
Missing/Refuse	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>					
18 – 24 yrs	101	20.8%	76.2%	3.0%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	15.1	84.6	0.0	0.3
35 – 44 yrs	407	24.8	74.9	0.3	0.0
45 – 54 yrs	738	24.8	75.1	0.1	0.0
55 – 64 yrs	540	32.0	67.2	0.4	0.4
65 yrs & up	436	31.0	67.9	1.1	0.0
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>					
No degree	25	32.0%	68.0%	0.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	385	21.8	77.4	0.8	0.0
1+ yrs. college, no degree	638	24.0	75.5	0.2	0.3
Associate's degree	206	24.8	74.2	1.0	0.0
Bachelor's degree	606	27.6	72.3	0.1	0.0
Graduate/professional degree	545	33.0	66.1	0.7	0.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	12.0	86.7	1.3	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>					
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	27.5%	72.3%	0.1%	0.1%
Full-time homemaker	138	20.3	78.3	1.4	0.0
Part-time	163	24.5	74.8	0.7	0.0
Unemployed	97	20.6	79.4	0.0	0.0
Disabled for work	41	9.8	87.8	0.0	2.4
In school only	32	18.8	78.1	3.1	0.0
Retired	446	30.7	68.4	0.7	0.2
Other	109	26.6	71.6	1.8	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	10.4	88.3	1.3	0.0
<b>Residency</b>					
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: Yes	1,780	29.0%	70.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: No	704	19.3	80.3	0.3	0.1
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Social Participation, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Do you or any household members belong to an ethnic or nationality club in Anchorage?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	26.2%	73.2%	0.5%	0.1%
<b>Household Size</b>					
1 – 3 residents	<b>1,127</b>	28.6%	70.9%	0.3%	0.2%
4 – 6 residents	<b>631</b>	22.8	76.4	0.8	0.0
7 or more residents	<b>43</b>	14.0	83.7	2.3	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>84</b>	9.5	89.3	1.2	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>					
Less than \$15,000	<b>72</b>	12.5%	86.1%	0.0%	1.4%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>97</b>	12.4	86.6	1.0	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>367</b>	19.6	79.6	0.8	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>270</b>	25.6	74.1	0.3	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>334</b>	25.1	74.6	0.3	0.0
\$80,000 or more	<b>883</b>	34.8	64.9	0.3	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>119</b>	21.0	77.3	0.8	0.9
Missing/Refuse	<b>343</b>	21.6	77.6	0.6	0.2

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Social Participation, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "Do you or any household members belong to some sort of neighborhood watch group?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	17.4%	82.1%	0.4%	0.1%
<b>Community Council Area</b>					
Abbott Loop	77	6.5%	92.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Airport Heights	100	14.0	86.0	0.0	0.0
Basher	5	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	17.6	82.4	0.0	0.0
Bear Valley	9	22.2	77.8	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
Campbell Park	78	16.7	83.3	0.0	0.0
Chugiak	104	20.2	79.8	0.0	0.0
Downtown	11	27.3	72.7	0.0	0.0
Eagle River	93	19.4	80.6	0.0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	110	16.4	83.6	0.0	0.0
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	19.1	80.9	0.0	0.0
Girdwood	66	3.0	95.5	0.0	1.5
Glen Alps	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	16.7	80.0	0.0	3.3
Hillside East	56	23.2	76.8	0.0	0.0
Huffman/O'Malley	121	27.3	71.9	0.8	0.0
Mid-Hillside	119	23.5	76.5	0.0	0.0
Mountain View	9	11.1	88.9	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	18.8	79.7	1.4	0.0
Northeast	72	13.9	86.1	0.0	0.0
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	19.8	79.2	0.9	0.0
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	23.0	76.2	0.8	0.0
Rogers Park	106	9.4	90.6	0.0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	67	17.9	82.1	0.0	0.0
Sand Lake	105	16.2	81.9	1.9	0.0
Scenic Foothills	88	15.9	83.0	0.0	1.1
South Addition	101	12.9	87.1	0.0	0.0
South Fork	7	28.6	71.4	0.0	0.0
Spenard	71	14.1	85.9	0.0	0.0
Taku/Campbell	100	21.0	77.0	2.0	0.0
Tudor Area	49	18.4	81.6	0.0	0.0
Turnagain	80	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
University Area	81	8.6	91.4	0.0	0.0
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	17.5	81.3	0.0	1.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 –February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Social Participation, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "Do you or any household members belong to some sort of neighborhood watch group?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	17.4%	82.1%	0.4%	0.1%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>					
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	16.7%	83.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	21.4	78.6	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	24.1	75.9	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	16.7	83.3	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	17.6	81.9	0.4	0.1
All Other	199	18.1	81.4	0.5	0.0
Missing/Refuse	108	7.4	90.7	0.9	1.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>					
Yes	76	11.8%	88.2%	0.0%	0.0%
No	2,393	17.6	81.9	0.4	0.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	15.4	84.6	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>					
Female	1,378	16.6%	82.8%	0.4%	0.2%
Male	1,105	18.3	81.3	0.3	0.1
Missing/Refuse	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>					
18 – 24 yrs	101	22.8%	77.2%	0.0%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	11.6	88.0	0.0	0.4
35 – 44 yrs	407	13.0	87.0	0.0	0.0
45 – 54 yrs	738	18.6	80.9	0.3	0.2
55 – 64 yrs	540	22.4	76.5	0.9	0.2
65 yrs & up	436	15.6	83.9	0.5	0.0
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>					
No degree	25	8.0%	92.0%	0.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	385	16.4	83.4	0.2	0.0
1+ yrs. college, no degree	638	15.4	84.3	0.3	0.0
Associate's degree	206	19.9	78.2	1.5	0.4
Bachelor's degree	606	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
Graduate/professional degree	545	18.7	80.4	0.4	0.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	5.3	93.3	1.4	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>					
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	17.9%	81.8%	0.1%	0.2%
Full-time homemaker	138	14.5	84.1	1.4	0.0
Part-time	163	17.2	82.2	0.0	0.6
Unemployed	97	23.7	75.3	1.0	0.0
Disabled for work	41	24.4	73.2	2.4	0.0
In school only	32	9.4	90.6	0.0	0.0
Retired	446	16.1	83.2	0.4	0.2
Other	109	21.1	78.9	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	7.8	90.9	1.3	0.0
<b>Residency</b>					
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: Yes	1,780	19.5%	80.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: No	704	12.1	87.2	0.6	0.1
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" – Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Social Participation, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Do you or any household members belong to some sort of neighborhood watch group?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	17.4%	82.1%	0.4%	0.1%
<b>Household Size</b>					
1 – 3 residents	1,727	17.9%	81.5%	0.4%	0.2%
4 – 6 residents	631	18.4	81.3	0.2	0.2
7 or more residents	43	7.0	93.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	84	4.8	94.0	1.2	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>					
Less than \$15,000	72	22.2%	76.4%	1.4%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	97	8.2	91.8	0.0	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	367	12.8	86.9	0.3	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	15.6	84.1	0.0	0.3
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	16.2	83.5	0.3	0.0
\$80,000 or more	883	22.1	77.5	0.2	0.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	18.5	80.7	0.8	0.0
Missing/Refuse	343	14.0	84.8	0.9	0.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.



## **Local Government Capacity**



## Local Government Capacity—An Introduction

*Local government capacity* represents the second dimension of community capacity explored in the *Anchorage Community Survey, 2005*—the ability of local government to deliver essential government services that impact the overall quality of life in a city. Local government capacity is assessed according to the degree to which local government institutions and agencies meet the needs, expectations and service demands placed on them by the public.

The *Anchorage Community Survey, 2005* included a total of nine measures of local government capacity which tapped various dimensions of service across two levels of analysis: city-wide services and those limited to respondents' own neighborhoods. Respondents were asked to provide their level of satisfaction, on a city-wide basis, for the following services provided by local government:

- Fire service;
- Emergency medical services;
- Police services;
- Kindergarten thru 12<sup>th</sup> Grade education; and
- Public transportation (i.e. People Mover bus system).

At the neighborhood level, respondents evaluated:

- Garbage collection;
- Snow removal;
- Recreational services; and
- The overall condition of streets and roadways.



**Satisfaction with Core Government Services, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Government Service: "Fire Service."

*"Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or not at all satisfied with the fire service provided by local government?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Not At All Satisfied	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	59.4%	31.8%	1.3%	0.7%	4.8%	2.0%
<b>Community Council Area</b>							
Abbott Loop	77	61.0%	24.7%	0.0%	0.0%	10.4%	3.9%
Airport Heights	100	61.0	34.0	1.0	0.0	3.0	1.0
Basher	5	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	65.9	29.7	2.2	0.0	2.2	0.0
Bear Valley	9	22.2	66.7	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	37.5	40.6	0.0	3.1	15.6	3.1
Campbell Park	78	65.4	24.4	2.6	0.0	3.8	3.8
Chugiak	104	54.8	30.8	3.8	2.9	5.8	1.9
Downtown	11	63.6	9.1	0.0	0.0	27.3	0.0
Eagle River	93	53.8	35.5	2.2	1.1	3.2	4.3
Eagle River Valley	110	54.5	40.0	1.8	0.9	0.9	1.8
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	58.8	33.8	0.0	0.0	4.4	2.9
Girdwood	66	54.5	36.4	3.0	3.0	3.0	0.0
Glen Alps	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	60.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	6.7
Hillside East	56	62.5	32.1	0.0	1.8	1.8	1.8
Huffman/O'Malley	121	60.3	33.9	0.8	0.8	1.7	2.5
Mid-Hillside	119	58.8	34.5	0.8	0.0	4.2	1.7
Mountain View	9	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	59.4	31.9	0.0	1.4	2.9	4.3
Northeast	72	68.1	23.6	1.4	0.0	4.2	2.8
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	58.5	32.1	0.9	0.9	4.7	2.8
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	53.3	36.9	3.3	0.0	5.7	0.8
Rogers Park	106	64.2	28.3	0.0	0.0	6.6	0.9
Russian Jack Park	67	46.3	40.3	1.5	1.5	6.0	4.5
Sand Lake	105	64.8	26.7	1.0	0.0	6.7	1.0
Scenic Foothills	88	63.6	26.1	1.1	1.1	8.0	0.0
South Addition	101	63.4	26.7	1.0	0.0	6.9	2.0
South Fork	7	42.9	42.9	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
Spennard	71	54.9	33.8	4.2	0.0	5.6	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	54.0	36.0	0.0	2.0	5.0	3.0
Tudor Area	49	75.5	22.4	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0
Turnagain	80	75.0	21.3	0.0	1.3	2.5	0.0
University Area	81	63.0	25.9	1.2	0.0	7.4	2.5
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	47.5	42.5	1.3	1.3	5.0	2.5

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Satisfaction with Core Government Services, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Government Service: "Fire Service."

*"Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or not at all satisfied with the fire service provided by local government?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Not At All Satisfied	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	59.4%	31.8%	1.3%	0.7%	4.8%	2.0%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	57.3%	32.3%	2.1%	2.1%	6.3%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	38.1	57.1	0.0	0.0	4.8	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	55.6	40.7	0.0	0.0	3.7	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	41.7	41.7	0.0	0.0	16.6	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	61.6	31.8	1.2	0.7	4.5	0.2
All Other	199	57.8	30.7	3.0	0.5	7.0	1.0
Missing/Refuse	108	36.1	17.6	1.9	0.9	4.6	38.9
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	76	64.5%	26.3%	3.9%	0.0%	3.9%	1.4%
No	2,393	59.2	31.9	1.3	0.8	4.8	2.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	53.8	30.8	0.0	0.0	15.4	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	1,378	59.7%	30.0%	0.9%	0.7%	5.5%	3.2%
Male	1,105	58.9	34.0	1.9	0.7	3.9	0.5
Missing/Refuse	2	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	101	48.5%	36.6%	5.0%	2.0%	6.9%	1.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	60.6	30.9	1.2	0.8	5.0	1.5
35 – 44 yrs	407	56.5	35.4	1.5	1.0	4.4	1.2
45 – 54 yrs	738	58.5	32.8	1.1	1.1	4.9	1.6
55 – 64 yrs	540	60.0	33.1	1.5	0.2	3.5	1.7
65 yrs & up	436	64.9	24.5	0.7	0.2	6.2	3.4
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	25	56.0%	32.0%	0.0%	4.0%	8.0%	0.0%
High school / GED	385	56.6	35.1	1.3	0.5	6.0	0.5
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	60.8	32.1	2.0	0.8	3.8	0.5
Associate's degree	206	60.2	33.5	1.0	0.5	4.9	0.0
Bachelor's degree	606	62.0	31.7	1.2	0.8	4.0	0.3
Graduate/professional degree	545	62.2	30.5	0.9	0.6	5.7	0.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	20.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	20.0	16.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	56.1
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	57.8%	34.8%	1.2%	0.9%	4.9%	0.4%
Full-time homemaker	138	65.2	28.3	2.2	0.0	4.3	0.0
Part-time	163	57.1	36.2	1.2	1.2	4.3	0.0
Unemployed	97	57.7	30.9	3.1	2.1	6.2	0.0
Disabled for work	41	63.4	31.7	0.0	0.0	4.9	0.0
In school only	32	62.5	34.4	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0
Retired	446	68.6	25.8	0.9	0.0	4.5	0.2
Other	109	64.2	25.7	2.8	0.9	5.5	0.9
Missing/Refuse	77	19.5	16.9	1.3	1.3	5.2	55.8
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	62.5%	30.9%	1.5%	0.5%	4.2%	0.4%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	51.4	33.9	1.0	1.3	6.3	6.1
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Satisfaction with Core Government Services, by Select Household Characteristics**

Government Service: "Fire Service."

*"Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or not at all satisfied with the fire service provided by local government?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Not At All Satisfied	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	59.4%	31.8%	1.3%	0.7%	4.8%	2.0%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	<b>1,727</b>	60.5%	31.9%	1.3%	0.6%	5.3%	0.4%
4 – 6 residents	<b>631</b>	59.7	33.6	1.6	1.0	4.0	0.2
7 or more residents	<b>43</b>	72.1	27.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>84</b>	26.2	16.7	1.2	1.2	4.8	49.9
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	<b>72</b>	50.0%	40.3%	2.8%	1.4%	4.2%	1.4%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>97</b>	54.6	39.2	0.0	1.0	4.1	1.1
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>367</b>	66.2	27.0	2.2	0.8	3.8	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>270</b>	65.6	27.4	0.7	0.4	5.6	0.4
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>334</b>	62.0	31.1	1.2	0.3	5.4	0.0
\$80,000 or more	<b>883</b>	59.1	34.3	1.1	1.0	4.1	0.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>119</b>	52.9	37.8	1.7	0.8	6.7	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>343</b>	50.7	28.3	1.5	0.3	6.4	12.8

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Satisfaction with Core Government Services, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Government Service: "Emergency Medical Services."

"Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or not at all satisfied with the emergency medical services provided by local government?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Not At All Satisfied	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	57.8%	30.1%	1.6%	1.1%	7.3%	2.1%
<b>Community Council Area</b>							
Abbott Loop	77	53.2%	28.6%	0.0%	1.3%	13.0%	3.9%
Airport Heights	100	64.0	31.0	1.0	0.0	3.0	1.0
Basher	5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	63.7	25.3	0.0	0.0	11.0	0.0
Bear Valley	9	33.3	55.6	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	43.8	40.6	3.1	9.4	0.0	3.1
Campbell Park	78	57.7	30.8	2.6	0.0	6.4	2.6
Chugiak	104	52.9	27.9	4.8	2.9	9.6	1.9
Downtown	11	54.5	18.2	0.0	0.0	27.3	0.0
Eagle River	93	55.9	32.3	2.2	1.1	4.3	4.3
Eagle River Valley	110	52.7	34.5	0.0	1.8	9.1	1.8
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	61.8	25.0	1.5	1.5	5.9	4.4
Girdwood	66	57.6	31.8	3.0	3.0	4.5	0.0
Glen Alps	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	50.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	10.0
Hillside East	56	48.2	41.1	0.0	0.0	8.9	1.8
Huffman/O'Malley	121	62.0	28.1	1.7	0.0	5.8	2.5
Mid-Hillside	119	51.3	36.1	1.7	0.0	9.2	1.7
Mountain View	9	55.6	33.3	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0
North Star	69	66.7	20.3	0.0	1.4	7.2	4.3
Northeast	72	68.1	20.8	2.8	2.8	4.2	1.4
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	59.4	27.4	2.8	2.8	5.7	1.9
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	54.9	34.4	0.0	0.8	7.4	2.5
Rogers Park	106	60.4	27.4	3.8	0.0	6.6	1.9
Russian Jack Park	67	38.8	38.8	1.5	4.5	13.4	3.0
Sand Lake	105	61.0	32.4	1.9	0.0	3.8	1.0
Scenic Foothills	88	61.4	26.1	1.1	1.1	10.2	0.0
South Addition	101	69.3	25.7	0.0	0.0	3.0	2.0
South Fork	7	28.6	57.1	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
Spennard	71	50.7	38.0	2.8	0.0	7.0	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	53.0	32.0	1.0	1.0	9.0	4.0
Tudor Area	49	75.5	14.3	0.0	2.0	8.2	0.0
Turnagain	80	70.0	20.0	0.0	1.3	8.8	0.0
University Area	81	63.0	21.0	2.5	1.2	11.1	1.2
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	48.8	41.3	3.8	0.0	2.5	3.8

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.



**Satisfaction with Core Government Services, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Government Service: "Emergency Medical Services."

*"Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or not at all satisfied with the emergency medical services provided by local government?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Not At All Satisfied	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	57.8%	30.1%	1.6%	1.1%	7.3%	2.1%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	52.1%	33.3%	2.1%	3.1%	9.4%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	50.0	38.1	7.1	0.0	2.4	2.4
Black/African American (only)	54	59.3	37.0	0.0	1.9	1.8	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	41.7	41.7	0.0	0.0	16.6	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	59.7	30.3	1.4	1.0	7.3	0.3
All Other	199	56.3	28.1	3.0	1.5	9.5	1.6
Missing/Refuse	108	34.3	19.4	0.9	1.9	4.6	38.9
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	76	63.2%	30.3%	1.3%	0.0%	3.9%	1.3%
No	2,393	57.7	30.0	1.6	1.2	7.4	2.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	46.2	38.5	0.0	0.0	15.3	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	1,378	57.8%	28.2%	1.5%	0.9%	8.1%	3.5%
Male	1,105	57.8	32.4	1.7	1.4	6.3	0.4
Missing/Refuse	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	101	41.6%	45.5%	5.0%	4.0%	3.0%	1.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	52.5	34.4	1.5	1.2	8.1	2.3
35 – 44 yrs	407	53.8	35.1	0.7	1.2	7.6	1.5
45 – 54 yrs	738	57.0	30.8	2.2	0.8	7.9	1.4
55 – 64 yrs	540	61.9	26.9	2.0	0.9	6.7	1.7
65 yrs & up	436	65.1	22.5	0.2	1.1	7.3	3.7
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	25	56.0%	24.0%	0.0%	4.0%	8.0%	8.0%
High school / GED	385	55.8	33.2	1.3	0.8	8.3	0.5
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	57.8	31.3	1.7	2.0	6.9	0.2
Associate's degree	206	60.7	27.2	3.9	0.5	7.8	0.0
Bachelor's degree	606	60.2	31.7	1.8	0.3	5.4	0.6
Graduate/professional degree	545	60.0	28.6	0.7	1.1	9.2	0.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	40.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	25.3	10.7	1.3	2.7	4.0	56.0
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	56.4%	32.1%	1.7%	1.3%	8.0%	0.5%
Full-time homemaker	138	60.9	31.2	0.0	0.0	8.0	0.0
Part-time	163	50.9	40.5	1.8	0.6	6.1	0.0
Unemployed	97	55.7	29.9	5.2	0.0	9.3	0.0
Disabled for work	41	58.5	34.1	2.4	2.4	2.6	0.0
In school only	32	53.1	37.5	0.0	0.0	9.4	0.0
Retired	446	69.5	22.6	0.2	0.9	6.1	0.7
Other	109	59.6	29.4	4.6	0.9	5.5	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	26.0	9.1	1.3	3.9	5.2	54.5
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	61.3%	28.8%	1.9%	0.8%	7.0%	0.2%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	49.0	33.2	1.0	2.0	8.1	6.7
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Satisfaction with Core Government Services, by Select Household Characteristics**Government Service: *"Emergency Medical Services."**"Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or not at all satisfied with the emergency medical services provided by local government?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Not At All Satisfied	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	57.8%	30.1%	1.6%	1.1%	7.3%	2.1%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	<b>1,727</b>	58.8%	30.4%	1.7%	0.9%	7.6%	0.6%
4 – 6 residents	<b>631</b>	57.7	32.3	1.4	1.6	7.0	0.0
7 or more residents	<b>43</b>	72.1	23.3	0.0	2.3	2.3	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>84</b>	29.8	10.7	1.2	2.4	6.0	49.9
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	<b>72</b>	54.2%	36.1%	1.4%	2.8%	4.2%	1.4%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>97</b>	54.6	37.1	0.0	1.0	6.2	1.1
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>367</b>	61.3	28.9	1.4	1.9	6.3	0.3
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>270</b>	66.3	22.6	2.6	0.7	6.7	1.1
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>334</b>	53.3	37.7	1.8	0.6	6.0	0.6
\$80,000 or more	<b>883</b>	58.8	30.0	1.6	0.9	8.6	0.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>119</b>	54.6	34.5	1.7	1.7	7.5	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>343</b>	51.9	25.4	1.5	1.2	7.6	12.4

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Satisfaction with Core Government Services, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Government Service: "Police Service."

*"Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or not at all satisfied with the police service provided by local government?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Not At All Satisfied	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	40.5%	41.6%	8.2%	4.4%	3.2%	2.1%
<b>Community Council Area</b>							
Abbott Loop	77	44.2%	33.8%	7.8%	3.9%	6.5%	3.9%
Airport Heights	100	41.0	38.0	13.0	3.0	4.0	1.0
Basher	5	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	49.5	41.8	4.4	3.3	1.1	0.0
Bear Valley	9	0.0	66.7	11.1	22.2	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	28.1	37.5	21.9	9.4	0.0	3.1
Campbell Park	78	42.3	37.2	7.7	7.7	1.3	3.8
Chugiak	104	39.4	41.3	8.7	7.7	1.0	1.9
Downtown	11	36.4	27.3	9.1	0.0	27.3	0.0
Eagle River	93	39.8	39.8	9.7	6.5	0.0	4.3
Eagle River Valley	110	44.5	47.3	1.8	4.5	0.0	1.8
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	45.6	30.9	8.8	5.9	5.9	2.9
Girdwood	66	18.2	45.5	13.6	9.1	12.1	1.5
Glen Alps	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	46.7	30.0	10.0	3.3	3.3	6.7
Hillside East	56	28.6	46.4	14.3	5.4	3.6	1.8
Huffman/O'Malley	121	43.8	39.7	7.4	5.8	0.8	2.5
Mid-Hillside	119	34.5	44.5	12.6	3.4	3.4	1.7
Mountain View	9	33.3	44.4	11.1	0.0	11.1	0.0
North Star	69	44.9	40.6	2.9	4.3	2.9	4.3
Northeast	72	47.2	36.1	5.6	5.6	4.2	1.4
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	39.6	42.5	7.5	3.8	3.8	2.8
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	33.6	50.0	9.0	3.3	3.3	0.8
Rogers Park	106	44.3	39.6	9.4	0.9	3.8	1.9
Russian Jack Park	67	28.4	52.2	4.5	9.0	1.5	4.5
Sand Lake	105	42.9	41.9	8.6	1.9	2.9	1.9
Scenic Foothills	88	51.1	38.6	4.5	1.1	4.5	0.0
South Addition	101	47.5	46.5	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0
South Fork	7	14.3	57.1	14.3	0.0	14.3	0.0
Spennard	71	38.0	38.0	9.9	9.9	2.8	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	46.0	39.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	3.0
Tudor Area	49	46.9	34.7	10.2	4.1	4.1	0.0
Turnagain	80	43.8	41.3	8.8	2.5	3.6	0.0
University Area	81	40.7	43.2	8.6	2.5	3.7	1.2
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	31.3	46.3	12.5	5.0	2.5	2.5

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Satisfaction with Core Government Services, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Government Service: "Police Service."

*"Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or not at all satisfied with the police service provided by local government?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Not At All Satisfied	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	40.5%	41.6%	8.2%	4.4%	3.2%	2.1%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	36.5%	36.5%	13.5%	8.3%	5.2%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	23.8	42.9	19.0	9.5	4.8	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	40.7	44.4	7.4	3.7	3.8	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	41.7	25.0	8.3	8.3	16.7	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	42.4	42.9	8.1	3.4	2.9	0.3
All Other	199	35.7	39.7	7.0	11.1	5.0	1.5
Missing/Refuse	108	24.1	27.8	3.7	4.6	0.9	38.9
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	76	38.2%	43.4%	11.8%	1.3%	3.9%	1.4%
No	2,393	40.6	41.5	8.1	4.5	3.2	2.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	30.8	46.2	7.7	7.7	7.6	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	1,378	41.7%	40.6%	7.7%	3.3%	3.3%	3.4%
Male	1,105	39.1	42.8	8.9	5.7	3.1	0.5
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	101	30.7%	40.6%	18.8%	5.0%	4.0%	0.9%
25 – 34 yrs	259	37.8	40.2	10.0	5.8	4.6	1.5
35 – 44 yrs	407	37.8	44.0	7.4	5.4	4.2	1.2
45 – 54 yrs	738	38.5	44.6	9.3	4.7	1.5	1.4
55 – 64 yrs	540	41.9	41.5	7.6	4.8	2.6	1.7
65 yrs & up	436	48.9	36.2	4.4	1.4	5.0	4.1
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	25	40.0%	28.0%	16.0%	4.0%	8.0%	4.0%
High school / GED	385	39.0	39.2	10.4	6.0	5.2	0.3
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	45.0	36.7	8.8	6.3	2.8	0.5
Associate's degree	206	37.9	43.7	9.2	7.3	1.9	0.0
Bachelor's degree	606	39.8	46.9	7.8	2.5	2.8	0.2
Graduate/professional degree	545	41.5	45.9	6.8	2.4	3.1	0.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	18.7	20.0	1.3	2.7	1.3	56.0
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	38.6%	44.1%	9.1%	4.8%	3.0%	0.4%
Full-time homemaker	138	42.8	37.0	10.9	3.6	5.7	0.0
Part-time	163	35.0	46.6	11.7	3.1	3.7	0.0
Unemployed	97	41.2	40.2	5.2	11.3	2.1	0.0
Disabled for work	41	48.8	34.1	14.6	2.4	0.0	0.0
In school only	32	28.1	50.0	12.5	6.3	3.1	0.0
Retired	446	50.7	38.1	4.3	2.2	4.0	0.7
Other	109	42.2	40.4	8.3	4.6	2.8	1.8
Missing/Refuse	77	19.5	19.5	1.3	3.9	1.3	54.5
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	41.5%	42.8%	8.3%	4.3%	2.6%	0.5%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	37.9	38.6	8.0	4.7	4.7	6.1
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Satisfaction with Core Government Services, by Select Household Characteristics**

Government Service: "Police Service."

*"Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or not at all satisfied with the police service provided by local government?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Not At All Satisfied	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	40.5%	41.6%	8.2%	4.4%	3.2%	2.1%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	<b>1,727</b>	41.7%	42.1%	8.2%	4.2%	3.4%	0.4%
4 – 6 residents	<b>631</b>	38.7	43.1	9.5	5.2	3.2	0.3
7 or more residents	<b>43</b>	44.2	51.2	2.3	2.3	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>84</b>	26.2	16.7	2.4	2.4	2.4	49.9
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	<b>72</b>	30.6%	37.5%	19.4%	6.9%	4.2%	1.4%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>97</b>	40.2	39.2	12.4	2.1	5.2	1.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>367</b>	45.0	37.6	7.4	6.8	3.2	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>270</b>	42.6	39.3	7.8	5.6	4.4	0.4
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>334</b>	36.8	45.5	8.7	4.8	3.9	0.3
\$80,000 or more	<b>883</b>	42.6	43.7	7.7	3.5	2.2	0.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>119</b>	37.0	48.7	8.4	1.7	4.2	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>343</b>	35.6	37.9	6.7	3.8	3.2	12.8

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Satisfaction with Core Government Services, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Government Service: "K-12 Education."

"Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or not at all satisfied with the K-12 education provided by local government?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Not At All Satisfied	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	24.2%	36.5%	14.6%	7.9%	14.3%	2.5%
<b>Community Council Area</b>							
Abbott Loop	77	27.3%	31.2%	14.3%	10.4%	13.0%	3.9%
Airport Heights	100	24.0	37.0	11.0	6.0	21.0	1.0
Basher	5	20.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	22.0	39.6	12.1	8.8	16.5	1.1
Bear Valley	9	44.4	22.2	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	31.3	21.9	15.6	6.3	21.9	3.1
Campbell Park	78	21.8	39.7	12.8	6.4	12.8	6.4
Chugiak	104	27.9	39.4	17.3	3.8	9.6	2.0
Downtown	11	18.2	45.5	18.2	0.0	18.2	0.0
Eagle River	93	24.7	39.8	17.2	6.5	7.5	4.3
Eagle River Valley	110	30.0	37.3	14.5	9.1	7.3	1.8
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	16.2	30.9	17.6	5.9	25.0	4.4
Girdwood	66	25.8	36.4	12.1	3.0	19.7	3.0
Glen Alps	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	23.3	23.3	13.3	3.3	26.7	10.0
Hillside East	56	21.4	39.3	12.5	7.1	17.9	1.8
Huffman/O'Malley	121	31.4	27.3	17.4	9.1	13.2	1.7
Mid-Hillside	119	24.4	35.3	18.5	9.2	10.1	2.5
Mountain View	9	0.0	66.7	0.0	22.2	11.1	0.0
North Star	69	20.3	37.7	14.5	13.0	10.1	4.3
Northeast	72	11.1	37.5	20.8	6.9	20.8	2.9
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	24.5	32.1	12.3	14.2	15.1	1.9
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	26.2	37.7	13.1	7.4	14.8	0.8
Rogers Park	106	25.5	51.9	5.7	2.8	12.3	1.9
Russian Jack Park	67	16.4	34.3	20.9	9.0	16.4	3.0
Sand Lake	105	20.0	42.9	25.7	6.7	3.8	1.0
Scenic Foothills	88	22.7	37.5	9.1	11.4	17.0	2.3
South Addition	101	32.7	36.6	9.9	9.9	7.9	3.0
South Fork	7	28.6	42.9	14.3	0.0	14.3	0.0
Spennard	71	19.7	36.6	11.3	16.9	12.7	2.8
Taku/Campbell	100	19.0	38.0	18.0	5.0	17.0	3.0
Tudor Area	49	30.6	30.6	8.2	4.1	24.5	2.0
Turnagain	80	35.0	36.3	7.5	5.0	16.3	0.0
University Area	81	25.9	25.9	16.0	9.9	21.0	1.2
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	13.8	40.0	18.8	8.8	16.3	2.5

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Satisfaction with Core Government Services, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Government Service: "K-12 Education."

*"Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or not at all satisfied with the K-12 education provided by local government?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Not At All Satisfied	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	24.2%	36.5%	14.6%	7.9%	14.3%	2.5%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	33.3%	32.3%	15.6%	4.2%	14.6%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	26.2	45.2	14.3	9.5	4.8	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	18.5	48.1	7.4	11.1	14.9	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	8.3	58.3	0.0	8.3	25.1	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	24.9	37.3	15.7	6.8	14.7	0.6
All Other	199	21.1	33.7	11.1	17.6	15.1	1.4
Missing/Refuse	108	13.0	20.4	7.4	10.2	8.3	40.7
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	76	23.7%	39.5%	10.5%	9.2%	13.2%	3.9%
No	2,393	24.3	36.4	14.8	7.7	14.3	2.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.4	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	0.0	38.5	7.7	30.8	23.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	1,378	24.7%	34.8%	14.5%	7.7%	14.7%	3.6%
Male	1,105	23.5	38.7	14.8	8.1	13.8	1.1
Missing/Refuse	2	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	101	18.8%	42.6%	24.8%	8.9%	4.0%	1.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	18.9	39.8	18.1	7.3	13.1	2.8
35 – 44 yrs	407	23.6	35.4	17.2	8.8	13.8	1.2
45 – 54 yrs	738	26.4	37.9	15.2	7.9	10.6	2.0
55 – 64 yrs	540	23.5	38.7	13.5	7.6	14.8	1.9
65 yrs & up	436	26.4	29.6	8.5	7.6	23.9	4.0
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	25	16.0%	28.0%	12.0%	8.0%	32.0%	4.0%
High school / GED	385	22.3	33.8	17.1	8.8	17.1	0.9
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	19.6	40.0	14.6	10.7	14.9	0.2
Associate's degree	206	19.9	35.9	18.0	8.3	17.0	0.9
Bachelor's degree	606	26.4	38.8	15.0	6.3	13.0	0.5
Graduate/professional degree	545	32.8	35.6	12.8	5.9	11.9	1.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	0.0	20.0	40.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	8.0	16.0	2.7	5.3	9.3	58.7
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	24.0%	38.9%	16.0%	7.9%	12.5%	0.7%
Full-time homemaker	138	21.7	39.9	21.7	8.7	8.0	0.0
Part-time	163	32.5	33.7	15.3	6.7	11.8	0.0
Unemployed	97	13.4	43.3	19.6	8.2	15.5	0.0
Disabled for work	41	19.5	41.5	2.4	12.2	24.4	0.0
In school only	32	12.5	46.9	34.4	3.1	3.1	0.0
Retired	446	28.9	31.6	7.4	7.6	23.5	1.0
Other	109	23.9	31.2	20.2	11.9	12.8	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	9.1	15.6	2.6	3.9	10.4	58.4
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	26.3%	36.0%	15.1%	8.5%	13.7%	0.4%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	18.9	38.1	13.6	6.4	15.9	7.1
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Satisfaction with Core Government Services, by Select Household Characteristics**

Government Service: "K-12 Education."

*"Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or not at all satisfied with the K-12 education provided by local government?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Not At All Satisfied	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	24.2%	36.5%	14.6%	7.9%	14.3%	2.5%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	<b>1,727</b>	22.6%	36.0%	14.1%	7.9%	18.5%	0.9%
4 – 6 residents	<b>631</b>	30.7	39.9	17.6	7.9	3.6	0.3
7 or more residents	<b>43</b>	16.3	44.2	16.3	14.0	9.2	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>84</b>	10.7	17.9	3.6	4.8	10.7	52.3
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	<b>72</b>	22.2%	22.2%	12.5%	11.1%	30.6%	1.4%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>97</b>	18.6	33.0	13.4	12.4	21.6	1.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>367</b>	18.5	42.5	13.9	8.4	15.8	0.9
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>270</b>	23.3	35.2	18.1	4.8	17.4	1.2
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>334</b>	23.1	40.7	16.5	9.6	9.6	0.5
\$80,000 or more	<b>883</b>	28.4	37.4	14.8	7.1	11.8	0.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>119</b>	29.4	27.7	16.0	12.6	14.3	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>343</b>	21.3	32.1	10.8	6.4	16.0	13.4

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.



**Satisfaction with Core Government Services, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**Government Service: *"The People Mover bus system."**"Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or not at all satisfied with the People Mover bus system provided by local government?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Not At All Satisfied	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	15.0%	30.1%	10.7%	7.5%	33.8%	2.9%
<b>Community Council Area</b>							
Abbott Loop	77	20.8%	31.2%	10.4%	3.9%	29.9%	3.9%
Airport Heights	100	17.0	33.0	10.0	5.0	34.0	1.0
Basher	5	20.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	8.8	28.6	11.0	6.6	44.0	1.1
Bear Valley	9	0.0	33.3	22.2	0.0	33.3	11.1
Birchwood	32	31.3	21.9	9.4	12.5	21.9	3.1
Campbell Park	78	20.5	33.3	9.0	1.3	32.1	3.8
Chugiak	104	16.3	33.7	14.4	12.5	20.2	2.9
Downtown	11	18.2	36.4	9.1	9.1	27.3	0.0
Eagle River	93	20.4	31.2	9.7	6.5	26.9	5.4
Eagle River Valley	110	20.9	25.5	10.0	6.4	34.5	2.7
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	22.1	32.4	14.7	5.9	20.6	4.4
Girdwood	66	4.5	6.1	9.1	30.3	47.0	3.0
Glen Alps	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	6.7	43.3	10.0	3.3	26.7	10.0
Hillside East	56	14.3	19.6	10.7	19.6	33.9	1.8
Huffman/O'Malley	121	8.3	24.0	16.5	8.3	41.3	1.7
Mid-Hillside	119	5.0	21.0	16.0	16.0	40.3	1.7
Mountain View	9	0.0	66.7	0.0	11.1	11.1	11.1
North Star	69	15.9	31.9	14.5	11.6	21.7	4.3
Northeast	72	26.4	31.9	2.8	2.8	31.9	4.2
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	14.2	34.0	8.5	4.7	35.8	2.8
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	9.0	18.0	15.6	12.3	42.6	2.5
Rogers Park	106	12.3	33.0	7.5	2.8	43.4	0.9
Russian Jack Park	67	22.4	32.8	9.0	4.5	26.9	4.5
Sand Lake	105	16.2	30.5	9.5	6.7	35.2	1.9
Scenic Foothills	88	15.9	39.8	9.1	6.8	25.0	3.4
South Addition	101	12.9	29.7	11.9	3.0	38.6	4.0
South Fork	7	14.3	14.3	0.0	14.3	57.1	0.0
Spenard	71	23.9	31.0	7.0	5.6	28.2	4.2
Taku/Campbell	100	15.0	37.0	11.0	2.0	31.0	4.0
Tudor Area	49	14.3	34.7	6.1	0.0	44.9	0.0
Turnagain	80	11.3	31.3	6.3	7.5	42.5	1.3
University Area	81	11.1	37.0	7.4	4.9	37.0	2.5
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	16.3	40.0	13.8	6.3	21.3	2.5

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Satisfaction with Core Government Services, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Government Service: "The People Mover Bus System."

*"Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or not at all satisfied with the People Mover bus system provided by local government?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Not At All Satisfied	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	15.0%	30.1%	10.7%	7.5%	33.8%	2.9%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	19.8%	34.4%	8.3%	6.3%	29.2%	2.0%
Asian (only)	42	14.3	50.0	9.5	11.9	14.3	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	24.1	38.9	9.3	1.9	24.1	1.7
Pacific Islander (only)	12	16.7	16.7	25.0	8.3	33.3	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	14.5	29.6	11.3	7.8	35.7	1.1
All Other	199	18.1	29.6	11.1	8.5	30.7	2.0
Missing/Refuse	108	9.3	25.0	0.9	1.9	22.2	40.7
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	76	19.7%	38.2%	11.8%	7.9%	22.5%	0.0%
No	2,393	14.8	29.8	10.8	7.5	34.0	3.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.4	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	7.7	30.8	0.0	0.0	61.5	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	1,378	15.7%	28.5%	9.9%	7.4%	34.3%	4.2%
Male	1,105	14.1	31.9	11.9	7.6	33.2	1.3
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	101	22.8%	37.6%	11.9%	6.9%	19.8%	1.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	16.2	37.5	8.1	5.4	30.1	2.7
35 – 44 yrs	407	17.2	29.2	11.5	6.9	33.7	1.5
45 – 54 yrs	738	14.1	29.1	13.3	8.5	32.5	2.5
55 – 64 yrs	540	11.9	32.6	10.7	8.9	33.1	2.8
65 yrs & up	436	15.8	23.6	7.1	6.0	42.7	4.8
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	25	20.0%	12.0%	8.0%	16.0%	44.0%	0.0%
High school / GED	385	22.3	34.5	6.2	3.4	32.2	1.4
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	15.7	27.6	10.5	7.7	37.5	1.0
Associate's degree	206	14.1	35.9	8.7	8.3	33.0	0.0
Bachelor's degree	606	12.5	30.5	13.5	9.2	32.8	1.5
Graduate/professional degree	545	12.3	29.9	13.6	8.4	34.3	1.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	40.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	9.3	17.3	0.0	1.3	13.3	58.8
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	14.3%	31.5%	12.7%	8.3%	32.1%	1.1%
Full-time homemaker	138	17.4	24.6	5.8	5.1	45.7	1.4
Part-time	163	16.6	30.1	10.4	11.0	30.7	1.2
Unemployed	97	16.5	30.9	14.4	9.3	28.9	0.0
Disabled for work	41	14.6	46.3	9.8	4.9	22.0	2.4
In school only	32	21.9	37.5	12.5	0.0	28.1	0.0
Retired	446	15.5	27.1	8.3	5.4	42.2	1.5
Other	109	17.4	31.2	7.3	7.3	35.8	1.0
Missing/Refuse	77	7.8	18.2	0.0	3.9	13.0	57.1
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	14.5%	29.8%	11.5%	7.9%	35.2%	1.1%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	16.2	30.7	8.9	6.5	30.3	7.4
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Satisfaction with Core Government Services, by Select Household Characteristics**Government Service: *"The People Mover Bus System."**"Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or not at all satisfied with the People Mover bus system provided by local government?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Not At All Satisfied	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	15.0%	30.1%	10.7%	7.5%	33.8%	2.9%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	<b>1,727</b>	14.5%	30.3%	11.6%	8.2%	34.2%	1.2%
4 – 6 residents	<b>631</b>	16.5	31.9	9.4	6.2	34.9	1.1
7 or more residents	<b>43</b>	16.3	18.6	18.6	9.3	34.9	2.3
Missing/Refuse	<b>84</b>	11.9	17.9	0.0	1.2	16.7	52.3
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	<b>72</b>	16.7%	41.7%	9.7%	8.3%	23.6%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>97</b>	25.8	33.0	11.3	9.3	19.6	1.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>367</b>	20.4	32.7	8.4	6.0	31.3	1.2
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>270</b>	16.3	33.7	8.9	8.9	31.9	0.3
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>334</b>	14.1	31.7	11.1	8.4	32.9	1.8
\$80,000 or more	<b>883</b>	12.5	28.4	13.7	6.7	37.6	1.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>119</b>	10.9	30.3	4.2	7.6	46.2	0.8
Missing/Refuse	<b>343</b>	13.4	23.9	9.0	8.5	30.9	14.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Rating of Neighborhood-level Services, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Neighborhood Service: "Garbage Collection."

*"Would you rate garbage collection in your neighborhood as excellent, pretty good, average, or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	51.6%	29.1%	9.5%	2.4%	5.2%	2.2%
<b>Community Council Area</b>							
Abbott Loop	77	44.2%	32.5%	14.3%	2.6%	2.6%	3.9%
Airport Heights	100	51.0	34.0	8.0	6.0	0.0	1.0
Basher	5	80.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	64.8	27.5	4.4	0.0	3.3	0.0
Bear Valley	9	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	22.2	11.1
Birchwood	32	34.4	31.3	12.5	6.3	12.5	3.1
Campbell Park	78	44.9	35.9	7.7	5.1	1.3	5.1
Chugiak	104	55.8	22.1	9.6	1.0	9.6	1.9
Downtown	11	9.1	72.7	9.1	0.0	9.1	0.0
Eagle River	93	45.2	33.3	11.8	1.1	4.3	4.3
Eagle River Valley	110	57.3	23.6	10.0	0.9	5.5	2.7
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	39.7	35.3	13.2	2.9	5.9	2.9
Girdwood	66	13.6	30.3	13.6	10.6	31.8	0.0
Glen Alps	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	50.0	26.7	13.3	0.0	0.0	10.0
Hillside East	56	51.8	25.0	8.9	3.6	8.9	1.8
Huffman/O'Malley	121	62.8	20.7	9.1	2.5	2.5	2.5
Mid-Hillside	119	52.1	22.7	8.4	1.7	12.6	2.5
Mountain View	9	33.3	44.4	0.0	11.1	11.1	0.0
North Star	69	55.1	31.9	8.7	0.0	1.4	2.9
Northeast	72	51.4	33.3	9.7	1.4	2.8	1.4
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	57.5	28.3	8.5	1.9	1.9	1.9
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	53.3	23.0	6.6	4.9	9.8	2.5
Rogers Park	106	61.3	25.5	9.4	0.9	0.9	1.9
Russian Jack Park	67	40.3	35.8	14.9	4.5	1.5	3.0
Sand Lake	105	59.0	28.6	8.6	1.9	1.0	1.0
Scenic Foothills	88	55.7	31.8	8.0	2.3	2.3	0.0
South Addition	101	58.4	28.7	6.9	0.0	3.0	3.0
South Fork	7	28.6	14.3	0.0	0.0	57.1	0.0
Spenard	71	50.7	31.0	7.0	2.8	7.0	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	44.0	34.0	13.0	2.0	4.0	3.0
Tudor Area	49	63.3	24.5	10.2	2.0	0.0	0.0
Turnagain	80	61.3	30.0	6.3	1.3	1.3	0.0
University Area	81	55.6	39.5	3.7	0.0	0.0	1.2
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	37.5	26.3	18.8	2.5	10.0	5.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Row totals may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Rating of Neighborhood-level Services, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Government Service: "Garbage Collection."

*"Would you rate garbage collection in your neighborhood as excellent, pretty good, average or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

		RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	51.6%	29.1%	9.5%	2.4%	5.2%	2.2%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	50.0%	34.4%	8.3%	6.3%	1.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	31.0	47.6	11.9	2.4	7.1	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	40.7	38.9	13.0	5.6	1.8	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	58.3	25.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	54.3	28.4	9.7	2.1	5.3	0.2
All Other	199	48.2	30.2	9.0	3.5	7.0	2.0
Missing/Refuse	108	22.2	23.1	5.6	0.9	3.7	44.5
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	76	42.1%	43.4%	9.2%	3.9%	1.4%	0.0%
No	2,393	52.0	28.6	9.4	2.3	5.3	2.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	30.8	38.5	15.4	0.0	15.3	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	1,378	53.9%	26.8%	8.7%	1.9%	4.7%	4.0%
Male	1,105	48.8	31.9	10.4	3.0	5.8	0.1
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	101	35.6%	42.6%	11.9%	2.0%	6.9%	1.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	43.6	32.4	13.5	4.6	3.5	2.4
35 – 44 yrs	407	47.4	33.9	7.6	3.2	6.9	1.0
45 – 54 yrs	738	50.8	28.2	11.1	2.3	5.7	1.9
55 – 64 yrs	540	56.3	25.4	10.0	1.7	4.6	2.0
65 yrs & up	436	59.9	25.9	4.8	1.4	4.4	3.6
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	25	40.0%	44.0%	8.0%	4.0%	4.0%	0.0%
High school / GED	385	51.4	30.4	10.4	3.1	4.4	0.3
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	51.3	32.3	8.8	2.7	4.7	0.2
Associate's degree	206	44.7	37.4	8.3	2.4	6.8	0.4
Bachelor's degree	606	54.6	25.7	11.4	1.8	6.1	0.4
Graduate/professional degree	545	56.9	26.4	8.6	2.4	5.1	0.6
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	40.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	16.0	14.7	4.0	0.0	2.7	62.6
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	49.3%	31.7%	10.6%	2.4%	5.5%	0.5%
Full-time homemaker	138	58.7	24.6	9.4	1.4	5.1	0.8
Part-time	163	50.3	29.4	9.8	1.8	8.7	0.0
Unemployed	97	51.5	27.8	9.3	8.2	3.2	0.0
Disabled for work	41	58.5	26.8	4.9	4.9	4.9	0.0
In school only	32	37.5	34.4	21.9	3.1	3.1	0.0
Retired	446	61.4	25.6	6.5	1.3	4.7	0.5
Other	109	56.9	27.5	9.2	3.7	2.7	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	19.5	13.0	2.6	0.0	3.9	61.0
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	55.8%	27.1%	9.6%	2.0%	5.2%	0.3%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	41.1	33.9	9.2	3.4	5.3	7.1
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Rating of Neighborhood-level Services, by Select Household Characteristics**

Government Service: "Garbage Collection."

*"Would you rate garbage collection in your neighborhood as excellent, pretty good, average, or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	51.6%	29.1%	9.5%	2.4%	5.2%	2.2%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	<b>1,727</b>	52.9%	28.8%	10.0%	2.3%	5.6%	0.4%
4 – 6 residents	<b>631</b>	51.2	32.3	9.0	2.5	4.8	0.2
7 or more residents	<b>43</b>	55.8	25.6	7.0	7.0	4.6	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>84</b>	26.2	11.9	3.6	0.0	2.4	55.9
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	<b>72</b>	40.3%	38.9%	11.1%	4.2%	5.5%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>97</b>	39.2	38.1	14.4	2.1	5.2	1.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>367</b>	52.0	30.8	9.8	2.2	5.2	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>270</b>	54.1	28.5	11.9	2.2	3.0	0.3
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>334</b>	51.2	29.9	10.8	2.4	5.7	0.0
\$80,000 or more	<b>883</b>	58.0	26.6	8.0	2.2	4.8	0.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>119</b>	47.9	29.4	10.1	3.4	9.2	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>343</b>	40.2	28.6	7.6	2.6	6.4	14.6

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Rating of Neighborhood-level Services, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Neighborhood Service: "Snow removal."

*"Would you rate snow removal in your neighborhood as excellent, pretty good, average, or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	21.2%	35.3%	22.4%	13.8%	5.2%	2.1%
<b>Community Council Area</b>							
Abbott Loop	77	14.3%	37.7%	23.4%	20.8%	0.0%	3.9%
Airport Heights	100	17.0	38.0	23.0	16.0	5.0	1.0
Basher	5	20.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	13.2	40.7	28.6	14.3	3.3	0.0
Bear Valley	9	22.2	33.3	11.1	0.0	33.3	0.0
Birchwood	32	25.0	40.6	18.8	12.5	0.0	3.1
Campbell Park	78	17.9	33.3	28.2	9.0	6.4	5.1
Chugiak	104	33.7	33.7	23.1	6.7	1.0	1.9
Downtown	11	18.2	54.5	9.1	9.1	9.1	0.0
Eagle River	93	20.4	33.3	26.9	15.1	0.0	4.3
Eagle River Valley	110	26.4	36.4	15.5	14.5	5.5	1.8
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	11.8	42.6	19.1	16.2	7.4	2.9
Girdwood	66	22.7	34.8	24.2	10.6	7.6	0.0
Glen Alps	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	20.0	20.0	30.0	20.0	0.0	10.0
Hillside East	56	53.6	21.4	8.9	1.8	12.5	1.8
Huffman/O'Malley	121	24.0	28.9	24.0	14.9	6.6	1.7
Mid-Hillside	119	27.7	32.8	12.6	4.2	21.0	1.7
Mountain View	9	33.3	33.3	22.2	11.1	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	18.8	30.4	29.0	15.9	2.9	2.9
Northeast	72	13.9	33.3	29.2	15.3	6.9	1.4
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	15.1	34.9	28.3	17.9	1.9	1.9
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	27.9	25.4	11.5	12.3	19.7	3.3
Rogers Park	106	20.8	38.7	21.7	15.1	0.9	2.8
Russian Jack Park	67	13.4	35.8	28.4	16.4	3.0	3.0
Sand Lake	105	19.0	35.2	30.5	14.3	0.0	1.0
Scenic Foothills	88	13.6	37.5	26.1	21.6	1.1	0.0
South Addition	101	18.8	43.6	20.8	13.9	1.0	2.0
South Fork	7	42.9	57.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Spenard	71	18.3	39.4	12.7	25.4	2.8	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	20.0	35.0	22.0	14.0	7.0	2.0
Tudor Area	49	18.4	46.9	22.4	12.2	0.0	0.0
Turnagain	80	23.8	43.8	18.8	11.3	2.5	0.0
University Area	81	16.0	37.0	30.9	12.3	2.5	1.2
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	25.0	27.5	22.5	16.3	3.8	5.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Rating of Neighborhood-level Services, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Government Service: "Snow Removal."

*"Would you rate snow removal in your neighborhood as excellent, pretty good, average or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	21.2%	35.3%	22.4%	13.8%	5.2%	2.1%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	18.8%	26.0%	24.0%	28.1%	3.1%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	4.8	47.6	28.6	14.3	4.7	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	16.7	38.9	24.1	16.7	3.6	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	16.7	25.0	25.0	16.7	16.6	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	22.1	36.8	22.8	12.8	5.2	0.3
All Other	199	23.6	30.2	21.6	18.6	6.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	108	13.0	19.4	11.1	9.3	3.7	43.5
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	76	15.8%	36.8%	26.3%	17.1%	4.0%	0.0%
No	2,393	21.5	35.1	22.3	13.7	5.1	2.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	7.7	46.2	7.7	15.4	23.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	1,378	21.8%	33.7%	22.2%	13.6%	5.2%	3.5%
Male	1,105	20.5	37.4	22.5	14.1	5.1	0.4
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	101	15.8%	29.7%	27.7%	21.8%	4.0%	1.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	15.1	35.1	28.6	16.2	3.1	1.9
35 – 44 yrs	407	20.4	34.6	22.1	17.2	4.7	1.0
45 – 54 yrs	738	23.2	34.0	22.4	13.4	5.6	1.4
55 – 64 yrs	540	21.7	35.0	22.8	12.2	6.7	1.6
65 yrs & up	436	23.4	40.1	17.4	10.3	4.6	4.2
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	25	8.0%	44.0%	36.0%	8.0%	4.0%	0.0%
High school / GED	385	15.3	37.1	26.8	16.1	4.4	0.3
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	21.0	33.9	24.3	16.1	4.5	0.2
Associate's degree	206	20.9	36.4	19.9	17.5	5.3	0.0
Bachelor's degree	606	23.9	37.3	22.6	10.6	5.3	0.3
Graduate/professional degree	545	25.3	35.4	19.3	13.2	6.6	0.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	20.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	8.0	16.0	5.3	6.7	1.3	62.7
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	20.3%	34.8%	24.5%	15.0%	5.3%	0.1%
Full-time homemaker	138	21.7	33.3	23.9	16.7	3.6	0.8
Part-time	163	18.4	37.4	26.4	13.5	4.3	0.0
Unemployed	97	25.8	39.2	16.5	16.5	2.0	0.0
Disabled for work	41	19.5	22.0	24.4	31.7	2.4	0.0
In school only	32	12.5	40.6	34.4	9.4	3.1	0.0
Retired	446	26.7	39.2	17.3	9.6	6.7	0.5
Other	109	22.9	37.6	22.0	11.9	5.6	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	9.1	16.9	5.2	5.2	2.6	61.0
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	22.8%	35.4%	22.9%	13.7%	4.9%	0.3%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	17.5	34.9	21.0	14.1	5.8	6.7
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.



**Rating of Neighborhood-level Services, by Select Household Characteristics**

Government Service: "Snow Removal."

*"Would you rate snow removal in your neighborhood as excellent, pretty good, average, or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	21.2%	35.3%	22.4%	13.8%	5.2%	2.1%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	1,727	22.7%	35.3%	23.0%	13.2%	5.5%	0.3%
4 – 6 residents	631	18.9	37.9	22.2	16.5	4.5	0.0
7 or more residents	43	18.6	34.9	30.2	9.3	7.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	84	10.7	15.5	7.1	9.5	1.2	56.0
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	72	18.1%	40.3%	22.2%	13.9%	5.5%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	97	12.4	32.0	37.1	13.4	5.1	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	367	18.5	38.1	22.9	15.3	5.2	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	21.9	36.7	25.2	14.1	2.1	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	19.5	37.7	24.6	13.5	4.7	0.0
\$80,000 or more	883	25.3	34.3	20.0	14.3	5.8	0.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	20.2	35.3	23.5	13.4	6.7	0.9
Missing/Refuse	343	18.7	31.2	19.0	11.7	5.5	13.9

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Rating of Neighborhood-level Services, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Neighborhood Service: "Recreational services."

*"Would you rate recreational services in your neighborhood as excellent, pretty good, average, or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	17.7%	33.1%	19.6%	14.4%	12.8%	2.4%
<b>Community Council Area</b>							
Abbott Loop	77	14.3%	33.8%	19.5%	13.0%	15.6%	3.9%
Airport Heights	100	12.0	31.0	23.0	12.0	21.0	1.0
Basher	5	60.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	12.1	42.9	26.4	8.8	9.9	0.0
Bear Valley	9	22.2	22.2	33.3	0.0	22.2	0.0
Birchwood	32	9.4	28.1	21.9	21.9	12.5	6.3
Campbell Park	78	16.7	37.2	17.9	14.1	7.7	6.4
Chugiak	104	12.5	31.7	17.3	19.2	17.3	1.9
Downtown	11	18.2	54.5	0.0	18.2	9.1	0.0
Eagle River	93	14.0	33.3	24.7	20.4	3.2	4.3
Eagle River Valley	110	20.0	33.6	24.5	12.7	6.4	2.7
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	11.8	33.8	17.6	19.1	14.7	2.9
Girdwood	66	13.6	31.8	19.7	25.8	9.1	0.0
Glen Alps	2	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	13.3	16.7	40.0	16.7	0.0	13.3
Hillside East	56	30.4	21.4	12.5	16.1	16.1	3.6
Huffman/O'Malley	121	16.5	29.8	24.0	12.4	15.7	1.7
Mid-Hillside	119	28.6	29.4	12.6	10.9	16.8	1.7
Mountain View	9	33.3	44.4	0.0	11.1	11.1	0.0
North Star	69	18.8	29.0	18.8	15.9	14.5	2.9
Northeast	72	11.1	36.1	16.7	25.0	8.3	2.8
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	13.2	38.7	27.4	12.3	6.6	1.9
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	18.0	28.7	12.3	19.7	18.9	2.5
Rogers Park	106	17.0	34.0	16.0	8.5	22.6	1.9
Russian Jack Park	67	13.4	29.9	20.9	19.4	13.4	3.0
Sand Lake	105	27.6	30.5	17.1	13.3	9.5	1.9
Scenic Foothills	88	19.3	29.5	21.6	15.9	13.6	0.0
South Addition	101	28.7	43.6	13.9	4.0	7.9	2.0
South Fork	7	14.3	28.6	0.0	14.3	42.9	0.0
Spennard	71	19.7	32.4	15.5	19.7	11.3	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	19.0	35.0	21.0	12.0	11.0	2.0
Tudor Area	49	12.2	46.9	16.3	14.3	10.2	0.0
Turnagain	80	21.3	41.3	21.3	6.3	10.0	0.0
University Area	81	6.2	30.9	19.8	17.3	23.5	2.5
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	22.5	27.5	23.8	11.3	8.8	6.1

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Rating of Neighborhood-level Services, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Government Service: "Recreational Services."

*"Would you rate recreational services in your neighborhood as excellent, pretty good, average or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	17.7%	33.1%	19.6%	14.4%	12.8%	2.4%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	13.5%	30.2%	28.1%	14.6%	13.6%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	9.5	42.9	21.4	19.0	7.2	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	16.7	31.5	22.2	27.8	1.8	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	16.7	41.7	25.0	8.3	8.3	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	18.6	34.1	20.1	13.8	12.9	0.5
All Other	199	17.6	29.1	15.1	21.1	16.6	0.5
Missing/Refuse	108	9.3	19.4	9.3	5.6	13.0	43.4
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	76	13.2%	36.8%	18.4%	19.7%	11.9%	0.0%
No	2,393	17.9	33.0	19.6	14.2	12.8	2.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	0.0	33.3	33.3	33.4	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	15.4	23.1	15.4	15.4	30.7	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	1,378	17.2%	33.1%	19.7%	14.0%	12.1%	3.9%
Male	1,105	18.4	33.1	19.4	14.8	13.8	0.5
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	101	13.9%	32.7%	21.8%	18.8%	11.9%	0.9%
25 – 34 yrs	259	14.7	33.6	23.6	15.8	10.4	1.9
35 – 44 yrs	407	16.7	35.1	18.7	19.7	8.6	1.2
45 – 54 yrs	738	19.2	32.5	23.4	14.2	8.9	1.8
55 – 64 yrs	540	21.3	33.5	16.7	13.9	12.6	2.0
65 yrs & up	436	14.4	31.7	14.9	8.7	25.5	4.8
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	25	8.0%	16.0%	20.0%	16.0%	40.0%	0.0%
High school / GED	385	13.8	30.9	23.9	16.1	14.0	1.3
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	18.7	34.5	15.7	17.6	13.3	0.2
Associate's degree	206	11.7	31.6	22.8	16.0	17.9	0.0
Bachelor's degree	606	20.0	34.7	21.0	14.2	9.9	0.2
Graduate/professional degree	545	20.7	35.6	20.4	10.3	12.5	0.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	40.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	8.0	12.0	6.7	5.3	5.3	62.7
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	18.5%	34.3%	21.7%	14.8%	10.3%	0.4%
Full-time homemaker	138	18.8	31.2	20.3	13.8	15.2	0.7
Part-time	163	20.9	36.8	15.3	17.2	9.8	0.0
Unemployed	97	17.5	35.1	23.7	14.4	9.3	0.0
Disabled for work	41	7.3	22.0	17.1	36.6	17.0	0.0
In school only	32	21.9	37.5	18.8	12.5	9.3	0.0
Retired	446	16.8	33.9	16.4	10.5	21.1	1.3
Other	109	15.6	26.6	19.3	20.2	18.3	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	7.8	13.0	5.2	5.2	7.8	61.0
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	17.8%	32.5%	20.6%	14.7%	13.8%	0.6%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	17.5	34.5	17.0	13.6	10.4	7.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Rating of Neighborhood-level Services, by Select Household Characteristics**

Government Service: "Recreational Services."

*"Would you rate recreational services in your neighborhood as excellent, pretty good, average, or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	17.7%	33.1%	19.6%	14.4%	12.8%	2.4%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	1,727	18.5%	33.4%	19.2%	14.0%	14.5%	0.4%
4 – 6 residents	631	17.3	34.7	21.6	16.5	9.7	0.2
7 or more residents	43	9.3	37.2	30.2	16.3	7.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	84	9.5	13.1	8.3	7.1	6.0	56.0
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	72	18.1%	27.8%	12.5%	2.8%	20.8%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	97	12.4	28.9	20.6	21.6	16.5	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	367	17.4	30.8	21.8	15.3	14.4	0.3
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	16.7	34.4	20.7	15.2	12.6	0.4
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	13.2	33.8	21.6	15.3	15.3	0.8
\$80,000 or more	883	22.1	34.3	19.9	13.7	9.6	0.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	17.6	37.8	16.8	10.9	16.0	0.9
Missing/Refuse	343	13.4	31.2	15.7	11.7	13.4	14.6

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Rating of Neighborhood-level Services, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**Neighborhood Service: *"The overall condition of streets and roadways."**"Would you rate the overall condition of streets and roadways in your neighborhood as excellent, pretty good, average, or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	<b>14.9%</b>	<b>42.5%</b>	<b>24.9%</b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>
<b>Community Council Area</b>							
Abbott Loop	77	2.6%	40.3%	40.3%	13.0%	0.0%	3.9%
Airport Heights	100	19.0	47.0	22.0	10.0	1.0	1.0
Basher	5	20.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	14.3	42.9	29.7	13.2	0.0	0.0
Bear Valley	9	11.1	22.2	22.2	44.4	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	25.0	34.4	31.3	6.3	0.0	3.1
Campbell Park	78	7.7	35.9	30.8	19.2	0.0	6.4
Chugiak	104	19.2	45.2	26.0	6.7	1.0	1.9
Downtown	11	9.1	54.5	27.3	9.1	0.0	0.0
Eagle River	93	19.4	39.8	29.0	7.5	0.0	4.3
Eagle River Valley	110	17.3	45.5	25.5	8.2	1.8	1.8
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	11.8	50.0	22.1	11.8	1.5	2.9
Girdwood	66	7.6	25.8	18.2	48.5	0.0	0.0
Glen Alps	2	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	16.7	43.3	20.0	10.0	0.0	10.0
Hillside East	56	12.5	42.9	30.4	12.5	0.0	1.8
Huffman/O'Malley	121	25.6	43.0	23.1	5.0	1.7	1.7
Mid-Hillside	119	20.2	36.1	20.2	13.4	8.4	1.7
Mountain View	9	11.1	44.4	11.1	33.3	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	10.1	47.8	30.4	7.2	0.0	4.3
Northeast	72	12.5	41.7	27.8	16.7	0.0	1.4
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	11.3	41.5	22.6	20.8	0.9	2.8
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	19.7	25.4	23.0	24.6	6.6	0.8
Rogers Park	106	18.9	55.7	16.0	6.6	0.0	2.8
Russian Jack Park	67	4.5	52.2	22.4	17.9	0.0	3.0
Sand Lake	105	7.6	36.2	39.0	16.2	0.0	1.0
Scenic Foothills	88	11.4	47.7	27.3	12.5	1.1	0.0
South Addition	101	17.8	59.4	13.9	5.0	2.0	2.0
South Fork	7	14.3	42.9	14.3	28.6	0.0	0.0
Spennard	71	9.9	31.0	25.4	31.0	0.0	2.8
Taku/Campbell	100	13.0	47.0	22.0	16.0	0.0	2.0
Tudor Area	49	24.5	46.9	16.3	12.2	0.0	0.0
Turnagain	80	21.3	47.5	15.0	16.3	0.0	0.0
University Area	81	9.9	37.0	35.8	16.0	0.0	1.2
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	16.3	42.5	21.3	15.0	0.0	5.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Rating of Neighborhood-level Services, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Government Service: "The overall condition of streets and roadways."

*"Would you rate the overall condition of streets and roadways in your neighborhood as excellent, pretty good, average or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	14.9%	42.5%	24.9%	14.4%	1.2%	2.1%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	24.0%	30.2%	18.8%	27.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	14.3	47.6	31.0	4.8	2.3	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	11.1	48.1	27.8	13.0	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	0.0	50.0	25.0	16.7	8.3	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	15.0	44.1	25.4	13.9	1.3	0.3
All Other	199	16.1	41.7	24.1	17.6	0.5	0.0
Missing/Refuse	108	7.4	20.4	18.5	9.3	0.9	43.5
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	76	5.3%	44.7%	31.6%	17.1%	1.3%	0.0%
No	2,393	15.3	42.5	24.6	14.2	1.2	2.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	33.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	7.7	38.5	30.8	23.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	1,378	14.5%	40.0%	25.3%	15.7%	1.0%	3.5%
Male	1,105	15.5	45.8	24.3	12.8	1.4	0.4
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	101	12.9%	40.6%	25.7%	17.8%	1.0%	2.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	10.8	39.4	30.5	17.0	0.4	1.9
35 – 44 yrs	407	13.8	41.0	26.3	17.0	1.0	0.9
45 – 54 yrs	738	15.2	43.4	25.3	14.4	0.3	1.4
55 – 64 yrs	540	16.7	41.7	23.3	13.7	2.8	1.9
65 yrs & up	436	16.5	46.3	21.3	10.6	1.4	3.9
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	25	12.0%	48.0%	36.0%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%
High school / GED	385	15.3	43.1	24.7	16.4	0.5	0.0
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	13.8	42.9	26.0	16.1	0.9	0.2
Associate's degree	206	17.0	45.1	20.9	15.0	1.9	0.0
Bachelor's degree	606	14.9	43.6	26.9	13.0	1.3	0.3
Graduate/professional degree	545	17.1	43.7	23.7	13.8	1.3	0.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	0.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	4.0	10.7	14.7	6.7	1.3	62.6
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	15.1%	42.9%	26.3%	14.6%	1.0%	0.1%
Full-time homemaker	138	11.6	41.3	29.7	16.7	0.7	0.0
Part-time	163	14.1	42.9	23.9	17.8	1.3	0.0
Unemployed	97	13.4	43.3	24.7	18.6	0.0	0.0
Disabled for work	41	7.3	36.6	29.3	26.8	0.0	0.0
In school only	32	12.5	43.8	37.5	3.1	3.1	0.0
Retired	446	17.5	48.7	19.5	11.9	2.0	0.4
Other	109	20.2	37.6	26.6	12.8	0.9	1.9
Missing/Refuse	77	5.2	10.4	14.3	7.8	1.3	61.0
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	15.1%	43.3%	26.2%	13.7%	1.3%	0.4%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	14.5	40.6	21.3	16.1	0.7	6.8
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Rating of Neighborhood-level Services, by Select Household Characteristics**Government Service: *"The overall condition of streets and roadways."**"Would you rate the overall condition of streets and roadways in your neighborhood as excellent, pretty good, average, or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	14.9%	42.5%	24.9%	14.4%	1.2%	2.1%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	<b>1,727</b>	15.5%	43.8%	24.8%	14.2%	1.3%	0.4%
4 – 6 residents	<b>631</b>	15.1	43.1	25.7	15.4	0.7	0.0
7 or more residents	<b>43</b>	11.6	44.2	30.2	14.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>84</b>	4.8	11.9	16.7	9.5	1.2	55.9
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	<b>72</b>	12.5%	47.2%	15.3%	23.6%	0.0%	1.4%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>97</b>	14.4	40.2	30.9	13.4	1.1	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>367</b>	10.6	46.0	26.7	16.1	0.6	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>270</b>	14.8	43.7	24.1	17.0	0.0	0.4
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>334</b>	14.4	42.2	25.7	16.8	0.6	0.3
\$80,000 or more	<b>883</b>	17.4	42.6	25.5	12.7	1.6	0.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>119</b>	18.5	46.2	21.0	10.9	3.4	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>343</b>	13.1	36.4	22.7	12.0	1.7	14.1

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.





## **Criminal Justice Capacity**



## Criminal Justice Capacity—An Introduction

The third and final dimension of Community Capacity examined in the *Anchorage Community Survey, 2005* is *criminal justice capacity*. Conceptually, criminal justice capacity is understood as the ability of local and state legal institutions to effectively respond to problems of crime and social disorder. The *Anchorage Community Survey, 2005* examines the aptitude of legal institutions in identifying shared public safety concerns of Anchorage residents, developing responses to the problems identified, and implementing them in a manner that fosters public safety and confidence.

A total of thirteen questions were developed to provide a comprehensive picture of criminal justice capacity within the Anchorage context. The first set of questions deals with the issue of fairness displayed by criminal justice institutions in their dealings with the public. Respondents were asked to rate each of the following criminal justice actors on their fairness:

- Anchorage police;
- Prosecutors;
- Defense attorneys;
- Judges;
- Jail/prison guards; and
- Parole/probation officers.

Taken together, respondents' evaluations of these various groups of criminal justice practitioners provide a unique portrait of the public's views of the fairness of the justice system as a whole. Another measure used to provide an overall assessment of equity in criminal justice processes was an item asking respondents whether they thought criminal justice officials in the Anchorage community treat all racial groups fairly, or if there is a tendency for criminal justice officials to treat some racial groups unfairly. Yet another aspect of criminal justice capacity examined is the extent to which respondents felt that the criminal justice system was an approachable resource in terms of being helpful and friendly.

The *Anchorage Community Survey, 2005* also included several items tapping respondents' evaluations of the criminal justice system with respect to outputs—that is, the ends to which these legal institutions are directed. Respondents were asked how effective the criminal justice system, in general, was in each of the following areas:

- Catching people suspected of committing crimes;
- Charging and trying people accused of crimes;
- Reaching just outcomes at criminal trials; and
- Reducing the amount of crime.

Finally, participants in the survey were asked to give views on the overall punitiveness and severity of criminal sanctions imposed on those convicted of criminal behavior. Specifically, they were asked, “Do you think the criminal justice system deals too harshly or not harshly enough with criminals?”



**Fairness of Criminal Justice System, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Criminal Justice Institution: "Anchorage police."

*"Would you rate Anchorage police as excellent, pretty good, average, or poor with respect to treating people fairly?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	22.2%	44.0%	20.1%	5.6%	5.8%	2.3%
<b>Community Council Area</b>							
Abbott Loop	77	28.6%	31.2%	23.4%	5.2%	7.8%	3.9%
Airport Heights	100	18.0	53.0	16.0	6.0	6.0	1.0
Basher	5	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	23.1	47.3	19.8	5.5	4.4	0.0
Bear Valley	9	22.2	33.3	44.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	15.6	31.3	40.6	6.3	3.1	3.1
Campbell Park	78	21.8	44.9	17.9	5.1	5.1	5.1
Chugiak	104	21.2	52.9	13.5	5.8	4.8	1.9
Downtown	11	18.2	27.3	27.3	9.1	18.2	0.0
Eagle River	93	19.4	43.0	18.3	7.5	7.5	4.3
Eagle River Valley	110	28.2	48.2	14.5	4.5	2.7	1.8
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	22.1	42.6	20.6	7.4	4.4	2.9
Girdwood	66	16.7	27.3	24.2	9.1	21.2	1.5
Glen Alps	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	16.7	36.7	20.0	3.3	13.3	10.0
Hillside East	56	21.4	44.6	19.6	7.1	5.4	1.8
Huffman/O'Malley	121	26.4	48.8	18.2	2.5	2.5	1.7
Mid-Hillside	119	21.8	45.4	18.5	5.9	5.0	3.4
Mountain View	9	22.2	22.2	33.3	22.2	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	24.6	39.1	24.6	5.8	1.4	4.3
Northeast	72	23.6	29.2	27.8	5.6	9.7	4.2
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	21.7	47.2	16.0	5.7	7.5	1.9
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	23.0	47.5	16.4	4.1	6.6	2.5
Rogers Park	106	26.4	48.1	16.0	3.8	3.8	1.9
Russian Jack Park	67	20.9	35.8	32.8	4.5	3.0	3.0
Sand Lake	105	20.0	45.7	23.8	4.8	3.8	1.9
Scenic Foothills	88	27.3	44.3	15.9	5.7	6.8	0.0
South Addition	101	20.8	52.5	17.8	1.0	5.9	2.0
South Fork	7	28.6	57.1	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Spennard	71	19.7	35.2	32.4	9.9	1.4	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	29.0	36.0	19.0	6.0	7.0	3.0
Tudor Area	49	18.4	36.7	24.5	10.2	10.2	0.0
Turnagain	80	20.0	47.5	15.0	6.3	11.3	0.0
University Area	81	16.0	51.9	24.7	1.2	2.5	3.7
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	17.5	46.3	17.5	11.3	2.5	5.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Fairness of Criminal Justice System, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Criminal Justice Institution: "Anchorage police."

*"Would you rate Anchorage police as excellent, pretty good, average, or poor with respect to treating people fairly?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	22.2%	44.0%	20.1%	5.6%	5.8%	2.3%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	17.7%	32.3%	37.5%	8.3%	4.2%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	7.1	28.6	31.0	26.2	7.1	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	5.6	46.3	27.8	13.0	7.4	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	16.7	33.3	33.3	8.3	8.3	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	24.1	46.7	18.4	4.6	5.9	0.3
All Other	199	21.6	34.2	29.1	9.0	5.0	1.0
Missing/Refuse	108	7.4	28.7	9.3	2.8	3.7	48.1
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	76	13.2%	35.5%	32.9%	7.9%	7.9%	2.6%
No	2,393	22.5	44.3	19.7	5.4	5.7	2.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	15.4	38.5	23.1	15.4	7.7	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	1,378	20.5%	44.1%	19.9%	5.1%	6.4%	4.0%
Male	1,105	24.3	43.7	20.5	6.2	5.0	0.3
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	101	15.8%	34.7%	33.7%	7.9%	6.9%	1.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	17.4	44.8	21.6	10.0	4.2	1.9
35 – 44 yrs	407	21.6	42.3	20.6	5.9	7.6	2.0
45 – 54 yrs	738	21.4	45.9	19.8	6.1	4.7	2.0
55 – 64 yrs	540	24.4	45.6	19.6	5.2	3.3	1.9
65 yrs & up	436	25.7	42.4	17.0	1.6	9.4	3.9
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	25	16.0%	32.0%	28.0%	8.0%	16.0%	0.0%
High School/GED	385	21.8	37.4	26.0	7.0	6.8	1.0
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	23.8	42.5	21.9	6.3	5.2	0.3
Associate's degree	206	18.9	44.2	22.8	11.7	2.4	0.0
Bachelor's degree	606	22.6	48.2	19.8	3.3	5.9	0.2
Graduate/professional degree	545	23.9	50.1	15.0	4.4	6.4	0.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	0.0	40.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	6.7	16.0	4.0	0.0	4.0	69.3
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	21.6%	45.9%	20.5%	6.4%	5.3%	0.3%
Full-time homemaker	138	25.4	44.2	16.7	6.5	7.2	0.0
Part-time	163	17.8	42.3	25.8	4.3	8.6	1.2
Unemployed	97	18.6	40.2	24.7	8.2	8.2	0.0
Disabled for work	41	31.7	31.7	31.7	4.9	0.0	0.0
In school only	32	6.3	50.0	28.1	15.6	0.0	0.0
Retired	446	27.8	45.1	18.2	2.2	6.1	0.7
Other	109	23.9	44.0	19.3	7.3	5.5	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	6.5	14.3	3.9	0.0	6.5	68.8
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	23.4%	46.1%	19.4%	5.5%	5.1%	0.5%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	19.2	38.5	21.9	5.7	7.4	7.4
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Fairness of Criminal Justice System, by Select Household Characteristics**

Criminal Justice Institution: "Anchorage police."

*"Would you rate Anchorage police as excellent, pretty good, average, or poor with respect to treating people fairly?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	22.2%	44.0%	20.1%	5.6%	5.8%	2.3%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	<b>1,727</b>	22.6%	44.6%	21.2%	5.4%	5.8%	0.4%
4 – 6 residents	<b>631</b>	22.3	45.6	18.7	6.8	6.2	0.4
7 or more residents	<b>43</b>	27.9	48.8	20.9	2.4	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>84</b>	9.5	15.5	8.3	0.0	4.8	61.9
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	<b>72</b>	20.8%	33.3%	34.7%	9.7%	1.5%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>97</b>	23.7	40.2	25.8	7.2	3.1	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>367</b>	21.5	41.7	22.9	7.9	6.0	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>270</b>	20.4	45.2	21.5	6.7	5.6	0.6
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>334</b>	24.6	43.4	18.9	7.8	5.3	0.0
\$80,000 or more	<b>883</b>	23.9	49.3	17.4	4.3	4.9	0.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>119</b>	20.2	41.2	22.7	4.2	11.7	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>343</b>	18.1	36.7	18.7	2.3	7.9	16.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – 14 February, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Fairness of Criminal Justice System, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Criminal Justice Institution: "Prosecutors."

*"Would you rate prosecutors as excellent, pretty good, average, or poor with respect to treating people fairly?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	8.0%	29.7%	21.8%	6.0%	31.5%	3.0%
<b>Community Council Area</b>							
Abbott Loop	77	11.7%	20.8%	16.9%	9.1%	37.7%	3.9%
Airport Heights	100	4.0	32.0	17.0	6.0	40.0	1.0
Basher	5	0.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	6.6	37.4	23.1	5.5	26.4	1.1
Bear Valley	9	0.0	55.6	11.1	11.1	22.2	0.0
Birchwood	32	6.3	28.1	28.1	9.4	25.0	3.1
Campbell Park	78	5.1	34.6	24.4	6.4	24.4	5.1
Chugiak	104	2.9	36.5	21.2	6.7	30.8	1.9
Downtown	11	18.2	27.3	27.3	0.0	27.3	0.0
Eagle River	93	4.3	22.6	28.0	2.2	35.5	7.5
Eagle River Valley	110	11.8	32.7	16.4	4.5	32.7	1.8
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	7.4	20.6	20.6	8.8	39.7	2.9
Girdwood	66	7.6	18.2	15.2	6.1	48.5	4.5
Glen Alps	2	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	6.7	20.0	20.0	3.3	36.7	13.3
Hillside East	56	7.1	39.3	19.6	8.9	23.2	1.8
Huffman/O'Malley	121	7.4	24.0	28.1	5.0	33.9	1.6
Mid-Hillside	119	7.6	35.3	19.3	6.7	27.7	3.4
Mountain View	9	11.1	11.1	22.2	11.1	33.3	11.1
North Star	69	13.0	31.9	14.5	8.7	29.0	2.9
Northeast	72	8.3	16.7	26.4	9.7	34.7	4.2
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	7.5	34.0	19.8	8.5	26.4	3.8
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	13.1	27.0	20.5	4.9	30.3	4.1
Rogers Park	106	4.7	35.8	23.6	1.9	32.1	1.9
Russian Jack Park	67	9.0	20.9	29.9	10.4	26.9	3.0
Sand Lake	105	4.8	27.6	20.0	7.6	38.1	1.9
Scenic Foothills	88	11.4	33.0	27.3	3.4	25.0	0.0
South Addition	101	8.9	34.7	19.8	2.0	30.7	4.0
South Fork	7	14.3	14.3	14.3	14.3	42.9	0.0
Spennard	71	8.5	21.1	23.9	9.9	35.2	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	13.0	28.0	24.0	5.0	27.0	3.0
Tudor Area	49	10.2	26.5	26.5	8.2	26.5	2.0
Turnagain	80	11.3	41.3	15.0	6.3	26.3	0.0
University Area	81	6.2	29.6	19.8	1.2	39.5	3.7
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	3.8	32.5	28.8	6.3	22.5	6.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.



**Fairness of Criminal Justice System, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Criminal Justice Institution: "Prosecutors."

*"Would you rate prosecutors as excellent, pretty good, average, or poor with respect to treating people fairly?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	8.0%	29.7%	21.8%	6.0%	31.5%	3.0%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	6.3%	20.8%	32.3%	3.1%	35.4%	2.1%
Asian (only)	42	9.5	23.8	31.0	4.8	31.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	5.6	31.5	42.6	3.7	14.8	1.9
Pacific Islander (only)	12	16.7	41.7	8.3	0.0	33.3	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	8.5	31.2	21.1	5.7	32.7	0.9
All Other	199	7.0	25.1	22.6	13.6	30.7	1.0
Missing/Refuse	108	1.9	19.4	11.1	3.7	15.7	48.1
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	76	5.3%	31.6%	27.6%	7.9%	25.0%	2.6%
No	2,393	8.1	29.7	21.7	5.9	31.6	3.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	7.7	23.1	15.4	23.1	30.8	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	1,378	7.0%	29.2%	20.5%	3.8%	34.8%	4.7%
Male	1,105	9.2	30.2	23.4	8.8	27.3	1.1
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	101	5.9%	21.8%	24.8%	9.9%	36.6%	1.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	9.3	24.7	23.6	8.5	31.3	2.7
35 – 44 yrs	407	7.6	30.5	21.1	3.9	34.6	2.2
45 – 54 yrs	738	7.5	32.8	23.7	4.9	28.7	2.4
55 – 64 yrs	540	10.2	31.1	21.3	7.4	27.2	2.8
65 yrs & up	436	6.2	27.1	18.3	6.0	37.6	4.8
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	25	12.0%	8.0%	24.0%	8.0%	44.0%	4.0%
High School/GED	385	7.0	27.3	24.7	9.1	30.1	1.8
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	7.5	30.1	23.4	6.4	32.0	0.6
Associate's degree	206	6.3	30.1	22.3	7.8	32.5	1.0
Bachelor's degree	606	8.6	32.0	22.1	4.6	32.0	0.7
Graduate/professional degree	545	9.9	31.0	20.2	4.8	33.2	0.9
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	60.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	1.3	17.3	2.7	1.3	8.0	69.3
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	8.2%	31.9%	23.7%	5.9%	29.4%	0.9%
Full-time homemaker	138	9.4	22.5	22.5	4.3	40.6	0.7
Part-time	163	8.0	28.2	22.7	4.3	35.6	1.2
Unemployed	97	9.3	28.9	20.6	10.3	29.9	1.0
Disabled for work	41	9.8	17.1	24.4	9.8	36.6	2.4
In school only	32	9.4	25.0	21.9	12.5	31.3	0.0
Retired	446	7.6	30.7	19.1	5.6	35.9	1.1
Other	109	6.4	25.7	20.2	11.0	36.7	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	1.3	15.6	2.6	1.3	10.4	68.8
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	8.1%	30.8%	22.8%	6.1%	31.2%	1.0%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	7.7	26.7	19.5	6.0	32.2	8.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Note: These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Fairness of Criminal Justice System, by Select Household Characteristics**

Criminal Justice Institution: "Prosecutors."

*"Would you rate prosecutors as excellent, pretty good, average, or poor with respect to treating people fairly?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	8.0%	29.7%	21.8%	6.0%	31.5%	3.0%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	1,727	7.6%	30.1%	22.9%	6.3%	32.1%	1.0%
4 – 6 residents	631	9.4	30.9	20.4	6.0	32.2	1.1
7 or more residents	43	9.3	18.6	30.2	7.0	34.9	0.0
Missing/Refuse	84	3.6	17.9	4.8	1.2	10.7	61.8
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	72	5.6%	27.8%	26.4%	9.7%	30.5%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	97	7.2	22.7	20.6	9.3	40.2	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	367	7.9	27.8	26.4	5.4	31.1	1.4
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	5.6	30.0	21.1	7.4	35.2	0.7
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	7.2	32.6	21.9	6.3	31.7	0.3
\$80,000 or more	883	10.8	33.4	20.7	5.3	28.9	0.9
Don't Know/ Not Applicable	119	4.2	24.4	26.9	8.4	35.3	0.8
Missing/Refuse	343	5.5	23.3	17.8	4.7	31.8	16.9

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Fairness of Criminal Justice System, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Criminal Justice Institution: "Defense attorneys."

*"Would you rate defense attorneys as excellent, pretty good, average, or poor with respect to treating people fairly?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	6.4%	25.8%	22.2%	6.5%	35.9%	3.2%
<b>Community Council Area</b>							
Abbott Loop	77	6.5%	16.9%	22.1%	7.8%	42.9%	3.9%
Airport Heights	100	7.0	21.0	20.0	4.0	46.0	2.0
Basher	5	0.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	6.6	23.1	19.8	8.8	38.5	3.3
Bear Valley	9	0.0	44.4	11.1	11.1	33.3	0.0
Birchwood	32	6.3	21.9	37.5	12.5	18.8	3.1
Campbell Park	78	5.1	29.5	19.2	6.4	34.6	5.1
Chugiak	104	1.9	31.7	19.2	7.7	37.5	1.9
Downtown	11	9.1	18.2	27.3	0.0	45.5	0.0
Eagle River	93	4.3	19.4	28.0	3.2	39.8	5.4
Eagle River Valley	110	6.4	34.5	18.2	6.4	32.7	1.8
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	4.4	20.6	25.0	5.9	41.2	2.9
Girdwood	66	6.1	18.2	12.1	4.5	54.5	4.5
Glen Alps	2	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	0.0	20.0	26.7	6.7	33.3	13.3
Hillside East	56	7.1	33.9	14.3	10.7	32.1	1.8
Huffman/O'Malley	121	9.1	19.0	32.2	3.3	34.7	1.7
Mid-Hillside	119	7.6	25.2	18.5	10.1	35.3	3.4
Mountain View	9	0.0	11.1	11.1	22.2	44.4	11.1
North Star	69	11.6	24.6	17.4	10.1	33.3	2.9
Northeast	72	6.9	18.1	23.6	6.9	41.7	2.8
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	4.7	32.1	22.6	7.5	30.2	2.8
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	12.3	24.6	21.3	3.3	33.6	4.9
Rogers Park	106	6.6	28.3	17.9	7.5	36.8	2.8
Russian Jack Park	67	4.5	25.4	28.4	9.0	28.4	4.5
Sand Lake	105	4.8	24.8	26.7	4.8	36.2	2.9
Scenic Foothills	88	6.8	25.0	28.4	6.8	33.0	0.0
South Addition	101	8.9	34.7	18.8	4.0	26.7	6.9
South Fork	7	28.6	14.3	14.3	0.0	42.9	0.0
Spennard	71	9.9	19.7	29.6	5.6	33.8	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	4.0	25.0	26.0	6.0	36.0	3.0
Tudor Area	49	10.2	20.4	20.4	12.2	36.7	0.0
Turnagain	80	3.8	37.5	16.3	7.5	35.0	0.0
University Area	81	6.2	27.2	13.6	4.9	44.4	3.7
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	1.3	32.5	27.5	5.0	27.5	6.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Fairness of Criminal Justice System, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Criminal Justice Institution: "Defense attorneys."

*"Would you rate defense attorneys as excellent, pretty good, average, or poor with respect to treating people fairly?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	6.4%	25.8%	22.2%	6.5%	35.9%	3.2%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	2.1%	20.8%	32.3%	8.3%	35.4%	1.1%
Asian (only)	42	7.1	26.2	26.2	14.3	26.2	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	1.9	24.1	37.0	9.3	27.8	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	0.0	33.3	16.7	0.0	50.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	6.8	27.5	21.9	5.5	37.1	1.1
All Other	199	7.5	16.1	21.6	14.1	38.2	2.5
Missing/Refuse	108	2.8	15.7	10.2	5.6	17.6	48.1
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	76	5.3%	21.1%	26.3%	10.5%	34.2%	2.6%
No	2,393	6.4	25.9	22.1	6.3	36.0	3.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	7.7	23.1	7.7	30.8	30.8	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	1,378	5.7%	24.7%	21.8%	4.4%	38.3%	5.1%
Male	1,105	7.2	26.9	22.7	9.2	33.0	0.9
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	101	5.0%	24.8%	17.8%	11.9%	39.6%	0.9%
25 – 34 yrs	259	7.3	22.4	22.4	7.3	36.7	3.9
35 – 44 yrs	407	6.6	23.3	23.3	5.4	39.1	2.3
45 – 54 yrs	738	5.3	29.4	23.7	6.4	32.5	2.7
55 – 64 yrs	540	7.6	27.4	24.1	5.9	32.0	3.0
65 yrs & up	436	6.4	22.2	17.2	6.9	42.7	4.6
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	25	8.0%	8.0%	28.0%	16.0%	36.0%	4.0%
High School/GED	385	6.2	24.2	24.9	9.4	33.5	1.8
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	5.5	22.1	25.5	7.4	38.2	1.3
Associate's degree	206	3.9	22.3	25.7	9.7	37.9	0.5
Bachelor's degree	606	7.1	29.2	20.3	5.0	37.6	0.8
Graduate/professional degree	545	8.4	31.4	19.1	4.4	35.6	1.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	0.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	40.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	1.3	12.0	5.3	12.0	0.0	69.4
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	6.8%	27.1%	24.6%	6.2%	34.2%	1.1%
Full-time homemaker	138	9.4	24.6	17.4	6.5	41.3	0.7
Part-time	163	5.5	30.1	17.2	5.5	39.3	2.5
Unemployed	97	7.2	23.7	30.9	8.2	29.9	0.0
Disabled for work	41	4.9	22.0	29.3	4.9	39.0	0.0
In school only	32	3.1	18.8	18.8	15.6	40.6	3.1
Retired	446	6.5	25.3	18.6	7.0	41.3	1.3
Other	109	2.8	21.1	22.9	10.1	43.1	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	1.3	10.4	3.9	1.3	14.3	68.8
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	6.7%	26.3%	23.4%	6.8%	35.7%	1.1%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	5.7	24.1	19.2	5.8	36.6	8.5
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Fairness of Criminal Justice System, by Select Household Characteristics**

Criminal Justice Institution: "Defense Attorneys."

*"Would you rate defense attorneys as excellent, pretty good, average, or poor with respect to treating people fairly?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	6.4%	25.8%	22.2%	6.5%	35.9%	3.2%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	1,727	6.3%	25.8%	23.3%	6.3%	37.3%	1.0%
4 – 6 residents	631	7.3	27.1	20.8	7.3	36.0	1.6
7 or more residents	43	7.0	30.2	30.2	11.6	21.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	84	1.2	13.1	6.0	3.6	14.3	61.8
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	72	2.8%	26.4%	22.2%	8.3%	37.5%	2.8%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	97	4.1	19.6	25.8	12.4	37.1	1.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	367	7.4	22.9	26.4	6.8	35.4	1.1
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	5.9	23.3	24.1	5.6	40.4	0.7
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	5.1	29.6	22.2	4.8	37.1	1.2
\$80,000 or more	883	8.4	30.5	19.9	6.6	33.5	1.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	2.5	23.5	24.4	5.0	43.7	0.9
Missing/Refuse	343	4.7	17.2	20.1	7.0	34.7	16.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Fairness of Criminal Justice System, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Criminal Justice Institution: "Judges."

*"Would you rate judges as excellent, pretty good, average, or poor with respect to treating people fairly?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	13.3%	35.5%	20.8%	6.9%	20.7%	2.8%
<b>Community Council Area</b>							
Abbott Loop	77	11.7%	22.1%	22.1%	9.1%	31.2%	3.8%
Airport Heights	100	7.0	48.0	13.0	4.0	27.0	1.0
Basher	5	20.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	8.8	38.5	20.9	6.6	24.2	1.0
Bear Valley	9	0.0	44.4	33.3	11.1	11.2	0.0
Birchwood	32	9.4	25.0	37.5	12.5	12.5	3.1
Campbell Park	78	14.1	35.9	16.7	11.5	16.7	5.1
Chugiak	104	9.6	33.7	18.3	9.6	26.9	1.9
Downtown	11	9.1	45.5	0.0	9.1	36.3	0.0
Eagle River	93	11.8	25.8	28.0	4.3	21.5	8.6
Eagle River Valley	110	14.5	39.1	16.4	10.0	18.2	1.8
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	16.2	29.4	19.1	4.4	27.9	3.0
Girdwood	66	15.2	22.7	13.6	7.6	36.4	4.5
Glen Alps	2	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	10.0	30.0	16.7	3.3	26.7	13.3
Hillside East	56	10.7	48.2	10.7	8.9	19.6	1.9
Huffman/O'Malley	121	14.9	28.1	29.8	8.3	17.4	1.7
Mid-Hillside	119	10.9	42.0	16.8	8.4	19.3	2.6
Mountain View	9	11.1	33.3	44.4	0.0	11.1	0.0
North Star	69	18.8	34.8	21.7	4.3	17.4	3.0
Northeast	72	13.9	25.0	29.2	2.8	26.4	2.8
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	11.3	39.6	24.5	8.5	14.2	1.9
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	21.3	37.7	17.2	4.9	16.4	2.5
Rogers Park	106	21.7	40.6	11.3	5.7	17.9	2.8
Russian Jack Park	67	10.4	20.9	32.8	14.9	17.9	3.0
Sand Lake	105	11.4	38.1	16.2	10.5	21.9	1.9
Scenic Foothills	88	12.5	37.5	25.0	4.5	19.3	1.1
South Addition	101	25.7	39.6	16.8	2.0	13.9	2.0
South Fork	7	0.0	42.9	42.9	0.0	14.3	0.0
Spennard	71	7.0	35.2	28.2	7.0	21.1	1.5
Taku/Campbell	100	14.0	40.0	17.0	7.0	17.0	5.0
Tudor Area	49	14.3	36.7	20.4	12.2	14.3	2.0
Turnagain	80	12.5	40.0	20.0	5.0	22.5	0.0
University Area	81	9.9	30.9	24.7	3.7	28.4	2.4
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	8.8	37.5	27.5	3.8	16.3	6.1

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Fairness of Criminal Justice System, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Criminal Justice Institution: "Judges."

*"Would you rate judges as excellent, pretty good, average, or poor with respect to treating people fairly?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	13.3%	35.5%	20.8%	6.9%	20.7%	2.8%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	7.3%	33.3%	26.0%	7.3%	26.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	4.8	35.7	33.3	4.8	19.0	2.4
Black/African American (only)	54	9.3	33.3	38.9	7.4	11.1	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	33.3	25.0	16.7	0.0	25.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	14.9	36.8	20.3	6.4	21.0	0.6
All Other	199	7.5	30.7	23.6	14.6	21.1	2.5
Missing/Refuse	108	2.8	24.1	6.5	2.8	15.7	48.1
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	76	11.8%	38.2%	25.0%	6.6%	15.8%	2.6%
No	2,393	13.5	35.4	20.7	6.9	20.8	2.8
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	0.0	38.5	15.4	15.4	30.7	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	1,378	12.0%	35.9%	19.6%	4.6%	23.4%	4.5%
Male	1,105	14.9	34.8	22.3	9.8	17.5	0.8
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	101	11.9%	24.8%	26.7%	6.9%	28.7%	1.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	12.0	31.7	22.4	7.3	24.3	2.3
35 – 44 yrs	407	13.0	31.4	24.1	5.7	23.6	2.2
45 – 54 yrs	738	12.5	39.3	20.5	6.5	19.0	2.3
55 – 64 yrs	540	14.8	39.6	20.4	7.0	15.4	2.8
65 yrs & up	436	14.4	32.6	16.5	8.5	23.9	4.1
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	25	12.0%	16.0%	28.0%	12.0%	28.0%	4.0%
High School/GED	385	10.4	30.4	27.8	8.6	22.1	0.8
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	12.4	34.8	21.9	8.9	21.5	0.5
Associate's degree	206	11.2	35.0	19.9	11.2	20.9	1.9
Bachelor's degree	606	13.5	37.3	20.8	5.0	22.6	0.8
Graduate/professional degree	545	18.7	42.0	16.3	4.8	17.8	0.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	0.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	2.7	12.0	5.3	0.0	10.7	69.3
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	12.5%	37.0%	32.2%	6.6%	20.0%	0.7%
Full-time homemaker	138	13.8	38.4	19.6	5.8	22.5	0.0
Part-time	163	15.3	39.3	17.2	2.5	23.9	1.8
Unemployed	97	16.5	36.1	18.6	11.3	17.5	0.0
Disabled for work	41	12.2	26.8	29.3	9.8	19.5	2.4
In school only	32	9.4	37.5	21.9	6.3	25.0	0.0
Retired	446	16.6	35.9	17.3	8.5	21.1	0.7
Other	109	12.8	23.9	21.1	12.8	29.4	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	2.6	11.7	5.2	11.7	31.2	68.8
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	13.9%	37.0%	21.3%	7.6%	19.6%	0.6%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	11.9	31.5	19.3	5.1	23.7	8.4
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Fairness of Criminal Justice System, by Select Household Characteristics**

Criminal Justice Institution: "Judges."

*"Would you rate judges as excellent, pretty good, average, or poor with respect to treating people fairly?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	13.3%	35.5%	20.8%	6.9%	20.7%	2.8%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	<b>1,727</b>	13.2%	36.7%	20.8%	6.9%	21.7%	0.7%
4 – 6 residents	<b>631</b>	15.4	34.5	22.7	7.1	19.7	0.6
7 or more residents	<b>43</b>	7.0	39.5	20.9	16.3	16.3	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>84</b>	3.6	15.5	6.0	1.2	11.9	61.8
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	<b>72</b>	19.4%	22.2%	25.0%	13.9%	18.1%	1.4%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>97</b>	9.3	34.0	23.7	8.2	24.8	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>367</b>	12.3	33.2	24.5	6.0	23.2	0.8
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>270</b>	14.4	33.3	21.5	7.4	22.6	0.7
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>334</b>	12.9	36.8	19.8	9.6	20.1	0.8
\$80,000 or more	<b>883</b>	16.0	39.3	20.0	6.2	17.8	0.7
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>119</b>	8.4	31.9	25.2	3.4	31.1	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>343</b>	8.7	32.7	15.7	6.1	20.7	16.1

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.



**Fairness of Criminal Justice System, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Criminal Justice Institution: "Jail/prison guards."

*"Would you rate jail/prison guards as excellent, pretty good, average, or poor with respect to treating people fairly?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	4.3%	16.5%	15.5%	3.8%	56.6%	3.3%
<b>Community Council Area</b>							
Abbott Loop	77	3.9%	16.9%	14.3%	6.5%	53.2%	5.2%
Airport Heights	100	2.0	18.0	12.0	4.0	62.0	2.0
Basher	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	3.3	15.4	14.3	4.4	62.6	0.0
Bear Valley	9	0.0	33.3	0.0	11.1	55.6	0.0
Birchwood	32	0.0	31.3	18.8	9.4	34.4	6.1
Campbell Park	78	2.6	17.9	20.5	3.8	50.0	5.1
Chugiak	104	7.7	18.3	18.3	1.9	51.0	2.8
Downtown	11	0.0	27.3	18.2	0.0	54.5	0.0
Eagle River	93	5.4	18.3	18.3	0.0	52.7	5.3
Eagle River Valley	110	3.6	22.7	12.7	3.6	54.5	2.9
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	2.9	16.2	16.2	2.9	58.8	2.9
Girdwood	66	4.5	12.1	9.1	4.5	65.2	4.6
Glen Alps	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	3.3	10.0	16.7	0.0	60.0	10.0
Hillside East	56	0.0	8.9	12.5	1.8	75.0	1.8
Huffman/O'Malley	121	6.6	16.5	19.0	2.5	53.7	1.7
Mid-Hillside	119	2.5	13.4	13.4	2.5	64.7	3.4
Mountain View	9	11.1	11.1	11.1	0.0	55.6	11.1
North Star	69	8.7	11.6	18.8	5.8	50.7	4.4
Northeast	72	4.2	16.7	12.5	8.3	55.6	2.8
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	4.7	18.9	14.2	3.8	54.7	3.8
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	6.6	9.0	14.8	4.1	61.5	4.1
Rogers Park	106	3.8	18.9	16.0	1.9	56.6	2.8
Russian Jack Park	67	4.5	17.9	23.9	1.5	49.3	3.0
Sand Lake	105	3.8	23.8	11.4	1.9	55.2	3.9
Scenic Foothills	88	5.7	23.9	12.5	3.4	52.3	2.2
South Addition	101	5.9	13.9	18.8	5.0	52.5	4.0
South Fork	7	0.0	14.3	14.3	14.3	57.1	0.0
Spennard	71	2.8	8.5	14.1	5.6	67.6	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	9.0	17.0	13.0	6.0	50.0	5.0
Tudor Area	49	2.0	14.3	30.6	8.2	44.9	0.0
Turnagain	80	0.0	13.8	13.8	3.8	68.8	0.0
University Area	81	7.4	11.1	11.1	1.2	66.7	2.5
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	1.3	20.0	17.5	7.5	47.5	6.2

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Fairness of Criminal Justice System, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Criminal Justice Institution: "Jail/prison guards."

*"Would you rate jail/prison guards as excellent, pretty good, average, or poor with respect to treating people fairly?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	4.3%	16.5%	15.5%	3.8%	56.6%	3.3%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	2.1%	15.6%	19.8%	5.2%	56.3%	1.0%
Asian (only)	42	2.4	14.3	28.6	7.1	45.2	2.4
Black/African American (only)	54	1.9	29.6	27.8	3.7	35.2	1.9
Pacific Islander (only)	12	16.7	8.3	16.7	0.0	58.3	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	4.6	16.8	14.9	3.7	58.8	1.2
All Other	199	5.5	16.6	15.1	5.5	56.3	1.0
Missing/Refuse	108	0.9	7.4	12.0	0.0	31.5	48.1
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	76	1.3%	13.2%	22.4%	2.6%	59.2%	1.3%
No	2,393	4.5	16.6	15.2	3.9	56.5	3.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.4	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	0.0	7.7	30.8	0.0	61.5	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	1,378	4.1%	14.5%	13.9%	4.0%	58.6%	4.9%
Male	1,105	4.6	18.9	17.5	3.6	54.1	1.3
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	101	8.9%	12.9%	23.8%	5.0%	47.5%	2.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	8.1	17.0	17.0	5.0	50.6	2.3
35 – 44 yrs	407	3.7	18.7	14.0	2.7	58.7	2.2
45 – 54 yrs	738	3.5	17.9	16.7	4.3	54.7	2.8
55 – 64 yrs	540	4.1	18.7	14.3	3.5	56.3	3.1
65 yrs & up	436	3.4	10.1	13.8	3.4	64.2	5.0
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	25	0.0%	8.0%	16.0%	4.0%	72.0%	0.0%
High School/GED	385	3.9	21.8	17.7	3.9	50.6	2.1
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	5.6	16.1	16.5	4.5	56.1	1.1
Associate's degree	206	4.9	23.3	13.1	7.8	50.0	1.0
Bachelor's degree	606	3.3	15.3	15.8	2.1	62.5	1.0
Graduate/professional degree	545	4.8	14.1	14.7	3.9	61.3	1.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	1.3	4.0	6.7	0.0	18.7	69.3
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	4.7%	18.6%	16.5%	3.8%	55.6%	0.8%
Full-time homemaker	138	5.1	11.6	10.1	2.9	68.8	1.4
Part-time	163	3.1	17.2	13.5	4.3	58.9	3.1
Unemployed	97	8.2	14.4	23.7	6.2	47.4	0.0
Disabled for work	41	0.0	22.0	14.6	4.9	53.7	4.9
In school only	32	6.3	6.3	18.8	9.4	59.2	0.0
Retired	446	3.6	14.3	13.9	3.4	63.2	1.6
Other	109	3.7	16.5	17.4	4.6	56.9	0.9
Missing/Refuse	77	1.3	2.6	6.5	0.0	20.8	68.8
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	4.1%	16.9%	16.3%	3.8%	57.6%	1.3%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	5.0	15.6	13.5	3.8	53.8	8.2
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Fairness of Criminal Justice System, by Select Household Characteristics**

Criminal Justice Institution: "Jail/prison guards."

*"Would you rate jail/prison guards as excellent, pretty good, average, or poor with respect to treating people fairly?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	4.3%	16.5%	15.5%	3.8%	56.6%	3.3%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	<b>1,727</b>	3.8%	16.9%	15.3%	4.3%	58.5%	1.2%
4 – 6 residents	<b>631</b>	6.3	17.6	16.8	3.0	55.0	1.3
7 or more residents	<b>43</b>	2.3	11.6	16.3	2.3	67.5	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>84</b>	2.4	3.6	8.3	0.0	23.8	61.9
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	<b>72</b>	1.4%	16.7%	19.4%	8.3%	51.4%	2.8%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>97</b>	4.1	17.5	23.7	6.2	47.4	1.1
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>367</b>	4.9	16.1	17.2	6.0	55.0	0.8
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>270</b>	5.6	15.9	17.4	4.8	54.4	1.9
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>334</b>	3.0	19.8	15.3	4.2	56.9	0.9
\$80,000 or more	<b>883</b>	5.7	17.3	14.2	3.1	58.8	0.9
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>119</b>	1.7	13.4	12.6	3.4	68.1	0.8
Missing/Refuse	<b>343</b>	2.3	12.8	13.7	0.9	53.6	16.7

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Fairness of Criminal Justice System, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Criminal Justice Institution: "Parole/probation officers."

*"Would you rate parole/probation officers as excellent, pretty good, average, or poor with respect to treating people fairly?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	4.2%	16.5%	15.2%	6.0%	54.8%	3.3%
<b>Community Council Area</b>							
Abbott Loop	77	1.3%	16.9%	18.2%	10.4%	48.1%	5.1%
Airport Heights	100	2.0	11.0	12.0	9.0	64.0	2.0
Basher	5	0.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	3.3	22.0	12.1	5.5	56.0	1.1
Bear Valley	9	0.0	11.1	11.1	0.0	77.8	0.0
Birchwood	32	0.0	9.4	25.0	12.5	46.9	6.3
Campbell Park	78	2.6	24.4	15.4	7.7	44.9	5.1
Chugiak	104	6.7	17.3	15.4	2.9	54.8	2.9
Downtown	11	0.0	18.2	27.3	0.0	45.5	9.1
Eagle River	93	3.2	17.2	10.8	6.5	54.8	7.5
Eagle River Valley	110	7.3	19.1	12.7	6.4	52.7	1.8
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	5.9	19.1	14.7	10.3	47.1	2.9
Girdwood	66	4.5	7.6	9.1	6.1	68.2	4.5
Glen Alps	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	0.0	3.3	26.7	0.0	56.7	13.3
Hillside East	56	1.8	10.7	16.1	7.1	62.5	1.8
Huffman/O'Malley	121	6.6	12.4	21.5	4.1	53.7	1.7
Mid-Hillside	119	5.9	15.1	10.1	4.2	61.3	3.4
Mountain View	9	11.1	0.0	11.1	11.1	55.6	11.1
North Star	69	8.7	23.2	18.8	2.9	43.5	2.9
Northeast	72	2.8	20.8	19.4	9.7	44.4	2.8
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	4.7	13.2	13.2	1.9	63.2	3.8
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	5.7	15.6	12.3	3.3	58.2	4.9
Rogers Park	106	1.9	14.2	17.0	7.5	57.5	1.9
Russian Jack Park	67	4.5	17.9	14.9	11.9	47.8	3.0
Sand Lake	105	4.8	20.0	13.3	7.6	50.5	3.8
Scenic Foothills	88	4.5	19.3	11.4	4.5	56.8	3.4
South Addition	101	6.9	19.8	14.9	5.9	49.5	3.0
South Fork	7	0.0	14.3	0.0	14.3	71.4	0.0
Spennard	71	2.8	16.9	15.5	7.0	56.3	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	3.0	20.0	20.0	7.0	45.0	5.0
Tudor Area	49	4.1	16.3	16.3	16.3	46.9	0.0
Turnagain	80	2.5	13.8	13.8	2.5	67.5	0.0
University Area	81	3.7	12.3	14.8	0.0	66.7	2.5
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	1.3	18.8	22.5	3.8	47.5	6.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Fairness of Criminal Justice System, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Criminal Justice Institution: "Parole/probation officers."

*"Would you rate parole/probation officers as excellent, pretty good, average, or poor with respect to treating people fairly?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	4.2%	16.5%	15.2%	6.0%	54.8%	3.3%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	2.1%	17.7%	14.6%	8.3%	56.3%	1.0%
Asian (only)	42	2.4	19.0	21.4	4.8	50.0	2.4
Black/African American (only)	54	5.6	20.4	29.6	11.1	33.3	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	16.7	8.3	25.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	4.4	17.1	14.9	5.7	56.6	1.4
All Other	199	4.5	14.6	16.6	9.5	53.3	1.5
Missing/Refuse	108	0.9	5.6	7.4	1.9	36.1	48.1
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	76	3.9%	17.1%	17.1%	5.3%	55.3%	1.3%
No	2,393	4.2	16.5	15.2	6.0	54.6	3.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	33.4	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	7.7	0.0	7.7	0.0	84.6	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	1,378	3.9%	16.0%	14.9%	5.2%	55.2%	4.8%
Male	1,105	4.5	16.9	15.7	7.1	54.3	1.5
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	101	9.9%	23.8%	14.9%	6.9%	43.6%	0.9%
25 – 34 yrs	259	6.6	19.3	19.3	7.7	44.8	2.3
35 – 44 yrs	407	3.4	18.9	16.7	5.4	53.1	2.5
45 – 54 yrs	738	3.9	15.4	16.9	5.3	55.3	3.1
55 – 64 yrs	540	3.9	17.8	11.5	6.7	57.0	3.1
65 yrs & up	436	3.0	11.0	13.3	5.7	61.7	5.3
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	25	0.0%	16.0%	12.0%	12.0%	60.0%	0.0%
High School/GED	385	4.2	20.3	16.4	9.1	48.3	1.8
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	4.4	16.6	15.5	7.7	54.7	1.1
Associate's degree	206	2.4	18.0	19.9	10.2	48.1	1.5
Bachelor's degree	606	4.0	16.0	15.0	2.8	61.1	1.1
Graduate/professional degree	545	5.7	15.4	13.6	4.2	59.6	1.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	80.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	0.0	4.0	8.0	1.3	17.3	69.4
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	4.3%	18.9%	16.1%	5.7%	53.8%	1.2%
Full-time homemaker	138	4.3	16.7	12.3	2.2	63.0	1.4
Part-time	163	3.7	16.0	18.4	2.5	57.1	2.5
Unemployed	97	4.1	8.2	26.8	10.3	50.6	0.0
Disabled for work	41	4.9	19.5	17.1	17.1	41.4	0.0
In school only	32	3.1	18.8	12.5	12.5	53.1	0.0
Retired	446	4.3	12.8	11.7	6.7	62.6	1.9
Other	109	5.5	15.6	13.8	9.2	55.9	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	3.9	6.5	2.6	18.2	31.2	68.8
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	3.9%	16.1%	15.3%	6.3%	57.1%	1.3%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	5.0	17.5	15.1	5.3	48.7	8.4
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Fairness of Criminal Justice System, by Select Household Characteristics**

Criminal Justice Institution: "Parole/probation officers."

*"Would you rate parole/probation officers as excellent, pretty good, average, or poor with respect to treating people fairly?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	4.2%	16.5%	15.2%	6.0%	54.8%	3.3%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	<b>1,727</b>	3.8%	15.9%	15.4%	6.3%	57.2%	1.4%
4 – 6 residents	<b>631</b>	5.7	19.7	15.5	6.0	52.1	1.0
7 or more residents	<b>43</b>	4.7	14.0	16.3	2.3	60.5	2.2
Missing/Refuse	<b>84</b>	0.0	4.8	8.3	1.2	22.6	63.1
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	<b>72</b>	4.2%	11.1%	20.8%	12.5%	51.4%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>97</b>	4.1	19.6	23.7	6.2	45.4	1.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>367</b>	4.1	20.2	19.1	8.7	47.1	0.8
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>270</b>	3.0	15.2	17.0	8.1	54.4	2.2
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>334</b>	3.6	21.3	14.1	5.1	55.7	0.2
\$80,000 or more	<b>883</b>	6.0	15.9	13.5	4.9	58.2	1.6
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>119</b>	1.7	15.1	11.8	6.7	63.9	0.8
Missing/Refuse	<b>343</b>	2.0	11.1	12.8	3.5	53.6	17.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Fairness of Criminal Justice System, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "Do you think criminal justice officials in your community treat all races fairly, or do they tend to treat some racial groups unfairly?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		Treat All Races Fairly	Treat Some Unfairly	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	52.0%	26.1%	20.4%	1.5%
<b>Community Council Area</b>					
Abbott Loop	77	62.3%	16.9%	19.5%	1.3%
Airport Heights	100	52.0	24.0	23.0	1.0
Basher	5	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	59.3	23.1	15.4	2.2
Bear Valley	9	44.4	11.1	44.5	0.0
Birchwood	32	56.3	21.9	21.8	0.0
Campbell Park	78	51.3	20.5	28.2	0.0
Chugiak	104	57.7	17.3	24.0	1.0
Downtown	11	45.5	27.3	27.3	0.0
Eagle River	93	63.4	23.7	11.8	1.1
Eagle River Valley	110	60.0	20.0	18.2	1.8
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	47.1	36.8	13.2	2.9
Girdwood	66	47.0	27.3	22.7	3.0
Glen Alps	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	56.7	26.7	16.6	0.0
Hillside East	56	50.0	25.0	25.0	0.0
Huffman/O'Malley	121	48.8	24.8	23.1	3.3
Mid-Hillside	119	58.0	25.2	16.8	0.0
Mountain View	9	33.3	55.6	11.1	0.0
North Star	69	43.5	36.2	18.8	1.5
Northeast	72	37.5	26.4	31.9	4.2
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	54.7	24.5	19.8	0.9
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	52.5	21.3	23.8	2.5
Rogers Park	106	48.1	28.3	22.6	0.9
Russian Jack Park	67	43.3	32.8	19.4	4.5
Sand Lake	105	53.3	22.9	21.0	2.8
Scenic Foothills	88	56.8	22.7	20.5	0.0
South Addition	101	51.5	31.7	14.9	2.0
South Fork	7	42.9	14.3	42.9	0.0
Spenard	71	45.1	33.8	18.3	2.8
Taku/Campbell	100	60.0	25.0	14.0	1.0
Tudor Area	49	42.9	32.7	24.5	0.0
Turnagain	80	48.8	26.3	24.9	0.0
University Area	81	45.7	28.4	25.9	0.0
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	43.8	42.5	12.5	1.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Fairness of Criminal Justice System, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "Do you think criminal justice officials in your community treat all races fairly, or do they tend to treat some racial groups unfairly?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		Treat All Races Fairly	Treat Some Unfairly	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	52.0%	26.1%	20.4%	1.5%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>					
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	36.5%	50.0%	13.5%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	42.9	40.5	16.6	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	29.6	48.1	22.3	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	41.7	41.7	16.6	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	53.0	23.6	21.9	1.5
All Other	199	46.7	37.2	13.1	3.0
Missing/Refuse	108	74.1	11.1	13.0	1.8
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>					
Yes	76	42.1%	40.8%	13.2%	3.9%
No	2,393	52.5	25.5	20.6	1.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	23.1	46.2	23.1	7.6
<b>Gender</b>					
Female	1,378	47.5%	28.4%	22.8%	1.3%
Male	1,105	57.6	23.2	17.5	1.7
Missing/Refuse	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>					
18 – 24 yrs	101	42.6%	37.6%	18.8%	1.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	47.1	31.7	20.5	0.7
35 – 44 yrs	407	54.1	22.6	21.6	1.7
45 – 54 yrs	738	51.9	25.9	20.9	1.3
55 – 64 yrs	540	55.6	27.8	15.6	1.0
65 yrs & up	436	50.7	21.8	25.0	2.5
Missing/Refuse	4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>					
No degree	25	36.0%	32.0%	28.0%	4.0%
High School/GED	385	52.2	27.3	19.0	1.5
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	50.3	27.7	20.2	1.8
Associate's degree	206	49.5	33.0	15.0	2.5
Bachelor's degree	606	51.5	23.8	23.6	1.1
Graduate/professional degree	545	51.4	26.2	21.3	1.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	60.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	86.7	4.0	8.0	1.3
<b>Primary work status</b>					
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	52.5%	27.1%	19.3%	1.1%
Full-time homemaker	138	49.3	25.4	23.9	1.4
Part-time	163	39.9	31.9	27.6	0.6
Unemployed	97	49.5	36.1	12.4	2.0
Disabled for work	41	36.6	41.5	17.1	4.8
In school only	32	28.1	46.9	25.0	0.0
Retired	446	54.5	20.9	22.2	2.4
Other	109	49.5	22.0	26.6	1.9
Missing/Refuse	77	85.7	3.9	9.1	1.3
<b>Residency</b>					
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	52.9%	25.4%	19.8%	1.9%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	49.9	27.6	21.9	0.6
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.



**Fairness of Criminal Justice System, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Do you think criminal justice officials in your community treat all races fairly, or do they tend to treat some racial groups unfairly?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		Treat All Races Fairly	Treat Some Unfairly	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	52.0%	26.1%	20.4%	1.5%
<b>Household Size</b>					
1 – 3 residents	1,727	50.9%	26.3%	21.0%	1.8%
4 – 6 residents	631	51.0	27.9	20.4	0.7
7 or more residents	43	48.8	30.2	18.6	2.4
Missing/Refuse	84	84.5	6.0	9.5	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>					
Less than \$15,000	72	43.1%	27.8%	22.2%	6.9%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	97	41.2	40.2	17.5	1.1
\$25,000 – \$49,999	367	45.5	31.6	20.4	2.5
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	51.5	29.3	18.5	0.7
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	52.1	24.9	22.2	0.9
\$80,000 or more	883	55.2	24.5	19.5	0.8
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	42.0	22.7	34.5	0.8
Missing/Refuse	343	59.8	19.8	18.1	2.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Evaluation of Criminal Justice System, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Criminal Justice Institution: "Criminal justice system, in general."

"Overall, how would you rate the criminal justice system in terms of being helpful and friendly?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	8.8%	39.1%	32.2%	7.1%	10.1%	2.7%
<b>Community Council Area</b>							
Abbott Loop	77	5.2%	44.2%	26.0%	10.4%	10.4%	3.9%
Airport Heights	100	9.0	37.0	35.0	8.0	9.0	2.0
Basher	5	0.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	7.7	41.8	38.5	4.4	7.7	0.0
Bear Valley	9	11.1	44.4	22.2	22.2	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	15.6	15.6	46.9	15.6	3.1	3.1
Campbell Park	78	9.0	37.2	33.3	5.1	11.5	3.8
Chugiak	104	5.8	47.1	27.9	4.8	11.5	2.9
Downtown	11	0.0	45.5	36.4	0.0	9.1	9.1
Eagle River	93	8.6	45.2	32.3	3.2	5.4	5.4
Eagle River Valley	110	12.7	40.9	27.3	5.5	11.8	1.8
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	13.2	33.8	38.2	5.9	5.9	2.9
Girdwood	66	12.1	28.8	27.3	16.7	10.6	4.5
Glen Alps	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	6.7	36.7	30.0	3.3	13.3	10.0
Hillside East	56	3.6	46.4	26.8	8.9	8.9	5.4
Huffman/O'Malley	121	9.1	44.6	33.9	5.0	5.8	1.7
Mid-Hillside	119	12.6	41.2	26.1	7.6	10.1	2.5
Mountain View	9	0.0	33.3	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	5.8	37.7	42.0	5.8	5.8	2.9
Northeast	72	5.6	31.9	36.1	9.7	13.9	2.8
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	7.5	37.7	34.9	5.7	12.3	1.9
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	11.5	36.9	32.0	6.6	9.8	3.3
Rogers Park	106	11.3	40.6	25.5	6.6	14.2	1.9
Russian Jack Park	67	7.5	35.8	37.3	10.4	6.0	3.0
Sand Lake	105	6.7	37.1	41.9	2.9	8.6	2.9
Scenic Foothills	88	8.0	43.2	28.4	4.5	13.6	2.3
South Addition	101	8.9	42.6	27.7	5.0	12.9	3.0
South Fork	7	14.3	28.6	28.6	14.3	14.3	0.0
Spennard	71	9.9	32.4	28.2	12.7	15.5	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	9.0	36.0	31.0	7.0	14.0	3.0
Tudor Area	49	6.1	30.6	30.6	20.4	12.2	0.0
Turnagain	80	12.5	36.3	36.3	3.8	11.3	0.0
University Area	81	7.4	48.1	22.2	11.1	8.6	2.5
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	5.0	36.3	43.8	2.5	7.5	5.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Evaluation of Criminal Justice System, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Criminal Justice Institution: "Criminal justice system, in general."

*"Overall, how would you rate the criminal justice system in terms of being helpful and friendly?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	8.8%	39.1%	32.2%	7.1%	10.1%	2.7%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	8.3%	27.1%	47.9%	9.4%	7.3%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	9.5	26.2	50.0	11.9	2.4	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	5.6	40.7	38.9	13.0	1.9	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	8.3	58.3	16.7	0.0	16.7	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	9.2	41.2	32.0	6.2	10.7	0.7
All Other	199	8.0	34.7	32.7	13.6	10.6	0.4
Missing/Refuse	108	4.6	21.3	13.0	5.6	6.5	49.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	76	6.6%	44.7%	34.2%	7.9%	6.6%	0.0%
No	2,393	8.9	39.0	32.2	7.0	10.1	2.8
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	7.7	7.7	30.8	23.1	30.7	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	1,378	8.0%	37.2%	32.2%	5.7%	12.6%	4.3%
Male	1,105	9.8	41.4	32.2	8.8	7.0	0.8
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	101	14.9%	33.7%	40.6%	5.9%	3.0%	1.9%
25 – 34 yrs	259	4.2	39.8	35.1	11.6	6.9	2.4
35 – 44 yrs	407	8.4	40.3	31.7	5.9	11.8	2.0
45 – 54 yrs	738	8.4	38.9	32.7	7.2	10.4	2.4
55 – 64 yrs	540	8.7	40.7	32.6	6.9	8.7	2.4
65 yrs & up	436	11.2	37.4	28.2	6.0	13.3	3.9
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	25	8.0%	36.0%	44.0%	8.0%	4.0%	0.0%
High School/GED	385	10.4	31.9	38.4	10.9	8.1	0.3
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	9.4	39.0	33.9	8.3	8.9	0.5
Associate's degree	206	8.7	40.8	33.0	12.1	5.3	0.0
Bachelor's degree	606	6.6	43.7	32.2	4.0	12.5	1.0
Graduate/professional degree	545	10.6	41.8	27.9	5.5	13.2	0.9
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	0.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	0.0	14.7	12.0	2.7	0.0	70.6
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	8.2%	41.2%	33.2%	8.0%	8.8%	0.6%
Full-time homemaker	138	8.0	37.0	29.7	5.8	19.6	0.0
Part-time	163	8.6	38.0	33.1	4.3	14.1	1.9
Unemployed	97	10.3	35.1	36.1	8.2	9.3	1.0
Disabled for work	41	9.8	17.1	56.1	9.8	7.2	0.0
In school only	32	15.6	31.3	37.5	9.4	6.2	0.0
Retired	446	11.0	42.4	28.3	6.1	11.7	0.5
Other	109	11.0	33.9	40.4	7.3	7.4	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	0.0	15.6	9.1	0.0	6.5	68.8
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	8.8%	39.9%	32.5%	7.5%	10.6%	0.7%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	8.7	36.9	31.5	6.0	8.8	8.1
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Note: These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Evaluation of Criminal Justice System, by Select Household Characteristics**

Criminal Justice Institution: "Criminal justice system, in general."

*"Overall, how would you rate the criminal justice system in terms of being helpful and friendly?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	8.8%	39.1%	32.2%	7.1%	10.1%	2.7%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	1,727	9.6%	38.4%	33.8%	7.3%	10.1%	0.8%
4 – 6 residents	631	7.8	43.4	30.3	7.4	10.8	0.3
7 or more residents	43	7.0	46.5	34.9	7.0	4.6	0.0
Missing/Refuse	84	0.0	15.5	14.3	0.0	7.1	63.1
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	72	5.6%	36.1%	36.1%	8.3%	12.5%	1.4%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	97	8.2	32.0	43.3	7.2	9.3	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	367	10.4	39.8	34.3	9.3	5.7	0.5
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	7.4	43.7	29.6	9.3	9.6	0.4
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	7.5	42.2	31.7	9.3	9.0	0.3
\$80,000 or more	883	10.1	42.2	31.5	4.8	10.4	1.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	9.2	31.9	31.9	11.8	15.2	0.0
Missing/Refuse	343	6.7	28.6	30.6	5.0	13.4	15.7

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Effectiveness of Criminal Justice System, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Effectiveness criterion: "Catching people suspected of committing crimes."

*"Would you say the criminal justice system, in general, is very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective, or not effective at all?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Very Effective	Not Effective At All	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	11.3%	67.8%	11.0%	3.1%	4.3%	2.5%
<b>Community Council Area</b>							
Abbott Loop	77	9.1%	64.9%	7.8%	6.5%	7.8%	3.9%
Airport Heights	100	5.0	76.0	11.0	3.0	4.0	1.0
Basher	5	20.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	13.2	74.7	8.8	1.1	2.2	0.0
Bear Valley	9	0.0	77.8	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	9.4	68.8	9.4	3.1	6.3	3.1
Campbell Park	78	10.3	70.5	9.0	1.3	3.8	5.1
Chugiak	104	12.5	67.3	11.5	4.8	1.9	1.9
Downtown	11	9.1	63.6	9.1	0.0	9.1	9.1
Eagle River	93	11.8	71.0	8.6	3.2	1.1	4.3
Eagle River Valley	110	17.3	67.3	8.2	2.7	2.7	1.8
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	22.1	61.8	7.4	2.9	2.9	2.9
Girdwood	66	15.2	56.1	10.6	6.1	9.1	3.0
Glen Alps	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	6.7	56.7	3.3	3.3	20.0	10.0
Hillside East	56	14.3	71.4	7.1	3.6	1.8	1.8
Huffman/O'Malley	121	12.4	69.4	10.7	3.3	2.5	1.7
Mid-Hillside	119	9.2	67.2	12.6	3.4	5.0	2.5
Mountain View	9	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	13.0	63.8	8.7	5.8	5.8	2.9
Northeast	72	5.6	69.4	15.3	1.4	4.2	4.1
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	11.3	68.9	13.2	2.8	1.9	1.9
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	13.1	67.2	9.8	2.5	6.6	0.8
Rogers Park	106	15.1	66.0	4.7	4.7	5.7	3.8
Russian Jack Park	67	10.4	59.7	16.4	4.5	4.5	4.5
Sand Lake	105	6.7	71.4	18.1	1.9	0.0	1.9
Scenic Foothills	88	12.5	71.6	10.2	3.4	2.3	0.0
South Addition	101	7.9	74.3	11.9	1.0	3.0	2.0
South Fork	7	0.0	85.7	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Spennard	71	12.7	57.7	16.9	8.5	2.8	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	12.0	66.0	9.0	3.0	7.0	3.0
Tudor Area	49	16.3	61.2	16.3	2.0	4.1	0.0
Turnagain	80	12.5	72.5	7.5	1.3	6.3	0.0
University Area	81	8.6	63.0	13.6	0.0	12.3	2.5
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	3.8	70.0	15.0	2.5	3.8	5.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Effectiveness of Criminal Justice System, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Effectiveness Criterion: "Catching people suspected of committing crimes."

*"Would you say the criminal justice system, in general, is very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective, or not effective at all?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Very Effective	Not Effective At All	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	11.3%	67.8%	11.0%	3.1%	4.3%	2.5%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	7.3%	72.9%	15.6%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	9.5	59.5	11.9	14.3	4.8	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	13.0	66.7	11.1	1.9	7.4	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	8.3	66.7	16.7	8.3	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	11.7	70.3	10.8	2.7	4.3	0.2
All Other	199	12.1	60.3	14.1	5.0	8.0	0.5
Missing/Refuse	108	6.5	37.0	3.7	1.9	1.9	49.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	76	14.5%	60.5%	19.7%	0.0%	3.9%	1.4%
No	2,393	11.1	68.2	10.8	3.1	4.3	2.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	33.3	33.3	33.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	15.4	61.5	7.7	7.7	7.7	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	1,378	10.9%	67.9%	10.3%	1.5%	5.3%	4.1%
Male	1,105	11.8	67.7	11.9	5.2	3.2	0.2
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	101	11.9%	61.4%	17.8%	3.0%	4.0%	1.9%
25 – 34 yrs	259	9.3	71.4	11.6	2.7	3.1	1.9
35 – 44 yrs	407	11.5	70.3	9.1	3.4	3.9	1.7
45 – 54 yrs	738	10.6	69.1	12.6	3.1	3.0	1.6
55 – 64 yrs	540	11.5	67.2	11.7	4.1	3.5	2.0
65 yrs & up	436	13.1	64.2	7.6	1.8	8.9	4.4
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	25	8.0%	56.0%	16.0%	4.0%	12.0%	4.0%
High School/GED	385	14.0	66.0	12.7	2.9	4.2	0.2
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	12.9	66.0	12.4	3.8	4.5	0.4
Associate's degree	206	7.8	71.8	13.6	3.9	2.9	0.0
Bachelor's degree	606	9.2	73.4	9.7	2.8	4.9	0.0
Graduate/professional degree	545	12.5	70.3	9.9	2.8	4.2	0.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	0.0	60.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	2.7	24.0	1.3	0.0	1.3	70.7
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	10.9%	70.7%	11.6%	3.5%	3.2%	0.1%
Full-time homemaker	138	10.1	72.5	10.9	2.2	4.3	0.0
Part-time	163	8.6	73.0	12.9	1.2	3.7	0.6
Unemployed	97	14.4	62.9	13.4	6.2	3.1	0.0
Disabled for work	41	7.3	75.6	9.8	0.0	4.9	2.4
In school only	32	18.8	59.4	12.5	3.1	6.2	0.0
Retired	446	15.0	65.2	8.1	2.9	8.3	0.5
Other	109	8.3	65.1	16.5	3.7	5.5	0.9
Missing/Refuse	77	2.6	22.1	3.9	0.0	2.6	68.8
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	11.9%	68.5%	11.7%	3.3%	4.2%	0.4%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	9.7	66.1	9.2	2.7	4.8	7.5
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Note: These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Effectiveness of Criminal Justice System, by Select Household Characteristics**

Effectiveness criterion: "Catching people suspected of committing crimes."

*"Would you say the criminal justice system, in general, is very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective, or not effective at all?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Very Effective	Not Effective At All	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	11.3%	67.8%	11.0%	3.1%	4.3%	2.5%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	<b>1,727</b>	11.3%	68.5%	11.4%	3.5%	4.9%	0.4%
4 – 6 residents	<b>631</b>	12.2	71.2	10.8	2.4	3.3	0.1
7 or more residents	<b>43</b>	11.6	69.8	14.0	2.3	2.3	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>84</b>	2.4	28.6	3.6	0.0	2.4	63.0
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	<b>72</b>	11.1%	66.7%	12.5%	1.4%	6.9%	1.4%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>97</b>	15.5	64.9	14.4	3.1	2.1	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>367</b>	10.1	72.8	10.1	2.7	4.1	0.2
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>270</b>	10.4	71.5	9.3	5.6	3.2	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>334</b>	12.9	72.5	8.7	2.7	3.2	0.0
\$80,000 or more	<b>883</b>	12.0	68.6	13.4	2.8	2.7	0.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>119</b>	16.0	58.8	9.2	5.9	10.1	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>343</b>	7.0	57.4	9.0	2.0	8.7	15.6

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Effectiveness of Criminal Justice System, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Effectiveness criterion: "Charging and trying people accused of crimes."

*"Would you say the criminal justice system, in general, is very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective, or not effective at all?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Very Effective	Not Effective At All	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	15.2%	63.4%	8.9%	2.2%	7.6%	2.7%
<b>Community Council Area</b>							
Abbott Loop	77	13.0%	57.1%	13.0%	3.9%	7.8%	5.2%
Airport Heights	100	12.0	61.0	10.0	6.0	10.0	1.0
Basher	5	20.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	19.8	64.8	7.7	2.2	5.5	0.0
Bear Valley	9	0.0	88.9	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	15.6	62.5	9.4	3.1	6.3	3.1
Campbell Park	78	21.8	59.0	3.8	0.0	10.3	5.1
Chugiak	104	15.4	69.2	7.7	2.9	2.9	1.9
Downtown	11	27.3	36.4	9.1	0.0	9.1	18.2
Eagle River	93	15.1	68.8	6.5	2.2	3.2	4.3
Eagle River Valley	110	15.5	70.9	4.5	1.8	4.5	2.7
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	22.1	52.9	10.3	1.5	8.8	4.4
Girdwood	66	25.8	50.0	6.1	6.1	9.1	3.0
Glen Alps	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	13.3	46.7	6.7	0.0	23.3	10.0
Hillside East	56	14.3	62.5	7.1	3.6	10.7	1.8
Huffman/O'Malley	121	14.0	70.2	9.1	0.0	5.0	1.7
Mid-Hillside	119	11.8	62.2	12.6	0.0	10.1	3.4
Mountain View	9	0.0	88.9	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	8.7	68.1	11.6	2.9	5.8	2.9
Northeast	72	15.3	61.1	9.7	1.4	8.3	4.2
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	13.2	67.0	8.5	3.8	5.7	1.9
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	17.2	66.4	5.7	1.6	6.6	2.5
Rogers Park	106	15.1	60.4	6.6	1.9	12.3	3.8
Russian Jack Park	67	13.4	59.7	13.4	6.0	4.5	3.0
Sand Lake	105	12.4	61.0	17.1	1.0	6.7	1.9
Scenic Foothills	88	15.9	69.3	5.7	1.1	8.0	0.0
South Addition	101	9.9	69.3	10.9	0.0	7.9	2.0
South Fork	7	28.6	71.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Spennard	71	19.7	49.3	12.7	8.5	8.5	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	18.0	59.0	10.0	1.0	9.0	3.0
Tudor Area	49	16.3	55.1	16.3	4.1	8.2	0.0
Turnagain	80	18.8	68.8	2.5	1.3	8.8	0.0
University Area	81	12.3	65.4	7.4	0.0	12.3	2.5
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	8.8	67.5	10.0	1.3	6.3	6.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.



**Effectiveness of Criminal Justice System, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Effectiveness Criterion: "Charging and trying people accused of crimes."

*"Would you say the criminal justice system, in general, is very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective, or not effective at all?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Very Effective	Not Effective At All	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	15.2%	63.4%	8.9%	2.2%	7.6%	2.7%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	24.0%	57.3%	10.4%	3.1%	4.2%	1.0%
Asian (only)	42	7.1	61.9	9.5	9.5	11.9	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	22.2	64.8	3.7	3.7	3.7	1.9
Pacific Islander (only)	12	16.7	50.0	16.7	8.3	8.3	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	15.4	66.0	8.7	1.9	7.4	0.6
All Other	199	14.1	57.3	13.6	2.5	12.1	0.5
Missing/Refuse	108	4.6	33.3	5.6	1.9	5.6	49.1
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	76	11.8%	61.8%	15.8%	1.3%	7.9%	1.4%
No	2,393	15.3	63.5	8.7	2.2	7.6	2.7
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.4	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	7.7	53.8	23.1	7.7	7.7	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	1,378	13.8%	62.3%	8.9%	1.5%	8.9%	4.6%
Male	1,105	16.9	64.7	8.9	3.1	6.1	0.4
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	101	16.8%	66.3%	5.9%	4.0%	4.0%	3.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	19.7	59.8	8.9	2.3	7.3	1.9
35 – 44 yrs	407	17.9	60.9	9.1	2.2	8.1	1.7
45 – 54 yrs	738	14.8	66.7	8.0	1.9	6.5	2.2
55 – 64 yrs	540	13.0	65.7	11.1	2.4	5.7	2.0
65 yrs & up	436	13.1	59.2	8.5	2.1	12.4	4.8
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	25	16.0%	52.0%	8.0%	0.0%	20.0%	4.0%
High School/GED	385	18.4	58.7	13.2	2.3	6.2	1.0
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	16.3	62.5	9.9	2.5	8.0	0.8
Associate's degree	206	13.6	66.0	12.6	2.9	4.9	0.0
Bachelor's degree	606	14.2	68.5	5.8	2.0	9.2	0.3
Graduate/professional degree	545	15.2	67.3	8.1	2.0	7.0	0.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	0.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	40.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	1.3	22.7	1.3	0.0	4.0	70.7
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	15.8%	65.8%	8.9%	2.2%	6.7%	0.6%
Full-time homemaker	138	15.9	61.6	10.1	0.7	11.7	0.0
Part-time	163	13.5	66.3	9.8	1.8	7.4	1.2
Unemployed	97	17.5	59.8	12.4	4.1	6.2	0.0
Disabled for work	41	22.0	43.9	14.6	4.9	12.2	2.4
In school only	32	18.8	65.6	9.4	3.1	3.1	0.0
Retired	446	15.0	64.3	8.3	2.5	9.2	0.7
Other	109	12.8	65.1	10.1	1.8	10.1	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	1.3	22.1	0.0	0.0	6.5	70.1
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	14.8%	65.1%	9.7%	2.5%	7.2%	0.7%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	16.2	59.2	7.0	1.4	8.5	7.7
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Effectiveness of Criminal Justice System, by Select Household Characteristics**

Effectiveness criterion: "Charging and trying people accused of crimes."

*"Would you say the criminal justice system, in general, is very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective, or not effective at all?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Very Effective	Not Effective At All	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	15.2%	63.4%	8.9%	2.2%	7.6%	2.7%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	<b>1,727</b>	14.9%	64.7%	9.3%	2.2%	8.2%	0.7%
4 – 6 residents	<b>631</b>	16.6	65.3	8.7	2.7	6.5	0.2
7 or more residents	<b>43</b>	23.3	60.5	9.3	0.0	6.9	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>84</b>	4.8	23.8	3.6	0.0	4.8	63.0
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	<b>72</b>	15.3%	52.8%	13.9%	4.2%	11.1%	2.7%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>97</b>	19.6	54.6	16.5	4.1	5.2	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>367</b>	19.1	62.1	10.4	1.6	6.5	0.3
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>270</b>	14.1	67.0	8.5	3.0	7.0	0.4
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>334</b>	13.8	68.6	7.5	2.4	7.5	0.2
\$80,000 or more	<b>883</b>	16.0	66.6	8.2	1.7	7.1	0.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>119</b>	15.1	56.3	10.1	3.4	14.3	0.8
Missing/Refuse	<b>343</b>	9.9	55.7	7.6	2.0	8.2	16.6

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Effectiveness of Criminal Justice System, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Effectiveness criterion: "Reaching 'just' outcomes at criminal trials."

*"Would you say the criminal justice system, in general, is very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective, or not effective at all?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Very Effective	Not Effective At All	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	15.9%	56.9%	9.3%	2.8%	12.2%	2.9%
<b>Community Council Area</b>							
Abbott Loop	77	16.9%	54.5%	10.4%	6.5%	6.5%	5.2%
Airport Heights	100	13.0	47.0	13.0	5.0	21.0	1.0
Basher	5	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	16.5	63.7	6.6	4.4	8.8	0.0
Bear Valley	9	11.1	44.4	22.2	0.0	22.3	0.0
Birchwood	32	9.4	68.8	3.1	3.1	12.5	3.1
Campbell Park	78	17.9	53.8	7.7	2.6	12.8	5.1
Chugiak	104	13.5	63.5	11.5	2.9	6.7	1.9
Downtown	11	27.3	36.4	9.1	0.0	18.2	9.1
Eagle River	93	16.1	59.1	11.8	0.0	8.6	4.3
Eagle River Valley	110	23.6	52.7	10.0	2.7	8.2	2.7
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	16.2	45.6	13.2	8.8	11.8	4.4
Girdwood	66	18.2	51.5	3.0	4.5	19.7	3.0
Glen Alps	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	10.0	50.0	6.7	3.3	20.0	10.0
Hillside East	56	12.5	58.9	5.4	1.8	17.9	3.6
Huffman/O'Malley	121	16.5	57.9	14.9	0.8	7.4	2.5
Mid-Hillside	119	16.8	53.8	10.1	1.7	15.1	2.5
Mountain View	9	11.1	55.6	11.1	22.2	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	17.4	50.7	11.6	4.3	11.6	4.3
Northeast	72	11.1	50.0	12.5	1.4	20.8	4.2
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	11.3	61.3	7.5	5.7	11.3	2.8
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	18.9	56.6	4.9	3.3	13.1	3.3
Rogers Park	106	13.2	58.5	5.7	1.9	17.0	3.8
Russian Jack Park	67	11.9	64.2	11.9	3.0	6.0	3.0
Sand Lake	105	15.2	63.8	11.4	1.9	5.7	1.9
Scenic Foothills	88	13.6	69.3	4.5	2.3	10.2	0.0
South Addition	101	19.8	58.4	6.9	0.0	12.9	2.0
South Fork	7	14.3	57.1	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
Spennard	71	16.9	49.3	12.7	4.2	15.5	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	19.0	55.0	6.0	1.0	14.0	5.0
Tudor Area	49	16.3	59.2	16.3	0.0	8.2	0.0
Turnagain	80	18.8	57.5	5.0	2.5	15.0	1.3
University Area	81	16.0	54.3	8.6	1.2	16.0	3.9
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	8.8	61.3	11.3	1.3	11.3	6.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Effectiveness of Criminal Justice System, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Effectiveness Criterion: "Reaching 'just' outcomes at criminal trials."

*"Would you say the criminal justice system, in general, is very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective, or not effective at all?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Very Effective	Not Effective At All	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	15.9%	56.9%	9.3%	2.8%	12.2%	2.9%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	17.7%	52.1%	15.6%	2.1%	12.5%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	7.1	54.8	14.3	2.4	16.7	4.8
Black/African American (only)	54	9.3	57.4	9.3	5.6	14.8	3.7
Pacific Islander (only)	12	25.0	41.7	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	16.8	59.3	9.3	2.3	11.6	0.8
All Other	199	15.1	50.8	10.1	6.5	17.1	0.5
Missing/Refuse	108	3.7	30.6	1.9	4.6	9.3	50.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	76	15.8%	59.2%	10.5%	1.3%	11.8%	1.3%
No	2,393	15.9	56.8	9.2	2.7	12.3	3.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	66.7	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	0.0	61.5	15.4	15.4	7.7	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	1,378	14.6%	56.2%	8.6%	2.0%	14.0%	4.6%
Male	1,105	17.5	57.6	10.0	3.7	10.0	1.1
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	101	17.8%	57.4%	11.9%	4.0%	6.9%	2.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	14.7	58.7	10.0	3.5	10.8	2.3
35 – 44 yrs	407	14.3	58.2	10.6	3.4	10.8	2.7
45 – 54 yrs	738	16.7	60.7	7.6	2.4	10.4	2.2
55 – 64 yrs	540	18.0	57.0	10.0	2.8	10.0	2.2
65 yrs & up	436	13.8	48.2	9.2	2.1	21.6	5.3
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	25	16.0%	44.0%	4.0%	8.0%	24.0%	4.0%
High School/GED	385	17.1	54.0	13.0	3.1	11.4	1.3
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	15.8	57.5	10.2	3.4	12.2	0.8
Associate's degree	206	14.1	57.8	16.5	1.9	9.2	0.5
Bachelor's degree	606	15.2	61.9	6.1	2.5	13.7	0.7
Graduate/professional degree	545	18.0	58.5	7.9	2.4	12.3	0.9
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	5.3	14.7	1.3	1.3	6.7	70.7
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	17.0%	60.9%	8.8%	3.0%	9.8%	0.5%
Full-time homemaker	138	13.0	60.9	8.7	0.7	15.9	0.8
Part-time	163	15.3	62.0	8.6	1.2	11.7	1.2
Unemployed	97	16.5	57.7	8.2	6.2	11.3	0.0
Disabled for work	41	14.6	36.6	24.4	4.9	14.6	4.9
In school only	32	9.4	46.9	28.1	6.3	9.4	0.0
Retired	446	15.9	52.7	9.2	2.2	18.2	1.8
Other	109	14.7	50.5	13.8	2.8	18.3	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	5.2	14.3	0.0	1.3	9.1	70.1
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	16.6%	57.5%	9.9%	2.9%	12.1%	0.9%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	13.9	55.3	7.7	2.4	12.5	8.2
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Effectiveness of Criminal Justice System, by Select Household Characteristics**Effectiveness criterion: *"Reaching 'just' outcomes at criminal trials."**"Would you say the criminal justice system, in general, is very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective, or not effective at all?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Very Effective	Not Effective At All	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	15.9%	56.9%	9.3%	2.8%	12.2%	2.9%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	<b>1,727</b>	16.3%	57.3%	9.5%	2.8%	13.1%	1.0%
4 – 6 residents	<b>631</b>	15.7	61.2	9.4	3.0	10.5	0.3
7 or more residents	<b>43</b>	18.6	55.8	14.0	0.0	11.6	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>84</b>	7.1	16.7	2.4	1.2	8.3	64.3
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	<b>72</b>	11.1%	44.4%	15.3%	5.6%	19.4%	4.2%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>97</b>	17.5	45.4	16.5	4.1	15.5	1.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>367</b>	16.3	53.7	12.8	3.5	13.4	0.3
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>270</b>	11.9	65.2	8.1	3.7	10.7	0.4
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>334</b>	15.9	63.5	8.1	2.4	9.6	0.5
\$80,000 or more	<b>883</b>	19.8	59.6	8.2	2.3	9.5	0.6
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>119</b>	8.4	49.6	12.6	2.5	26.1	0.8
Missing/Refuse	<b>343</b>	11.4	48.7	6.1	2.0	14.6	17.2

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Effectiveness of Criminal Justice System, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**Effectiveness criterion: *"Reducing the amount of crime."**"Would you say the criminal justice system, in general, is very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective, or not effective at all?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Very Effective	Not Effective At All	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	8.2%	53.0%	22.1%	6.4%	7.5%	2.8%
<b>Community Council Area</b>							
Abbott Loop	77	6.5%	50.6%	27.3%	6.5%	5.2%	3.9%
Airport Heights	100	9.0	50.0	18.0	8.0	14.0	1.0
Basher	5	20.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	13.2	54.9	14.3	8.8	8.8	0.0
Bear Valley	9	0.0	55.6	33.3	11.1	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	9.4	50.0	18.8	9.4	9.4	3.0
Campbell Park	78	14.1	47.4	19.2	5.1	10.3	3.9
Chugiak	104	3.8	61.5	21.2	8.7	2.9	1.9
Downtown	11	9.1	63.6	0.0	9.1	9.1	9.1
Eagle River	93	8.6	54.8	22.6	3.2	6.5	4.3
Eagle River Valley	110	6.4	58.2	20.9	5.5	6.4	2.6
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	8.8	41.2	29.4	5.9	10.3	4.4
Girdwood	66	12.1	50.0	18.2	9.1	7.6	3.0
Glen Alps	2	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	3.3	43.3	16.7	10.0	16.7	10.0
Hillside East	56	1.8	60.7	21.4	3.6	10.7	1.8
Huffman/O'Malley	121	7.4	61.2	20.7	3.3	5.8	1.6
Mid-Hillside	119	7.6	53.8	23.5	3.4	9.2	2.5
Mountain View	9	11.1	55.6	22.2	11.1	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	17.4	40.6	20.3	10.1	8.7	2.9
Northeast	72	6.9	52.8	22.2	5.6	9.7	2.8
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	5.7	55.7	25.5	8.5	2.8	1.8
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	7.4	56.6	15.6	8.2	9.0	3.2
Rogers Park	106	5.7	50.9	18.9	5.7	15.1	3.7
Russian Jack Park	67	9.0	49.3	25.4	10.3	3.0	3.0
Sand Lake	105	9.5	57.1	20.0	9.5	1.0	2.9
Scenic Foothills	88	8.0	54.5	27.3	4.5	5.7	0.0
South Addition	101	8.9	50.5	24.8	2.0	10.8	3.0
South Fork	7	0.0	57.1	28.6	14.3	0.0	0.0
Spennard	71	9.9	40.8	32.4	7.0	8.5	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	11.0	55.0	21.0	4.0	6.0	3.0
Tudor Area	49	6.1	57.1	26.5	6.1	4.1	0.1
Turnagain	80	5.0	55.0	26.3	3.7	10.0	0.0
University Area	81	12.3	46.9	23.5	7.4	6.2	3.7
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	5.0	52.5	25.0	7.5	3.8	6.2

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Effectiveness of Criminal Justice System, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Effectiveness Criterion: "Reducing the amount of crime."

*"Would you say the criminal justice system, in general, is very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective, or not effective at all?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Very Effective	Not Effective At All	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	8.2%	53.0%	22.1%	6.4%	7.5%	2.8%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	10.4%	58.3%	19.8%	8.3%	3.2%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	19.0	42.9	14.3	16.7	7.1	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	13.0	55.6	18.5	5.6	7.3	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	8.3	41.7	33.3	0.0	16.7	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	8.0	55.3	22.8	5.8	7.6	0.6
All Other	199	9.0	45.2	25.6	10.1	9.5	0.5
Missing/Refuse	108	3.7	25.9	8.3	6.5	5.6	50.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	76	10.5%	44.7%	32.9%	5.3%	5.3%	1.3%
No	2,393	8.1	53.4	21.8	6.3	7.6	2.7
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	66.7	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	0.0	38.5	30.8	30.7	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	1,378	7.6%	51.2%	23.0%	5.7%	8.3%	4.2%
Male	1,105	9.0	55.3	21.0	7.3	6.6	0.7
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	101	7.9%	48.5%	26.7%	8.9%	5.9%	2.1%
25 – 34 yrs	259	10.0	48.3	26.3	7.7	5.8	1.9
35 – 44 yrs	407	6.9	57.0	22.1	4.4	7.9	1.7
45 – 54 yrs	738	6.9	55.6	22.8	7.0	5.4	2.3
55 – 64 yrs	540	9.8	53.3	21.5	7.4	5.9	2.1
65 yrs & up	436	8.9	49.1	18.6	4.6	14.2	4.6
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	25	16.0%	40.0%	28.0%	4.0%	8.0%	4.0%
High School/GED	385	11.4	54.5	22.1	6.0	5.7	0.3
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	8.5	50.9	25.4	8.3	6.3	0.6
Associate's degree	206	8.3	50.0	29.1	6.3	5.8	0.5
Bachelor's degree	606	6.6	56.8	22.3	5.3	8.9	0.2
Graduate/professional degree	545	7.7	57.2	18.0	6.4	9.7	0.9
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	20.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	4.0	16.0	4.0	2.7	2.7	70.7
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	7.7%	55.4%	23.5%	6.7%	6.2%	0.5%
Full-time homemaker	138	7.2	49.3	27.5	5.1	10.9	0.0
Part-time	163	6.1	55.8	24.5	5.5	7.4	0.7
Unemployed	97	6.2	50.5	24.7	11.3	6.2	1.1
Disabled for work	41	19.5	39.0	24.4	9.8	4.9	2.4
In school only	32	15.6	46.9	21.9	0.0	15.6	0.0
Retired	446	10.3	54.3	17.9	4.9	11.9	0.7
Other	109	9.2	53.2	22.0	10.1	5.5	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	3.9	16.9	2.6	2.6	3.9	70.1
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	8.2%	54.2%	22.8%	6.7%	7.5%	0.6%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	8.4	50.3	20.5	5.7	7.7	7.5
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Effectiveness of Criminal Justice System, by Select Household Characteristics**Effectiveness criterion: *"Reducing the amount of crime."**"Would you say the criminal justice system, in general, is very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective, or not effective at all?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Effective	Somewhat Effective	Not Very Effective	Not Effective At All	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	8.2%	53.0%	22.1%	6.4%	7.5%	2.8%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	1,727	8.3%	52.8%	23.3%	6.8%	8.0%	0.8%
4 – 6 residents	631	8.2	57.7	21.2	5.9	6.7	0.3
7 or more residents	43	11.6	60.5	20.9	4.7	2.3	0.0
Missing/Refuse	84	4.8	19.0	4.8	2.4	6.0	63.1
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	72	4.2%	40.3%	30.6%	12.5%	9.7%	2.7%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	97	11.3	51.5	22.7	8.2	6.3	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	367	12.0	54.8	20.4	5.7	7.1	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	8.5	49.6	24.8	6.7	9.3	1.1
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	5.1	59.3	21.9	6.6	6.9	0.2
\$80,000 or more	883	8.5	55.9	22.3	6.7	6.1	0.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	10.1	50.4	19.3	4.2	16.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	343	5.8	44.3	20.7	5.0	7.9	16.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.



**Severity of Criminal Justice System, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "Do you think the criminal justice system deals too harshly or not harshly enough with criminals?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a</sup>				
		Not Harshly Enough	Just Right	Too Harshly	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	55.9%	18.4%	6.2%	14.8%	4.7%
<b>Community Council Area</b>						
Abbott Loop	77	63.6%	11.7%	3.9%	15.6%	5.2%
Airport Heights	100	46.0	26.0	6.0	16.0	6.0
Basher	5	20.0	20.0	20.0	40.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	65.9	14.3	5.5	12.1	2.2
Bear Valley	9	55.6	22.2	11.1	11.1	0.0
Birchwood	32	56.3	9.4	12.5	18.8	3.0
Campbell Park	78	53.8	23.1	3.8	12.8	6.5
Chugiak	104	66.3	20.2	1.0	10.6	1.9
Downtown	11	72.7	0.0	18.2	0.0	9.1
Eagle River	93	72.0	15.1	1.1	8.6	3.2
Eagle River Valley	110	64.5	16.4	1.8	10.9	6.4
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	48.5	23.5	5.9	17.6	4.5
Girdwood	66	37.9	13.6	18.2	24.2	6.1
Glen Alps	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	26.7	40.0	3.3	20.0	10.0
Hillside East	56	58.9	16.1	5.4	12.5	7.1
Huffman/O'Malley	121	60.3	16.5	5.0	14.0	4.2
Mid-Hillside	119	45.4	21.0	10.1	18.5	5.0
Mountain View	9	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	47.8	26.1	10.1	10.1	5.9
Northeast	72	45.8	22.2	4.2	22.2	5.6
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	56.6	16.0	3.8	19.8	3.8
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	55.7	22.1	3.3	10.7	8.2
Rogers Park	106	39.6	18.9	8.5	23.6	9.4
Russian Jack Park	67	70.1	17.9	4.5	6.0	1.5
Sand Lake	105	67.6	10.5	6.7	10.5	4.7
Scenic Foothills	88	67.0	10.2	6.8	14.8	1.2
South Addition	101	37.6	32.7	6.9	19.8	3.0
South Fork	7	42.9	28.6	0.0	14.3	14.2
Spenard	71	62.0	14.1	7.0	15.5	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	62.0	11.0	8.0	13.0	6.0
Tudor Area	49	57.1	20.4	6.1	12.2	4.2
Turnagain	80	55.0	13.8	10.0	18.8	2.4
University Area	81	53.1	17.3	8.6	17.3	3.7
No Community Council <sup>b</sup>	80	55.0	20.0	7.5	12.5	5.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Severity of Criminal Justice System, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "Do you think the criminal justice system deals too harshly or not harshly enough with criminals?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>				
		Not Harshly Enough	Just Right	Too Harshly	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	55.9%	18.4%	6.2%	14.8%	4.7%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>						
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	53.1%	13.5%	14.6%	10.4%	8.4%
Asian (only)	42	52.4	19.0	11.9	9.5	7.2
Black/African American (only)	54	46.3	24.1	9.3	16.7	3.6
Pacific Islander (only)	12	58.3	8.3	0.0	33.4	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	55.1	19.4	5.8	15.0	4.7
All Other	199	60.3	14.6	6.0	15.1	4.0
Missing/Refuse	108	70.4	9.3	2.8	13.9	3.6
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>						
Yes	76	55.3%	10.5%	9.2%	18.4%	6.6%
No	2,393	55.9	18.7	6.1	14.7	4.6
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	46.2	15.4	7.7	23.1	7.6
<b>Gender</b>						
Female	1,378	56.2%	17.1%	4.9%	17.2%	4.6%
Male	1,105	55.4	20.0	7.9	11.9	4.8
Missing/Refuse	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>						
18 – 24 yrs	101	49.5%	13.9%	14.9%	16.8%	4.9%
25 – 34 yrs	259	59.1	13.1	7.3	15.8	4.7
35 – 44 yrs	407	61.2	16.0	5.2	14.3	3.3
45 – 54 yrs	738	53.5	19.8	6.4	14.5	5.8
55 – 64 yrs	540	59.4	17.2	5.6	13.7	4.1
65 yrs & up	436	49.5	24.1	5.0	16.5	4.8
Missing/Refuse	4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>						
No degree	25	48.0%	12.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%
High School/GED	385	62.1	16.4	6.5	10.6	4.4
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	62.1	17.9	4.1	11.9	4.0
Associate's degree	206	60.2	15.5	4.9	12.6	6.8
Bachelor's degree	606	53.6	17.2	7.1	17.0	5.1
Graduate/professional degree	545	41.1	25.3	7.9	20.4	5.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	60.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	86.7	4.0	2.7	6.6	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>						
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	55.9%	17.6%	6.1%	15.2%	5.2%
Full-time homemaker	138	60.1	19.6	4.3	16.0	0.0
Part-time	163	51.5	17.2	6.7	17.8	6.8
Unemployed	97	57.7	12.4	14.4	12.4	3.1
Disabled for work	41	70.7	12.2	4.9	4.9	7.3
In school only	32	53.1	9.4	18.8	18.7	0.0
Retired	446	49.6	25.8	4.9	15.2	4.5
Other	109	56.9	19.3	6.4	12.8	4.6
Missing/Refuse	77	83.1	3.9	2.6	7.8	2.6
<b>Residency</b>						
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	55.1%	19.2%	6.0%	14.4%	5.3%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	57.7	16.5	6.8	15.9	3.1
Missing/Refuse	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Severity of Criminal Justice System, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Do you think the criminal justice system deals too harshly or not harshly enough with criminals?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>				
		Not Harshly Enough	Just Right	Too Harshly	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	55.9%	18.4%	6.2%	14.8%	4.7%
<b>Household Size</b>						
1 – 3 residents	1,727	53.5%	19.4%	6.6%	15.0%	5.5%
4 – 6 residents	631	58.3	17.1	5.9	15.8	2.9
7 or more residents	43	58.1	20.9	4.7	9.3	7.0
Missing/Refuse	84	84.5	6.0	1.2	7.1	1.2
<b>Income (household)</b>						
Less than \$15,000	72	47.2%	18.1%	6.9%	18.1%	9.7%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	97	46.4	16.5	11.3	18.6	7.2
\$25,000 – \$49,999	367	55.3	18.3	7.6	13.1	5.7
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	55.6	18.1	9.6	14.4	2.3
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	56.3	20.7	5.4	12.6	5.0
\$80,000 or more	883	55.5	19.5	5.1	15.6	4.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	48.7	16.8	5.0	26.1	3.4
Missing/Refuse	343	64.1	14.9	4.4	11.7	4.9

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.



## **Section 2**

### **Research in Focus: Anchorage Police Department**

---



## Introduction and Overview

The Anchorage Police Department (APD) was tasked in 2003 with developing a strategic plan to guide the evolution of the department during the coming years<sup>1</sup>. One element of that plan is to develop more fully the relationship between APD and its primary constituency—the public. As identified in the strategic plan, an important first step in this process is for the department to find out how well APD is meeting the public’s expectations with respect to their stated organizational goals, as well as the means with which those goals are pursued.

Examination of both the ends to which institutions of the state are directed, and the means used to accomplish them is warranted because, as the United States Constitution makes clear with the concept of *due process*, the ends do not always justify the means. The means police use to realize the ends they seek are of great consequence because of the unique role police play in democratic society as the only institution granted the authority and power to employ coercive—even deadly—force.

However, society’s concern with monitoring and evaluating the means police use to accomplish their ends should not detract from the importance of those ends. The citizens of democratic societies have invested a great deal of trust (not to mention resources) in the police with the expectation they will keep the peace and protect life and property; thus, the degree to which the police are judged as fulfilling that trust is no small matter.

Seeing the department’s need for sound, reliable data with which to determine the public’s perceptions of these matters, the Justice Center selected a set of survey questions to empirically measure the public’s views of APD which were included in the *Anchorage Community Survey, 2005*. The Justice Center approached this task by specifically developing items to tap both dimensions—means and ends—of police practice. Respondents were asked to report their perceptions of the following dimensions of the *means* employed by APD in their pursuit of organizational goals:

- Fairness;
- Use of force;
- Accessibility;
- Helpfulness and friendliness; and
- Speed of response.

Concerning the ends of policing, respondents were asked to assess APD on the following:

- Their effectiveness at solving crime;
- Their effectiveness at preventing crime; and
- Overall confidence in the Anchorage police department.

Finally, to help evaluate patterns of response to these items, respondents were also asked whether they, themselves, or other close friends or family, had had direct contact with an APD officer in the 12 months preceding the survey.

---

<sup>1</sup> The department’s strategic plan can be accessed at <http://www.muni.org/apd1/StrategicPlan.cfml>.





**Evaluation of Anchorage Police Department, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Evaluation criterion: "Responding quickly to calls for help or assistance."

*"Would you say Anchorage police are excellent, pretty good, average, or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	18.5%	40.9%	23.8%	8.5%	5.8%	2.5%
<b>Community Council Area</b>							
Abbott Loop	77	23.4%	29.9%	26.0%	9.1%	7.8%	3.8%
Airport Heights	100	17.0	45.0	19.0	12.0	5.0	2.0
Basher	5	0.0	40.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	14.3	50.5	19.8	6.6	8.8	0.0
Bear Valley	9	11.1	55.6	11.1	22.2	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	15.6	25.0	34.4	9.4	12.5	3.1
Campbell Park	78	10.3	41.0	30.8	7.7	6.4	3.8
Chugiak	104	16.3	40.4	28.8	8.7	3.8	2.0
Downtown	11	27.3	18.2	27.3	18.2	90.9	9.1
Eagle River	93	21.5	41.9	17.2	8.6	3.2	7.6
Eagle River Valley	110	19.1	46.4	23.6	4.5	4.5	1.9
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	26.5	41.2	14.7	10.3	2.9	4.4
Girdwood	66	12.1	27.3	21.2	16.7	21.2	1.5
Glen Alps	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	26.7	26.7	30.0	3.3	3.3	10.0
Hillside East	56	19.6	46.4	21.4	8.9	1.8	1.9
Huffman/O'Malley	121	16.5	42.1	26.4	7.4	5.8	1.8
Mid-Hillside	119	15.1	39.5	23.5	8.4	10.1	3.4
Mountain View	9	22.2	33.3	11.1	33.4	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	31.9	33.3	20.3	7.2	4.3	3.0
Northeast	72	11.1	29.2	40.3	9.7	6.9	2.8
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	16.0	49.1	18.9	10.4	3.8	1.8
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	14.8	44.3	27.0	7.4	4.9	1.6
Rogers Park	106	21.7	43.4	20.8	7.5	4.7	1.9
Russian Jack Park	67	16.4	35.8	26.9	16.4	1.5	3.0
Sand Lake	105	18.1	38.1	29.5	9.5	1.9	2.9
Scenic Foothills	88	14.8	46.6	23.9	8.0	5.7	1.0
South Addition	101	23.8	38.6	29.7	1.0	5.0	1.9
South Fork	7	0.0	57.1	28.6	0.0	14.3	0.0
Spenard	71	26.8	40.8	26.8	2.8	1.4	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	17.0	41.0	22.0	8.0	9.0	3.0
Tudor Area	49	24.5	42.9	16.3	12.2	4.1	0.0
Turnagain	80	18.8	50.0	17.5	5.0	8.7	0.0
University Area	81	22.2	43.2	19.8	7.4	3.7	3.7
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	18.8	36.3	22.5	12.5	5.0	4.9

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Evaluation of Anchorage Police Department, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Evaluation criterion: "Responding quickly to calls for help or assistance."

*"Would you say Anchorage police are excellent, pretty good, average, or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	18.5%	40.9%	23.8%	8.5%	5.8%	2.5%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	17.7%	31.3%	25.0%	20.8%	5.2%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	14.3	42.9	23.8	19.0	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	14.8	44.4	24.1	9.3	7.4	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	25.0	33.3	33.3	8.4	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	19.0	42.8	24.3	7.3	6.2	0.4
All Other	199	21.1	36.2	23.6	14.1	5.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	108	6.5	21.3	13.0	2.8	2.8	53.6
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	76	15.8%	51.3%	19.7%	9.2%	4.0%	0.0%
No	2,393	18.6	40.6	23.9	8.4	5.8	2.7
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	0.0	38.5	38.5	7.7	15.3	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	1,378	17.4%	40.3%	23.6%	8.1%	6.2%	4.4%
Male	1,105	19.8	41.6	24.1	8.9	5.3	0.3
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	101	22.8%	25.7%	34.7%	13.9%	1.0%	1.9%
25 – 34 yrs	259	14.3	39.4	25.5	11.6	6.9	2.3
35 – 44 yrs	407	13.8	40.8	29.5	8.1	5.7	2.1
45 – 54 yrs	738	14.6	43.0	25.1	8.4	6.9	2.0
55 – 64 yrs	540	20.4	43.9	20.7	8.3	4.4	2.3
65 yrs & up	436	28.7	38.5	17.0	6.0	6.2	3.6
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	25	32.0%	28.0%	36.0%	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	385	22.6	32.7	26.8	11.7	5.5	0.7
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	20.1	40.4	26.0	8.6	4.7	0.2
Associate's degree	206	14.6	39.8	27.2	15.0	3.4	0.0
Bachelor's degree	606	15.7	46.2	22.3	8.6	7.1	0.1
Graduate/professional degree	545	20.2	46.1	21.5	4.4	7.7	0.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	0.0	60.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	1.3	12.0	6.7	2.7	0.0	77.3
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	16.1%	42.7%	25.6%	9.1%	6.1%	0.4%
Full-time homemaker	138	15.2	46.4	25.4	9.4	3.6	0.0
Part-time	163	13.5	39.9	33.7	9.2	3.7	0.0
Unemployed	97	16.5	38.1	24.7	14.4	6.3	0.0
Disabled for work	41	41.5	22.0	26.8	9.7	0.0	0.0
In school only	32	18.8	46.9	21.9	9.4	3.0	0.0
Retired	446	28.9	41.7	16.1	5.4	7.9	0.0
Other	109	22.0	35.8	27.5	8.3	6.4	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	1.3	14.3	5.2	2.6	0.0	76.6
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	19.2%	42.2%	24.1%	8.8%	5.4%	0.3%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	16.8	37.5	23.0	7.7	6.8	8.2
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Evaluation of Anchorage Police Department, by Select Household Characteristics**

Evaluation criterion: "Responding quickly to calls for help or assistance."

*"Would you say Anchorage police are excellent, pretty good, average, or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	18.5%	40.9%	23.8%	8.5%	5.8%	2.5%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	1,727	20.0%	41.2%	23.8%	8.6%	6.1%	0.3%
4 – 6 residents	631	16.0	43.4	25.7	8.9	5.9	0.1
7 or more residents	43	18.6	41.9	30.2	7.0	2.3	0.0
Missing/Refuse	84	6.0	15.5	7.1	2.4	0.0	69.0
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	72	26.4%	29.2%	25.0%	15.3%	4.1%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	97	32.0	34.0	23.7	7.2	3.1	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	367	20.4	38.7	25.3	10.4	4.9	0.3
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	18.1	46.7	23.0	6.7	5.5	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	15.6	42.2	27.8	7.8	6.6	0.0
\$80,000 or more	883	18.0	43.0	24.5	8.3	6.0	0.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	18.5	40.3	25.2	6.7	9.3	0.0
Missing/Refuse	343	15.2	36.4	16.6	8.5	5.5	17.8

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Evaluation of Anchorage Police Department, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Evaluation criterion: "NOT using excessive force."

"Would you say Anchorage police are excellent, pretty good, average, or poor?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	18.1%	38.6%	17.2%	4.3%	18.6%	3.2%
<b>Community Council Area</b>							
Abbott Loop	77	20.8%	32.5%	16.9%	2.6%	22.1%	5.1%
Airport Heights	100	17.0	39.0	18.0	3.0	21.0	2.0
Basher	5	20.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	17.6	41.8	15.4	3.3	21.9	0.0
Bear Valley	9	11.1	44.4	22.2	0.0	22.3	0.0
Birchwood	32	18.8	12.5	34.4	6.3	18.8	9.2
Campbell Park	78	11.5	52.6	16.7	3.8	11.5	3.9
Chugiak	104	21.2	39.4	11.5	5.8	20.2	1.9
Downtown	11	0.0	18.2	45.5	0.0	27.3	9.0
Eagle River	93	21.5	34.4	19.4	3.2	14.0	7.5
Eagle River Valley	110	18.2	43.6	15.5	3.6	16.4	2.7
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	22.1	32.4	13.2	7.4	20.6	4.3
Girdwood	66	15.2	33.3	18.2	6.1	24.2	3.0
Glen Alps	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	6.7	26.7	16.7	6.7	30.0	13.2
Hillside East	56	23.2	35.7	12.5	3.6	21.4	3.6
Huffman/O'Malley	121	18.2	46.3	15.7	5.0	12.4	2.4
Mid-Hillside	119	20.2	40.3	12.6	4.2	19.3	3.4
Mountain View	9	22.2	33.3	33.3	11.2	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	21.7	27.5	23.2	5.8	17.4	4.4
Northeast	72	15.3	25.0	30.6	6.9	19.4	2.8
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	20.8	39.6	16.0	3.8	17.0	2.8
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	15.6	46.7	16.4	2.5	14.8	4.0
Rogers Park	106	22.6	37.7	11.3	2.8	23.6	2.0
Russian Jack Park	67	19.4	35.8	16.4	10.4	13.4	4.6
Sand Lake	105	17.1	40.0	21.9	4.8	13.3	2.9
Scenic Foothills	88	25.0	38.6	17.0	4.5	14.9	0.0
South Addition	101	9.9	42.6	20.8	3.0	21.8	1.9
South Fork	7	28.6	57.1	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Spennard	71	15.5	42.3	19.7	1.4	18.3	2.8
Taku/Campbell	100	23.0	35.0	18.0	3.0	18.0	3.0
Tudor Area	49	16.3	38.8	16.3	0.0	26.5	2.1
Turnagain	80	17.5	36.3	13.8	6.3	26.1	0.0
University Area	81	16.0	38.3	13.6	7.4	21.0	3.7
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	12.5	43.8	17.5	2.5	17.5	6.2

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Evaluation of Anchorage Police Department, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Evaluation criterion: "NOT using excessive force."

*"Would you say Anchorage police are excellent, pretty good, average, or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	18.1%	38.6%	17.2%	4.3%	18.6%	3.2%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	13.5%	28.1%	28.1%	7.3%	21.9%	1.1%
Asian (only)	42	14.3	40.5	19.0	11.9	11.9	2.4
Black/African American (only)	54	7.4	38.9	33.3	5.6	13.0	1.8
Pacific Islander (only)	12	16.7	41.7	33.3	0.0	8.3	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	19.0	40.7	16.4	3.9	19.3	0.7
All Other	199	21.6	35.2	17.6	5.5	19.1	1.0
Missing/Refuse	108	6.5	14.8	11.1	3.7	9.3	54.6
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	76	14.5%	42.1%	19.7%	3.9%	18.4%	1.4%
No	2,393	18.3	38.7	17.0	4.2	18.5	3.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	33.3	33.3	33.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	7.7	7.7	30.8	15.4	38.4	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	1,378	15.2%	37.5%	17.8%	3.8%	20.7%	5.0%
Male	1,105	21.9	39.9	16.5	4.9	15.9	0.9
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	101	25.7%	32.7%	20.8%	11.9%	6.9%	2.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	19.3	37.5	22.8	3.1	15.1	2.2
35 – 44 yrs	407	19.2	39.3	17.0	4.2	18.2	2.1
45 – 54 yrs	738	16.0	41.5	17.6	4.3	17.3	3.3
55 – 64 yrs	540	20.2	39.8	15.2	4.4	17.8	2.6
65 yrs & up	436	16.1	33.9	15.1	3.0	27.1	4.8
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	25	16.0%	28.0%	12.0%	12.0%	24.0%	8.0%
High school/GED	385	15.8	34.0	24.4	7.0	17.1	1.7
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	19.7	39.8	18.8	5.0	16.3	0.4
Associate's degree	206	17.5	35.0	21.4	6.8	18.4	0.9
Bachelor's degree	606	17.7	43.9	13.2	2.5	21.1	1.6
Graduate/professional degree	545	21.3	40.4	14.9	2.6	20.8	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	0.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	1.3	9.3	4.0	1.3	6.7	77.4
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	19.4%	41.1%	17.3%	4.7%	16.7%	0.8%
Full-time homemaker	138	13.8	36.2	18.8	1.4	29.0	0.8
Part-time	163	12.9	38.0	23.3	6.1	17.8	1.9
Unemployed	97	18.6	34.0	26.8	6.2	13.4	1.0
Disabled for work	41	26.8	24.4	29.3	7.3	12.2	0.0
In school only	32	9.4	50.0	21.9	9.4	9.3	0.0
Retired	446	19.5	36.1	13.7	2.2	27.4	1.1
Other	109	21.1	45.9	14.7	5.5	12.8	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	1.3	11.7	2.6	1.3	6.5	76.6
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	18.1%	39.6%	17.9%	4.4%	19.1%	0.9%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	18.3	36.1	15.5	3.8	17.2	9.1
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Evaluation of Anchorage Police Department, by Select Household Characteristics**

Evaluation criterion: "NOT using excessive force."

*"Would you say Anchorage police are excellent, pretty good, average, or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	18.1%	38.6%	17.2%	4.3%	18.6%	3.2%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	1,727	17.6%	39.4%	17.6%	4.4%	20.2%	0.8%
4 – 6 residents	631	21.2	40.6	17.3	4.0	15.7	1.2
7 or more residents	43	20.9	32.6	20.9	9.3	16.3	0.0
Missing/Refuse	84	4.8	10.7	6.0	1.2	8.3	69.0
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	72	15.3%	34.7%	26.4%	6.9%	16.7%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	97	19.6	41.2	22.7	4.1	12.4	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	367	18.0	35.7	20.7	6.3	17.7	1.6
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	15.9	43.3	16.7	4.4	18.5	1.2
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	18.3	43.1	18.9	4.5	13.8	1.4
\$80,000 or more	883	21.0	40.4	16.6	3.1	18.5	0.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	18.5	35.3	10.1	5.9	30.2	0.0
Missing/Refuse	343	12.8	30.0	12.5	3.8	22.7	18.2

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Evaluation of Anchorage Police Department, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**Evaluation criterion: *"Being helpful and friendly."**"Would you say Anchorage police are excellent, pretty good, average, or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	<b>32.8%</b>	<b>40.0%</b>	<b>16.3%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>2.4%</b>
<b>Community Council Area</b>							
Abbott Loop	77	33.8%	31.2%	22.1%	5.2%	3.9%	3.8%
Airport Heights	100	26.0	45.0	15.0	7.0	5.0	2.0
Basher	5	0.0	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	34.1	38.5	20.9	4.4	2.1	0.0
Bear Valley	9	11.1	66.7	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	34.4	31.3	21.9	9.4	0.0	3.0
Campbell Park	78	21.8	55.1	12.8	3.8	2.6	3.9
Chugiak	104	33.7	37.5	16.3	8.7	1.9	1.9
Downtown	11	18.2	9.1	36.4	9.1	18.2	9.0
Eagle River	93	34.4	35.5	16.1	7.5	0.0	6.5
Eagle River Valley	110	34.5	40.0	19.1	1.8	2.7	1.9
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	30.9	39.7	11.8	4.4	8.8	4.4
Girdwood	66	22.7	33.3	21.2	10.6	10.6	1.6
Glen Alps	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	23.3	36.7	16.7	10.0	3.3	10.0
Hillside East	56	30.4	50.0	10.7	3.6	3.6	1.7
Huffman/O'Malley	121	38.8	40.5	14.9	2.5	1.7	1.6
Mid-Hillside	119	26.9	47.1	10.9	5.0	7.6	2.5
Mountain View	9	33.3	22.2	22.2	22.2	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	43.5	30.4	15.9	5.8	1.4	3.0
Northeast	72	29.2	37.5	18.1	6.9	5.6	2.7
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	32.1	43.4	14.2	3.8	4.7	1.8
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	36.9	40.2	14.8	3.3	4.1	0.7
Rogers Park	106	34.9	44.3	14.2	0.9	3.8	1.9
Russian Jack Park	67	31.3	40.3	19.4	3.0	3.0	3.0
Sand Lake	105	41.0	34.3	16.2	5.7	1.0	1.8
Scenic Foothills	88	40.9	33.0	19.3	1.1	5.7	0.0
South Addition	101	37.6	39.6	13.9	3.0	4.0	1.9
South Fork	7	14.3	71.4	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Spennard	71	36.6	35.2	15.5	8.5	2.8	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	33.0	40.0	14.0	6.0	4.0	3.0
Tudor Area	49	38.8	40.8	12.2	8.2	0.0	0.0
Turnagain	80	38.8	38.8	16.3	1.3	4.8	0.0
University Area	81	30.9	44.4	18.5	1.2	1.2	3.8
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	18.8	43.8	22.5	7.5	2.5	4.9

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Evaluation of Anchorage Police Department, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Evaluation criterion: "Being helpful and friendly."

*"Would you say Anchorage police are excellent, pretty good, average, or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	32.8%	40.0%	16.3%	4.8%	3.7%	2.4%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	25.0%	33.3%	33.3%	6.3%	2.1%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	23.8	33.3	35.7	4.8	2.4	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	27.8	35.2	24.1	11.1	1.8	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	50.0	25.0	16.7	8.3	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	34.8	41.9	15.3	4.3	3.6	0.1
All Other	199	31.7	38.7	15.1	8.5	6.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	108	10.2	20.4	9.3	2.8	3.7	53.6
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	76	25.0%	42.1%	23.7%	5.3%	3.9%	0.0%
No	2,393	33.1	40.0	16.1	4.8	3.6	2.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	23.1	30.8	7.7	15.4	23.1	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	1,378	33.0%	39.7%	15.4%	3.7%	4.0%	4.2%
Male	1,105	32.7	40.4	17.5	6.2	3.2	0.1
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	101	22.8%	39.6%	27.7%	4.0%	4.0%	1.9%
25 – 34 yrs	259	27.8	34.7	20.8	10.8	3.5	2.3
35 – 44 yrs	407	30.5	40.8	18.2	5.9	2.9	1.7
45 – 54 yrs	738	30.5	44.6	16.1	4.6	2.3	1.9
55 – 64 yrs	540	37.8	38.3	14.3	3.7	3.9	2.0
65 yrs & up	436	38.5	37.2	12.2	2.3	6.4	3.4
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	25	24.0%	40.0%	32.0%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	385	31.9	33.8	23.6	7.3	3.1	0.3
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	35.7	38.2	16.1	6.0	3.9	0.0
Associate's degree	206	27.7	38.3	24.3	7.3	2.4	0.0
Bachelor's degree	606	32.5	46.4	14.0	3.6	3.5	0.0
Graduate/professional degree	545	36.9	43.7	12.3	2.8	4.4	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	20.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	4.0	13.3	0.0	1.3	4.0	77.3
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	31.5%	41.8%	17.9%	5.3%	3.5%	0.0%
Full-time homemaker	138	34.1	39.1	13.8	8.7	4.3	0.0
Part-time	163	26.4	47.2	19.0	5.5	1.8	0.0
Unemployed	97	32.0	43.3	16.5	6.2	2.1	0.0
Disabled for work	41	46.3	24.4	17.1	7.3	4.9	0.0
In school only	32	31.3	40.6	18.8	9.4	0.0	0.0
Retired	446	41.5	37.7	13.2	2.0	5.6	0.0
Other	109	37.6	38.5	17.4	3.7	2.8	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	5.2	14.3	0.0	1.3	2.6	76.6
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	35.6%	40.5%	16.0%	4.6%	3.3%	0.0%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	26.0	38.8	17.2	5.4	4.4	8.2
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.



**Evaluation of Anchorage Police Department, by Select Household Characteristics**Evaluation criterion: *"Being helpful and friendly."**"Would you say Anchorage police are excellent, pretty good, average, or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	32.8%	40.0%	16.3%	4.8%	3.7%	2.4%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	1,727	34.4%	40.6%	16.5%	4.4%	4.0%	0.1%
4 – 6 residents	631	31.9	41.5	17.1	6.5	3.0	0.0
7 or more residents	43	32.6	37.2	25.6	4.6	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	84	8.3	16.7	1.2	1.2	3.6	69.0
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	72	34.7%	31.9%	18.1%	11.1%	4.2%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	97	35.1	33.0	23.7	7.2	1.0	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	367	33.5	40.6	16.3	6.5	3.1	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	35.2	42.6	13.7	5.6	2.9	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	31.7	41.0	19.2	5.1	3.0	0.0
\$80,000 or more	883	34.8	41.2	16.1	4.2	3.7	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	31.1	37.0	18.5	3.4	10.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	343	25.9	37.9	12.8	2.3	3.8	17.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Evaluation of Anchorage Police Department, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Evaluation criterion: "Treating people fairly."

*"Would you say Anchorage police are excellent, pretty good, average, or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	21.6%	42.5%	21.6%	5.7%	6.0%	2.6%
<b>Community Council Area</b>							
Abbott Loop	77	27.3%	33.8%	20.8%	6.5%	7.8%	3.9%
Airport Heights	100	20.0	47.0	18.0	7.0	6.0	2.0
Basher	5	0.0	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	25.3	42.9	18.7	6.6	6.6	0.0
Bear Valley	9	11.1	33.3	33.3	0.0	22.2	0.0
Birchwood	32	18.8	31.3	40.6	3.1	0.0	6.3
Campbell Park	78	16.7	47.4	21.8	3.8	6.4	3.9
Chugiak	104	18.3	47.1	17.3	7.7	7.7	1.9
Downtown	11	18.2	18.2	36.4	0.0	18.2	9.1
Eagle River	93	24.7	33.3	25.8	7.5	2.2	6.5
Eagle River Valley	110	22.7	42.7	24.5	4.5	3.6	1.8
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	19.1	36.8	29.4	4.4	5.9	4.4
Girdwood	66	15.2	25.8	24.2	9.1	21.2	4.5
Glen Alps	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	16.7	33.3	26.7	6.7	6.7	10.0
Hillside East	56	17.9	50.0	17.9	5.4	7.1	1.8
Huffman/O'Malley	121	24.0	48.8	20.7	1.7	3.3	1.7
Mid-Hillside	119	19.3	52.1	15.1	5.0	5.9	2.6
Mountain View	9	22.2	33.3	22.2	22.2	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	21.7	42.0	23.2	4.3	4.3	4.3
Northeast	72	20.8	31.9	27.8	8.3	9.7	1.4
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	21.7	44.3	17.0	6.6	8.5	1.9
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	21.3	45.9	21.3	4.9	4.1	2.5
Rogers Park	106	23.6	48.1	17.9	1.9	6.6	1.9
Russian Jack Park	67	22.4	32.8	32.8	6.0	3.0	3.0
Sand Lake	105	21.0	39.0	27.6	6.7	3.8	1.9
Scenic Foothills	88	30.7	38.6	18.2	6.8	5.7	0.0
South Addition	101	23.8	42.6	21.8	4.0	5.9	2.0
South Fork	7	28.6	57.1	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Spennard	71	25.4	43.7	22.5	5.6	1.4	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	23.0	41.0	18.0	7.0	8.0	3.0
Tudor Area	49	34.7	36.7	16.3	10.2	2.0	0.0
Turnagain	80	22.5	47.5	15.0	5.0	10.0	0.0
University Area	81	17.3	50.6	16.0	7.4	4.9	3.7
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	8.8	45.0	31.3	6.3	2.5	6.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Evaluation of Anchorage Police Department, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Evaluation criterion: "Treating people fairly."

*"Would you say Anchorage police are excellent, pretty good, average, or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	21.6%	42.5%	21.6%	5.7%	6.0%	2.6%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	20.8%	29.2%	35.4%	11.5%	3.1%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	19.0	35.7	33.3	12.0	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	9.3	44.4	33.3	9.3	3.7	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	25.0	58.3	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	22.4	45.1	21.0	5.0	6.2	0.3
All Other	199	25.6	34.2	23.6	10.1	6.5	0.0
Missing/Refuse	108	6.5	21.3	10.2	2.8	4.6	54.6
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	76	17.1%	31.6%	35.5%	10.5%	5.3%	0.0%
No	2,393	21.7	42.9	21.2	5.5	5.9	2.8
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	33.3	33.3	33.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	15.4	30.8	15.4	15.4	23.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	1,378	18.7%	41.9%	22.6%	4.9%	7.3%	4.6%
Male	1,105	25.2	43.2	20.5	6.7	4.3	0.2
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	101	17.8%	36.6%	30.7%	7.9%	5.0%	2.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	18.5	32.8	30.9	10.4	4.6	2.7
35 – 44 yrs	407	22.6	39.8	21.6	7.6	6.6	1.7
45 – 54 yrs	738	18.6	46.2	21.5	5.7	5.7	2.3
55 – 64 yrs	540	24.3	45.6	18.7	4.8	4.6	2.0
65 yrs & up	436	25.2	42.4	18.1	1.8	8.5	3.9
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	25	16.0%	44.0%	32.0%	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	385	23.4	33.2	30.1	8.1	4.9	0.3
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	23.4	44.2	21.2	6.3	5.0	0.0
Associate's degree	206	18.9	40.8	27.7	8.7	3.9	0.0
Bachelor's degree	606	20.5	46.7	19.6	4.8	8.1	0.3
Graduate/professional degree	545	23.7	47.0	18.2	4.0	6.6	0.6
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	0.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	1.3	13.3	2.7	0.0	4.0	78.7
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	20.3%	45.0%	22.4%	6.8%	5.4%	0.1%
Full-time homemaker	138	21.7	40.6	22.5	5.8	9.4	0.0
Part-time	163	14.1	42.3	33.1	5.5	3.7	1.2
Unemployed	97	25.8	39.2	23.7	5.2	5.2	1.0
Disabled for work	41	31.7	31.7	29.3	2.4	4.9	0.0
In school only	32	12.5	43.8	28.1	12.5	3.1	0.0
Retired	446	28.3	42.2	18.4	2.2	8.7	0.2
Other	109	29.4	42.2	13.8	10.1	3.7	0.9
Missing/Refuse	77	3.9	13.0	2.6	0.0	3.9	76.6
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	22.8%	44.6%	21.1%	5.5%	5.7%	0.3%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	18.5	37.4	23.0	6.3	6.4	8.5
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Evaluation of Anchorage Police Department, by Select Household Characteristics**Evaluation criterion: *"Treating people fairly."**"Would you say Anchorage police are excellent, pretty good, average, or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	21.6%	42.5%	21.6%	5.7%	6.0%	2.6%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	<b>1,727</b>	22.2%	43.7%	22.3%	5.2%	6.5%	0.1%
4 – 6 residents	<b>631</b>	22.5	42.3	21.9	7.9	5.1	0.3
7 or more residents	<b>43</b>	14.0	51.2	25.6	7.0	2.2	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>84</b>	6.0	15.5	4.8	0.0	3.6	70.1
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	<b>72</b>	23.6%	29.2%	36.1%	4.2%	6.9%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>97</b>	21.6	41.2	25.8	10.3	1.1	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>367</b>	21.0	39.8	23.4	9.5	6.3	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>270</b>	22.6	45.9	18.9	6.3	5.9	0.4
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>334</b>	22.2	43.7	21.0	8.1	4.8	0.2
\$80,000 or more	<b>883</b>	22.8	45.2	21.9	4.1	5.9	0.1
Don't know/Not Applicable	<b>119</b>	23.5	37.0	26.1	3.4	10.1	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>343</b>	16.6	39.7	16.3	2.9	6.7	17.8

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Evaluation of Anchorage Police Department, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Evaluation criterion: "Investigating/solving crimes."

*"Would you say Anchorage police are excellent, pretty good, average, or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	10.9%	37.9%	28.1%	9.2%	11.0%	2.9%
<b>Community Council Area</b>							
Abbott Loop	77	16.9%	32.5%	23.4%	11.7%	11.7%	3.9%
Airport Heights	100	8.0	44.0	22.0	14.0	10.0	2.0
Basher	5	0.0	60.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	13.2	37.4	30.8	7.7	11.0	0.0
Bear Valley	9	0.0	44.4	11.1	22.2	22.2	0.0
Birchwood	32	12.5	21.9	25.0	21.9	12.5	6.3
Campbell Park	78	11.5	37.2	23.1	15.4	9.0	3.8
Chugiak	104	8.7	40.4	30.8	8.7	9.6	1.9
Downtown	11	18.2	36.4	18.2	0.0	18.2	9.1
Eagle River	93	11.8	41.9	25.8	6.5	7.5	6.5
Eagle River Valley	110	12.7	41.8	26.4	8.2	8.2	2.7
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	16.2	27.9	26.5	10.3	14.7	4.4
Girdwood	66	13.6	22.7	21.2	13.6	24.2	4.5
Glen Alps	2	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	10.0	30.0	33.3	0.0	13.3	13.3
Hillside East	56	8.9	39.3	28.6	1.8	17.9	3.6
Huffman/O'Malley	121	9.9	45.5	24.8	8.3	9.9	1.7
Mid-Hillside	119	11.8	31.1	32.8	10.1	11.8	2.5
Mountain View	9	11.1	33.3	11.1	33.3	0.0	11.1
North Star	69	13.0	31.9	33.3	7.2	10.1	4.3
Northeast	72	8.3	38.9	25.0	12.5	11.1	4.2
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	11.3	43.4	27.4	7.5	8.5	1.9
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	9.0	43.4	29.5	5.7	10.7	1.6
Rogers Park	106	13.2	40.6	21.7	5.7	17.0	1.9
Russian Jack Park	67	9.0	35.8	34.3	7.5	10.4	3.0
Sand Lake	105	5.7	34.3	36.2	11.4	8.6	3.8
Scenic Foothills	88	12.5	37.5	30.7	12.5	6.8	0.0
South Addition	101	5.0	44.6	32.7	5.0	10.9	2.0
South Fork	7	0.0	42.9	42.9	0.0	14.3	0.0
Spenard	71	19.7	29.6	28.2	15.5	5.6	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	11.0	41.0	24.0	7.0	14.0	3.0
Tudor Area	49	10.2	42.9	26.5	16.3	4.1	0.0
Turnagain	80	12.5	42.5	31.3	3.8	10.0	0.0
University Area	81	8.6	35.8	32.1	3.7	14.8	4.9
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	7.5	32.5	31.3	12.5	10.0	6.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Evaluation of Anchorage Police Department, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Evaluation criterion: "Investigating/solving crimes."

*"Would you say Anchorage police are excellent, pretty good, average, or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	10.9%	37.9%	28.1%	9.2%	11.0%	2.9%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	12.5%	29.2%	39.6%	10.4%	8.3%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	9.5	23.8	31.0	16.7	19.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	11.1	27.8	37.0	13.0	11.1	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	16.7	41.7	16.7	16.7	8.3	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	11.2	40.5	28.0	8.7	10.9	0.7
All Other	199	10.6	33.7	30.2	10.6	14.9	0.0
Missing/Refuse	108	2.8	17.6	11.1	8.3	4.6	55.6
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	76	9.2%	35.5%	35.5%	11.8%	8.0%	0.0%
No	2,393	10.9	38.1	28.0	8.9	11.1	3.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	7.7	23.1	7.7	53.8	7.7	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	1,378	12.0%	36.6%	28.1%	7.4%	11.0%	4.9%
Male	1,105	9.5	39.6	28.1	11.3	11.0	0.5
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	101	8.9%	27.7%	30.7%	16.8%	13.9%	2.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	10.0	38.2	29.0	10.4	9.7	2.7
35 – 44 yrs	407	10.3	38.6	29.5	8.1	11.5	2.0
45 – 54 yrs	738	11.2	37.3	29.8	10.4	8.7	2.6
55 – 64 yrs	540	10.7	39.3	27.8	9.3	10.7	2.2
65 yrs & up	436	11.9	39.4	23.4	5.5	14.9	4.8
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	25	4.0%	44.0%	24.0%	8.0%	20.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	385	15.1	36.1	26.8	10.9	10.4	0.8
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	11.8	37.5	27.9	11.4	11.0	0.4
Associate's degree	206	9.7	33.0	34.0	15.5	6.8	1.0
Bachelor's degree	606	9.6	41.9	29.0	5.9	13.4	0.2
Graduate/professional degree	545	10.3	41.5	29.4	7.5	10.6	0.7
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	0.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	40.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	2.7	6.7	5.3	1.3	4.0	80.0
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	10.2%	38.6%	30.5%	10.0%	10.5%	0.2%
Full-time homemaker	138	12.3	42.8	23.9	7.2	13.0	0.7
Part-time	163	8.6	38.0	31.9	10.4	9.8	1.2
Unemployed	97	12.4	36.1	26.8	16.5	8.2	0.0
Disabled for work	41	22.0	24.4	26.8	14.6	12.2	0.0
In school only	32	6.3	37.5	37.5	12.5	6.3	0.0
Retired	446	12.8	42.2	23.5	6.5	13.9	1.1
Other	109	14.7	34.9	32.1	6.4	11.9	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	2.6	7.8	3.9	1.3	5.2	79.2
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	11.0%	39.0%	29.0%	9.8%	10.6%	0.6%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	10.5	35.2	25.9	7.5	12.1	8.8
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Evaluation of Anchorage Police Department, by Select Household Characteristics**Evaluation criterion: *"Investigating/solving crimes."**"Would you say Anchorage police are excellent, pretty good, average, or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	10.9%	37.9%	28.1%	9.2%	11.0%	2.9%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	1,727	10.7%	39.7%	28.8%	8.9%	11.4%	0.5%
4 – 6 residents	631	12.7	36.8	28.7	10.6	10.6	0.6
7 or more residents	43	7.0	41.9	30.2	9.3	9.3	2.3
Missing/Refuse	84	2.4	8.3	8.3	3.6	6.0	71.4
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	72	12.5%	30.6%	23.6%	18.1%	15.2%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	97	12.4	30.9	32.0	11.3	13.4	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	367	13.1	35.7	28.3	9.3	12.8	0.8
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	12.2	39.6	27.4	10.4	10.0	0.4
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	10.8	40.1	30.8	8.7	9.6	0.0
\$80,000 or more	883	9.2	41.6	29.9	8.8	10.0	0.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	10.1	38.7	20.2	14.3	16.0	0.7
Missing/Refuse	343	11.4	30.9	23.6	5.2	10.5	18.4

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Evaluation of Anchorage Police Department, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Evaluation criterion: "Preventing crime."

*"Would you say Anchorage police are excellent, pretty good, average, or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	5.5%	31.0%	35.5%	14.4%	10.5%	3.1%
<b>Community Council Area</b>							
Abbott Loop	77	10.4%	22.1%	36.4%	14.3%	11.7%	5.2%
Airport Heights	100	4.0	36.0	24.0	20.0	14.0	2.0
Basher	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	4.4	36.3	40.7	9.9	7.7	1.1
Bear Valley	9	0.0	22.2	11.1	33.3	33.3	0.0
Birchwood	32	0.0	25.0	37.5	18.8	9.4	9.4
Campbell Park	78	5.1	26.9	37.2	16.7	10.3	3.8
Chugiak	104	2.9	31.7	39.4	13.5	10.6	1.9
Downtown	11	0.0	27.3	36.4	9.1	18.2	9.1
Eagle River	93	4.3	32.3	39.8	11.8	5.4	6.5
Eagle River Valley	110	5.5	38.2	33.6	14.5	4.5	3.6
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	13.2	26.5	22.1	16.2	16.2	5.9
Girdwood	66	7.6	18.2	33.3	21.2	15.2	4.5
Glen Alps	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	3.3	30.0	26.7	10.0	20.0	10.0
Hillside East	56	3.6	33.9	37.5	12.5	8.9	3.6
Huffman/O'Malley	121	5.0	38.0	36.4	11.6	7.4	1.7
Mid-Hillside	119	7.6	28.6	31.9	16.0	13.4	2.5
Mountain View	9	11.1	22.2	44.4	11.1	0.0	11.1
North Star	69	7.2	20.3	53.6	11.6	4.3	2.9
Northeast	72	4.2	30.6	37.5	19.4	5.6	2.8
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	2.8	35.8	35.8	17.0	6.6	1.9
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	5.7	32.8	35.2	15.6	9.8	0.8
Rogers Park	106	5.7	38.7	26.4	11.3	14.2	3.8
Russian Jack Park	67	7.5	31.3	28.4	22.4	7.5	3.0
Sand Lake	105	4.8	25.7	41.0	18.1	7.6	2.9
Scenic Foothills	88	5.7	28.4	39.8	11.4	14.7	0.0
South Addition	101	4.0	36.6	40.6	4.0	12.9	2.0
South Fork	7	0.0	28.6	42.9	14.3	14.3	0.0
Spenard	71	11.3	26.8	31.0	22.5	7.0	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	5.0	38.0	33.0	11.0	9.0	4.0
Tudor Area	49	4.1	26.5	32.7	16.3	18.4	2.0
Turnagain	80	2.5	41.3	37.5	8.8	10.0	0.0
University Area	81	8.6	21.0	33.3	11.1	22.2	3.7
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	5.0	21.3	42.5	15.0	8.8	7.5

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.



**Evaluation of Anchorage Police Department, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Evaluation criterion: "Preventing crime."

*"Would you say Anchorage police are excellent, pretty good, average, or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	5.5%	31.0%	35.5%	14.4%	10.5%	3.1%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	9.4%	27.1%	41.7%	18.8%	3.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	9.5	26.2	28.6	21.4	11.9	2.4
Black/African American (only)	54	7.4	25.9	38.9	18.5	9.3	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	16.7	41.7	25.0	8.3	8.3	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	5.1	32.7	36.8	13.6	11.0	0.8
All Other	199	7.5	26.1	33.7	20.1	12.1	0.5
Missing/Refuse	108	1.9	16.7	10.2	10.2	5.6	55.4
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	76	3.9%	34.2%	36.8%	18.4%	6.7%	0.0%
No	2,393	5.6	30.9	35.6	14.0	10.7	3.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	23.1	15.4	53.8	7.7	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	1,378	5.9%	30.0%	35.4%	12.2%	11.5%	5.0%
Male	1,105	5.1	32.3	35.6	17.1	9.2	0.7
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	101	4.0%	27.7%	38.6%	20.8%	6.9%	2.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	3.9	29.0	34.4	22.0	7.7	3.0
35 – 44 yrs	407	4.9	30.0	41.0	11.8	10.3	2.0
45 – 54 yrs	738	4.1	31.2	36.9	15.3	9.9	2.6
55 – 64 yrs	540	6.5	32.4	34.6	15.6	8.7	2.2
65 yrs & up	436	8.7	32.3	29.1	7.8	16.7	5.4
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	25	8.0%	24.0%	20.0%	16.0%	28.0%	4.0%
High school/GED	385	8.8	31.4	32.2	18.2	8.3	1.1
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	6.0	32.0	36.2	16.3	9.2	0.3
Associate's degree	206	4.9	26.7	37.9	19.9	10.2	0.4
Bachelor's degree	606	3.6	31.7	40.6	11.6	11.9	0.6
Graduate/professional degree	545	5.3	34.1	35.0	12.1	12.5	1.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	0.0	40.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	2.7	6.7	5.3	1.3	4.0	80.0
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	4.7%	30.5%	38.4%	15.8%	10.0%	0.6%
Full-time homemaker	138	5.1	32.6	36.2	15.2	10.1	0.8
Part-time	163	2.5	31.3	42.9	14.1	8.0	1.2
Unemployed	97	5.2	36.1	34.0	17.5	7.2	0.0
Disabled for work	41	19.5	22.0	24.4	24.4	9.7	0.0
In school only	32	3.1	31.3	40.6	21.9	3.1	0.0
Retired	446	7.6	37.4	29.6	9.2	15.0	1.2
Other	109	10.1	24.8	35.8	16.5	12.8	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	2.6	6.5	5.2	1.3	5.2	79.2
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	5.5%	32.2%	35.8%	14.8%	11.0%	0.7%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	5.5	28.0	34.7	13.4	9.4	9.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Evaluation of Anchorage Police Department, by Select Household Characteristics**

Evaluation criterion: "Preventing crime."

*"Would you say Anchorage police are excellent, pretty good, average, or poor?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Excellent	Pretty Good	Average	Poor	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	5.5%	31.0%	35.5%	14.4%	10.5%	3.1%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	1,727	5.7%	31.6%	35.6%	15.1%	11.3%	0.7%
4 – 6 residents	631	5.4	32.6	38.0	13.8	9.4	0.8
7 or more residents	43	2.3	34.9	39.5	16.3	7.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	84	3.6	6.0	10.7	3.6	4.8	71.3
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	72	8.3%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	16.7%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	97	9.3	34.0	32.0	18.6	5.2	0.9
\$25,000 – \$49,999	367	6.8	32.4	35.1	14.2	10.9	0.6
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	5.9	32.6	37.4	15.2	8.9	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	5.1	32.3	37.1	16.5	8.4	0.6
\$80,000 or more	883	4.5	34.2	37.1	13.4	10.0	0.8
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	5.0	34.5	31.1	11.8	16.8	0.8
Missing/Refuse	343	5.2	18.1	32.9	12.0	13.1	18.7

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Confidence in Anchorage Police Department, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "Please tell me how much confidence, you yourself, have in the Anchorage police department: a great deal, quite a lot, some, very little, or none."

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		A Great Deal	Quite A Lot	Some	Very Little	None	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	23.7%	36.4%	28.7%	5.4%	2.3%	1.0%	2.5%
<b>Community Council Area</b>								
Abbott Loop	77	20.8%	41.6%	20.8%	3.9%	6.5%	2.6%	3.8%
Airport Heights	100	27.0	30.0	32.0	5.0	3.0	1.0	2.0
Basher	5	20.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	23.1	44.0	26.4	4.4	1.1	1.1	0.0
Bear Valley	9	22.2	33.3	22.2	11.1	11.1	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	18.8	18.8	40.6	12.5	0.0	3.1	6.2
Campbell Park	78	25.6	33.3	26.9	7.7	2.6	0.0	3.8
Chugiak	104	25.0	43.3	22.1	5.8	1.9	0.0	1.9
Downtown	11	18.2	9.1	45.5	9.1	0.0	9.1	9.1
Eagle River	93	22.6	34.4	26.9	6.5	3.2	0.0	6.5
Eagle River Valley	110	30.0	40.9	20.9	4.5	1.8	0.0	1.8
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	22.1	32.4	23.5	4.4	5.9	5.9	5.9
Girdwood	66	21.2	19.7	37.9	7.6	6.1	4.5	3.0
Glen Alps	2	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	23.3	26.7	33.3	3.3	3.3	0.0	10.0
Hillside East	56	23.2	33.9	30.4	7.1	3.6	0.0	1.8
Huffman/O'Malley	121	28.9	39.7	23.1	2.5	2.5	1.7	1.7
Mid-Hillside	119	21.0	39.5	27.7	6.7	1.7	0.8	2.5
Mountain View	9	11.1	33.3	44.4	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	30.4	31.9	27.5	7.2	0.0	0.0	2.9
Northeast	72	15.3	36.1	36.1	6.9	2.8	0.0	2.8
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	21.7	40.6	30.2	3.8	1.9	0.0	1.9
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	20.5	37.7	35.2	1.6	3.3	0.8	0.8
Rogers Park	106	28.3	41.5	23.6	1.9	0.9	0.9	2.8
Russian Jack Park	67	19.4	26.9	34.3	11.9	4.5	0.0	3.0
Sand Lake	105	24.8	40.0	23.8	6.7	1.9	1.0	1.9
Scenic Foothills	88	15.9	43.2	35.2	5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
South Addition	101	27.7	38.6	27.7	4.0	0.0	0.0	2.0
South Fork	7	0.0	42.9	42.9	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Spennard	71	19.7	32.4	25.4	14.1	2.8	2.8	2.8
Taku/Campbell	100	25.0	34.0	33.0	4.0	1.0	0.0	3.0
Tudor Area	49	30.6	38.8	20.4	6.1	4.1	0.0	0.0
Turnagain	80	28.8	38.8	28.8	1.3	1.3	1.3	0.0
University Area	81	25.9	35.8	30.9	1.2	0.0	2.5	3.7
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	18.8	30.0	36.3	7.5	1.3	0.0	6.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Confidence in Anchorage Police Department, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "Please tell me how much confidence, you yourself, have in the Anchorage police department: a great deal, quite a lot, some, very little, or none."

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		A Great Deal	Quite A Lot	Some	Very Little	None	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	23.7%	36.4%	28.7%	5.4%	2.3%	1.0%	2.5%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>								
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	22.9%	21.9%	40.6%	9.4%	3.1%	2.1%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	14.3	19.0	40.5	16.7	4.8	4.7	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	24.1	27.8	37.0	5.6	3.7	1.8	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	33.3	16.7	33.3	8.3	8.4	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	24.8	39.6	28.0	5.0	1.8	0.7	0.1
All Other	199	23.1	29.6	32.7	5.5	6.0	2.5	0.6
Missing/Refuse	108	8.3	16.7	13.9	4.6	0.9	0.0	55.6
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>								
Yes	76	21.1%	25.0%	43.4%	6.6%	0.0%	2.6%	1.3%
No	2,393	23.9	36.8	28.2	5.3	2.3	0.9	2.6
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	0.0	30.8	38.5	23.1	7.6	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	1,378	22.9%	37.5%	27.6%	5.1%	1.3%	1.1%	4.5%
Male	1,105	24.7	34.9	30.0	5.8	3.4	0.8	0.4
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>								
18 – 24 yrs	101	15.8%	19.8%	42.6%	13.9%	4.0%	2.0%	1.9%
25 – 34 yrs	259	17.8	27.8	36.3	8.5	4.6	1.9	3.1
35 – 44 yrs	407	21.6	37.6	31.2	4.4	2.7	0.7	1.8
45 – 54 yrs	738	20.1	39.6	30.4	5.7	1.8	0.5	1.9
55 – 64 yrs	540	25.6	37.2	27.4	4.4	2.4	0.7	2.3
65 yrs & up	436	35.1	38.1	17.7	3.2	0.7	1.4	3.8
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>								
No degree	25	20.0%	24.0%	36.0%	12.0%	0.0%	8.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	385	26.2	26.8	33.0	8.3	3.9	1.3	0.5
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	24.6	36.5	28.4	6.4	3.0	1.1	0.0
Associate's degree	206	20.9	37.9	29.1	8.3	3.8	0.0	0.0
Bachelor's degree	606	22.1	40.3	32.0	2.6	1.7	1.2	0.1
Graduate/professional degree	545	27.0	42.2	25.0	4.4	0.7	0.4	0.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	0.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	2.7	9.3	6.7	1.3	0.0	0.0	80.0
<b>Primary work status</b>								
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	21.9%	37.2%	32.3%	5.5%	2.2%	0.8%	0.1%
Full-time homemaker	138	23.2	39.1	26.8	7.2	2.9	0.8	0.0
Part-time	163	19.0	36.2	33.7	7.4	1.8	1.2	0.7
Unemployed	97	14.4	42.3	24.7	11.3	6.2	1.1	0.0
Disabled for work	41	39.0	14.6	26.8	12.2	2.4	5.0	0.0
In school only	32	18.8	28.1	37.5	6.3	6.3	3.0	0.0
Retired	446	36.3	40.6	18.2	2.7	1.1	0.7	0.4
Other	109	21.1	30.3	37.6	4.6	4.6	1.8	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	3.9	9.1	6.5	1.3	0.0	0.0	79.2
<b>Residency</b>								
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	25.0%	38.9%	27.8%	5.1%	2.3%	0.7%	0.2%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	20.5	30.1	30.8	6.1	2.1	1.7	8.7
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Confidence in Anchorage Police Department, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Please tell me how much confidence, you yourself, have in the Anchorage police department: a great deal, quite a lot, some, very little, or none."

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		A Great Deal	Quite A Lot	Some	Very Little	None	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	23.7%	36.4%	28.7%	5.4%	2.3%	1.0%	2.5%
<b>Household Size</b>								
1 – 3 residents	1,727	25.2%	36.7%	29.4%	5.6%	2.0%	0.9%	0.2%
4 – 6 residents	631	21.4	39.0	29.5	5.4	3.2	1.3	0.2
7 or more residents	43	30.2	32.6	27.9	4.7	4.6	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	84	6.0	11.9	9.5	1.2	0.0	0.0	71.4
<b>Income (household)</b>								
Less than \$15,000	72	25.0%	26.4%	29.2%	11.1%	5.6%	2.7%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	97	30.9	23.7	27.8	13.4	2.1	1.0	1.1
\$25,000 – \$49,999	367	22.9	33.0	32.4	5.7	4.6	1.4	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	27.4	37.4	27.0	4.8	2.6	0.8	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	24.3	36.2	31.1	5.1	2.7	0.3	0.3
\$80,000 or more	883	23.8	41.9	28.3	4.2	1.0	0.6	0.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	21.8	36.1	27.7	8.4	2.5	3.5	0.0
Missing/Refuse	343	19.2	30.9	25.1	4.4	1.5	1.2	17.7

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Accessibility of Anchorage Police Department, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "Please tell me how accessible you think the Anchorage police department is. By accessible, I mean the degree to which the services of APD are made available to you: very accessible, somewhat accessible, somewhat inaccessible, or not at all accessible."

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Accessible	Somewhat Accessible	Somewhat Inaccessible	Not At All Accessible	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	34.4%	48.7%	8.5%	2.2%	3.4%	2.8%
<b>Community Council Area</b>							
Abbott Loop	77	28.6%	53.2%	3.9%	5.2%	3.9%	5.2%
Airport Heights	100	33.0	50.0	8.0	5.0	2.0	2.0
Basher	5	40.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	31.9	60.4	4.4	1.1	2.2	0.0
Bear Valley	9	11.1	55.6	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	25.0	50.0	12.5	0.0	6.3	6.3
Campbell Park	78	34.6	55.1	3.8	1.3	1.3	3.8
Chugiak	104	28.8	57.7	2.9	4.8	3.8	1.9
Downtown	11	27.3	45.5	9.1	0.0	9.1	9.1
Eagle River	93	33.3	48.4	7.5	0.0	4.3	6.5
Eagle River Valley	110	40.9	47.3	6.4	0.0	3.6	1.8
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	44.1	36.8	5.9	1.5	5.9	5.9
Girdwood	66	21.2	31.8	18.2	22.7	4.5	1.5
Glen Alps	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	33.3	43.3	3.3	3.3	6.7	10.0
Hillside East	56	41.1	42.9	10.7	1.8	1.8	1.8
Huffman/O'Malley	121	34.7	55.4	3.3	1.7	3.3	1.7
Mid-Hillside	119	30.3	48.7	12.6	4.2	1.7	2.5
Mountain View	9	33.3	33.3	33.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	42.0	40.6	13.0	1.4	0.0	2.9
Northeast	72	30.6	51.4	11.1	0.0	1.4	5.6
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	31.1	53.8	8.5	0.0	4.7	1.9
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	27.9	51.6	13.9	3.3	1.6	1.6
Rogers Park	106	41.5	45.3	5.7	0.0	5.7	1.9
Russian Jack Park	67	38.8	43.3	11.9	3.0	0.0	3.0
Sand Lake	105	32.4	54.3	9.5	1.0	1.0	1.9
Scenic Foothills	88	36.4	51.1	6.8	1.1	4.5	0.0
South Addition	101	35.6	52.5	7.9	0.0	2.0	2.0
South Fork	7	28.6	57.1	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Spennard	71	38.0	42.3	14.1	1.4	2.8	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	35.0	43.0	9.0	1.0	7.0	5.0
Tudor Area	49	53.1	32.7	8.2	0.0	6.0	0.0
Turnagain	80	37.5	53.8	3.8	1.3	3.8	0.0
University Area	81	43.2	40.7	6.2	1.2	4.9	3.7
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	26.3	48.8	11.3	1.3	6.3	6.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Accessibility of Anchorage Police Department, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "Please tell me how accessible you think the Anchorage police department is. By accessible, I mean the degree to which the services of APD are made available to you: very accessible, somewhat accessible, somewhat inaccessible, or not at all accessible."

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Accessible	Somewhat Accessible	Somewhat Inaccessible	Not At All Accessible	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	34.4%	48.7%	8.5%	2.2%	3.4%	2.8%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	33.3%	45.8%	16.7%	3.1%	1.1%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	23.8	57.1	9.5	4.8	2.4	2.4
Black/African American (only)	54	37.0	44.4	9.3	5.6	1.9	1.8
Pacific Islander (only)	12	58.3	25.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	35.3	51.3	7.8	1.9	3.6	0.1
All Other	199	37.2	40.7	13.1	4.5	4.5	0.0
Missing/Refuse	108	14.8	21.3	4.6	0.9	1.9	56.5
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	76	28.9%	56.6%	10.5%	1.3%	2.7%	0.0%
No	2,393	34.6	48.5	8.4	2.3	3.4	2.8
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	23.1	46.2	15.4	0.0	15.3	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	1,378	34.7%	47.2%	8.1%	2.1%	3.2%	4.7%
Male	1,105	34.1	50.6	9.0	2.4	3.6	0.3
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	101	23.8%	61.4%	8.9%	0.0%	4.0%	1.9%
25 – 34 yrs	259	31.3	53.7	6.9	3.1	2.3	2.7
35 – 44 yrs	407	35.9	48.2	8.1	3.9	1.7	2.2
45 – 54 yrs	738	32.0	52.0	10.0	1.8	2.2	2.0
55 – 64 yrs	540	36.7	46.3	8.3	2.8	3.7	2.2
65 yrs & up	436	39.0	41.3	7.3	0.7	7.3	4.4
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	25	32.0%	48.0%	4.0%	0.0%	16.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	385	31.9	51.2	10.6	1.0	4.2	1.1
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	35.9	47.6	9.7	3.6	3.0	0.2
Associate's degree	206	32.0	51.0	11.7	3.4	1.9	0.0
Bachelor's degree	606	33.2	53.6	6.8	2.3	4.0	0.1
Graduate/professional degree	545	41.3	47.0	7.3	1.3	2.9	0.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	4.0	10.7	2.7	0.0	1.3	81.3
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	33.4%	51.9%	9.5%	2.2%	2.8%	0.2%
Full-time homemaker	138	37.0	47.1	6.5	4.3	4.3	0.8
Part-time	163	28.8	58.3	10.4	0.0	2.5	0.0
Unemployed	97	27.8	57.7	5.2	5.2	4.1	0.0
Disabled for work	41	48.8	34.1	12.2	2.4	2.5	0.0
In school only	32	34.4	53.1	3.1	9.4	0.0	0.0
Retired	446	43.0	41.7	7.2	1.8	5.8	0.5
Other	109	37.6	48.6	8.3	1.8	3.7	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	5.2	10.4	2.6	0.0	1.3	80.5
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	35.8%	49.1%	9.0%	2.1%	3.6%	0.4%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	30.8	47.9	7.1	2.6	2.8	8.8
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Accessibility of Anchorage Police Department, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Please tell me how accessible you think the Anchorage police department is. By accessible, I mean the degree to which the services of APD are made available to you: very accessible, somewhat accessible, somewhat inaccessible, or not at all accessible."

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Accessible	Somewhat Accessible	Somewhat Inaccessible	Not At All Accessible	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	34.4%	48.7%	8.5%	2.2%	3.4%	2.8%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	<b>1,727</b>	34.5%	49.5%	9.3%	2.2%	4.1%	0.4%
4 – 6 residents	<b>631</b>	36.1	52.3	7.0	2.7	1.9	0.0
7 or more residents	<b>43</b>	41.9	44.2	11.6	0.0	2.3	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>84</b>	15.5	8.3	2.4	0.0	1.2	72.6
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	<b>72</b>	36.1%	44.4%	11.1%	4.2%	4.2%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>97</b>	40.2	43.3	10.3	3.1	3.1	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>367</b>	35.7	50.7	8.4	1.9	3.3	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>270</b>	35.9	49.3	8.5	3.3	1.9	1.1
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>334</b>	35.6	52.4	6.9	2.1	3.0	0.0
\$80,000 or more	<b>883</b>	34.3	52.3	8.8	1.6	2.8	0.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>119</b>	37.8	41.2	7.6	4.2	9.2	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>343</b>	27.7	38.5	8.5	2.0	4.7	18.6

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.



**Direct Contact with Anchorage Police, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "Have you, yourself, come into contact with an Anchorage police officer for any reason in the past 12 months?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	<b>46.4%</b>	<b>53.2%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>
<b>Community Council Area</b>					
Abbott Loop	77	50.6%	46.8%	2.6%	0.0%
Airport Heights	100	43.0	57.0	0.0	0.0
Basher	5	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	41.8	58.2	0.0	0.0
Bear Valley	9	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	43.8	56.3	0.0	0.0
Campbell Park	78	43.6	55.1	1.3	0.0
Chugiak	104	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
Downtown	11	63.6	36.4	0.0	0.0
Eagle River	93	53.8	46.2	0.0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	110	58.2	41.8	0.0	0.0
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	52.9	47.1	0.0	0.0
Girdwood	66	27.3	72.7	0.0	0.0
Glen Alps	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	36.7	63.3	0.0	0.0
Hillside East	56	30.4	69.6	0.0	0.0
Huffman/O'Malley	121	46.3	53.7	0.0	0.0
Mid-Hillside	119	48.7	50.4	0.0	0.9
Mountain View	9	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	46.4	53.6	0.0	0.0
Northeast	72	43.1	54.2	1.4	1.3
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	45.3	53.8	0.9	0.0
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	31.1	67.3	0.8	0.8
Rogers Park	106	45.3	54.7	0.0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	67	52.2	47.8	0.0	0.0
Sand Lake	105	55.2	44.8	0.0	0.0
Scenic Foothills	88	46.6	52.3	1.1	0.0
South Addition	101	49.5	50.5	0.0	0.0
South Fork	7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Spenard	71	54.9	45.1	0.0	0.0
Taku/Campbell	100	51.0	49.0	0.0	0.0
Tudor Area	49	55.1	44.9	0.0	0.0
Turnagain	80	36.3	63.7	0.0	0.0
University Area	81	40.7	58.0	1.3	0.0
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	45.0	53.8	0.0	1.2

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Direct Contact with Anchorage Police, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "Have you, yourself, come into contact with an Anchorage police officer for any reason in the past 12 months?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	46.4%	53.2%	0.3%	0.1%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>					
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	49.0%	50.0%	0.0%	1.0%
Asian (only)	42	38.1	59.5	2.4	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	51.9	48.1	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	66.7	25.0	0.0	8.3
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	46.8	52.9	0.2	0.1
All Other	199	55.8	43.2	1.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	108	17.6	81.5	0.9	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>					
Yes	76	53.9%	46.1%	0.0%	0.0%
No	2,393	46.2	53.3	0.3	0.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	46.2	53.8	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>					
Female	1,378	43.2%	56.3%	0.4%	0.1%
Male	1,105	50.3	49.2	0.2	0.3
Missing/Refuse	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>					
18 – 24 yrs	101	68.3%	30.7%	0.0%	1.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	55.6	43.2	0.4	0.8
35 – 44 yrs	407	53.8	46.2	0.0	0.0
45 – 54 yrs	738	50.1	49.3	0.4	0.2
55 – 64 yrs	540	41.9	57.6	0.5	0.0
65 yrs & up	436	28.4	71.3	0.3	0.0
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>					
No degree	25	44.0%	56.0%	0.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	385	45.5	54.0	0.0	0.5
1+ yrs college, no degree	638	49.4	50.3	0.3	0.0
Associate's degree	206	50.5	49.0	0.0	0.5
Bachelor's degree	606	44.4	55.0	0.5	0.1
Graduate/professional degree	545	50.5	49.0	0.5	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	2.7	97.3	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>					
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	52.7%	46.7%	0.4%	0.2%
Full-time homemaker	138	47.8	50.7	1.5	0.0
Part-time	163	47.9	52.1	0.0	0.0
Unemployed	97	51.5	48.5	0.0	0.0
Disabled for work	41	65.9	34.1	0.0	0.0
In school only	32	53.1	46.9	0.0	0.0
Retired	446	30.0	70.0	0.0	0.0
Other	109	45.9	54.1	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	2.6	94.8	1.3	1.3
<b>Residency</b>					
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	46.6%	53.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	45.9	53.3	0.6	0.2
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Direct Contact with Anchorage Police, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Have you, yourself, come into contact with an Anchorage police officer for any reason in the past 12 months?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	46.4%	53.2%	0.3%	0.1%
<b>Household Size</b>					
1 – 3 residents	1,727	44.2%	55.5%	0.2%	0.1%
4 – 6 residents	631	56.9	42.0	0.8	0.3
7 or more residents	43	53.5	46.5	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	84	8.3	91.7	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>					
Less than \$15,000	72	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	97	50.5	49.5	0.0	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	367	51.0	49.0	0.0	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	43.3	56.3	0.0	0.4
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	49.1	50.6	0.0	0.3
\$80,000 or more	883	48.4	51.0	0.5	0.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	39.5	59.7	0.8	0.0
Missing/Refuse	343	36.4	62.7	0.6	0.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Evaluation of Police Officer Conduct, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

(Respondents who had come into contact with APD officer in past 12 months only.)

Question: "Thinking about your most recent experience with an APD officer, would you, yourself, characterize the officer's behavior as 'competent?' By competent, I mean the officer handled things in a manner you thought was appropriate for the situation."

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>1,152</b>	<b>85.8%</b>	<b>13.3%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>
<b>Community Council Area</b>					
Abbott Loop	39	89.7%	7.7%	2.6%	0.0%
Airport Heights	43	86.0	14.0	0.0	0.0
Basher	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	38	89.5	10.5	0.0	0.0
Bear Valley	6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	14	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Campbell Park	34	82.4	17.6	0.0	0.0
Chugiak	52	78.8	17.4	3.8	0.0
Downtown	7	85.7	14.3	0.0	0.0
Eagle River	50	86.0	12.0	2.0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	64	87.5	12.5	0.0	0.0
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	36	86.1	13.9	0.0	0.0
Girdwood	18	83.3	11.1	5.6	0.0
Glen Alps	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	11	81.8	0.0	0.0	18.2
Hillside East	17	94.1	5.9	0.0	0.0
Huffman/O'Malley	56	89.3	10.7	0.0	0.0
Mid-Hillside	58	87.9	12.1	0.0	0.0
Mountain View	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0
North Star	32	87.5	12.5	0.0	0.0
Northeast	31	83.9	16.1	0.0	0.0
Old Seward/Oceanview	48	81.3	18.7	0.0	0.0
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	38	78.9	21.1	0.0	0.0
Rogers Park	48	87.5	12.5	0.0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	35	74.3	22.9	2.8	0.0
Sand Lake	58	91.4	8.6	0.0	0.0
Scenic Foothills	41	87.8	12.2	0.0	0.0
South Addition	50	80.0	16.0	2.0	2.0
South Fork	7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Spenard	39	82.1	15.4	2.5	0.0
Taku/Campbell	51	84.3	15.7	0.0	0.0
Tudor Area	27	85.2	14.8	0.0	0.0
Turnagain	29	89.7	10.3	0.0	0.0
University Area	33	90.9	9.1	0.0	0.0
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	36	83.3	16.7	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Evaluation of Police Officer Conduct, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

(Respondents who had come into contact with APD officer in past 12 months only.)

Question: "Thinking about your most recent experience with an APD officer, would you, yourself, characterize the officer's behavior as 'competent?' By competent, I mean the officer handled things in a manner you thought was appropriate for the situation."

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>1,152</b>	85.8%	13.3%	0.7%	0.2%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>					
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	47	83.0%	17.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	16	93.8	6.2	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	28	85.7	14.3	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	8	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	923	86.5	12.5	0.9	0.1
All Other	111	82.0	17.1	0.0	0.9
Missing/Refuse	19	78.9	21.1	0.0	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>					
Yes	41	73.2%	26.8%	0.0%	0.0%
No	1,105	86.3	12.7	0.7	0.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	6	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>					
Female	595	85.5%	13.3%	1.0%	0.2%
Male	556	86.0	13.3	0.4	0.3
Missing/Refuse	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>					
18 – 24 yrs	69	82.6%	15.9%	1.5%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	144	79.2	20.1	0.0	0.7
35 – 44 yrs	219	86.3	13.7	0.0	0.0
45 – 54 yrs	370	87.8	11.6	0.5	0.0
55 – 64 yrs	226	84.5	13.3	1.8	0.4
65 yrs & up	124	90.3	8.1	0.8	0.8
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>					
No degree	11	72.7%	18.2%	0.0%	9.1%
High school/GED	175	84.0	14.9	1.1	0.0
1 + yrs college, no degree	315	86.3	13.7	0.0	0.0
Associate's degree	104	76.0	24.0	0.0	0.0
Bachelor's degree	269	86.6	12.3	0.7	0.4
Graduate/professional degree	275	89.8	8.4	1.5	0.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>					
Full-time (35 + hrs/wk), outside home	728	86.8%	12.2%	0.8%	0.2%
Full-time homemaker	66	83.3	16.7	0.0	0.0
Part-time	78	84.6	14.1	1.3	0.0
Unemployed	50	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
Disabled for work	27	74.1	25.9	0.0	0.0
In school only	17	64.7	35.3	0.0	0.0
Retired	134	91.0	6.7	0.7	1.5
Other	50	82.0	18.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>					
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	829	87.2%	11.8%	0.6%	0.4%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	323	82.0	17.0	0.0	1.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Evaluation of Police Officer Conduct, by Select Household Characteristics**

(Respondents who had come into contact with an APD officer in past 12 months only.)

Question: "Thinking about your most recent experience with an APD officer, would you, yourself, characterize the officer's behavior as 'competent?' By competent, I mean the officer handled things in a manner you thought appropriate for the situation?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>1,152</b>	85.8%	13.3%	0.7%	0.2%
<b>Household Size</b>					
1 – 3 residents	763	87.0%	11.5%	1.0%	0.5%
4 – 6 residents	359	83.0	17.0	0.0	0.0
7 or more residents	23	87.0	13.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	7	85.7	14.3	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>					
Less than \$15,000	36	72.2%	27.8%	0.0%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	49	73.5	26.5	0.0	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	187	85.6	12.8	1.1	0.5
\$50,000 – \$59,999	117	87.2	12.8	0.0	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	164	89.0	9.8	0.6	0.6
\$80,000 or more	427	86.9	11.9	0.9	0.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	47	80.9	19.1	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	125	87.2	12.0	0.8	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**In-direct Contact with Anchorage Police, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "Has anyone you know well, such as a family member or close friend, come into contact with an Anchorage police officer for any reason in the past 12 months?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	<b>41.4%</b>	<b>56.7%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>
<b>Community Council Area</b>					
Abbott Loop	77	45.5%	51.9%	2.6%	0.0%
Airport Heights	100	47.0	52.0	1.0	0.0
Basher	5	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	41.8	57.1	1.1	0.0
Bear Valley	9	44.4	44.4	11.2	0.0
Birchwood	32	43.8	56.2	0.0	0.0
Campbell Park	78	35.9	64.1	0.0	0.0
Chugiak	104	45.2	52.9	1.9	0.0
Downtown	11	63.6	36.4	0.0	0.0
Eagle River	93	41.9	52.7	5.4	0.0
Eagle River Valley	110	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	48.5	48.5	2.9	0.0
Girdwood	66	28.8	71.2	0.0	0.0
Glen Alps	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	33.3	60.0	6.7	0.0
Hillside East	56	33.9	66.1	0.0	0.0
Huffman/O'Malley	121	32.2	65.3	2.5	0.0
Mid-Hillside	119	43.7	52.9	2.5	0.9
Mountain View	9	22.2	77.8	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	44.9	53.6	1.5	0.0
Northeast	72	43.0	54.2	1.4	1.4
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	34.0	66.0	0.0	0.0
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	31.1	68.0	0.9	0.0
Rogers Park	106	39.6	59.5	0.9	0.0
Russian Jack Park	67	47.8	47.8	4.4	0.0
Sand Lake	105	49.5	50.5	0.0	0.0
Scenic Foothills	88	39.8	59.1	1.1	0.0
South Addition	101	40.6	57.4	2.0	0.0
South Fork	7	71.4	28.6	0.0	0.0
Spenard	71	50.7	46.5	2.8	0.0
Taku/Campbell	100	43.0	51.0	6.0	0.0
Tudor Area	49	46.9	53.1	0.0	0.0
Turnagain	80	42.5	56.3	1.3	0.0
University Area	81	39.5	58.0	1.2	1.2
No Community Council <sup>c</sup>	80	45.0	51.3	3.7	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**In-direct Contact with Anchorage Police, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "Has anyone you know well, such as a family member or close friend, come into contact with an Anchorage police officer for any reason in the past 12 months?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	41.4%	56.7%	1.8%	0.1%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>					
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	50.0%	47.9%	2.1%	0.0%
Asian (only)	42	31.0	64.3	4.7	0.0
Black/African American (only)	54	51.9	48.1	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	12	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	41.9	56.2	1.7	0.2
All Other	199	45.2	51.3	3.5	0.0
Missing/Refuse	108	13.9	85.2	0.9	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>					
Yes	76	39.5%	57.9%	2.6%	0.0%
No	2,393	41.5	56.7	1.7	0.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	46.2	46.2	7.6	0.0
<b>Gender</b>					
Female	1,378	40.6%	58.0%	1.3%	0.1%
Male	1,105	42.4	55.0	2.4	0.2
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>					
18 – 24 yrs	101	60.4%	36.6%	3.0%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	259	51.0	47.1	1.9	0.0
35 – 44 yrs	407	44.2	53.6	2.0	0.2
45 – 54 yrs	738	45.1	53.3	1.4	0.2
55 – 64 yrs	540	38.5	60.2	1.3	0.0
65 yrs & up	436	26.1	71.1	2.8	0.0
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>					
No degree	25	40.0%	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	385	43.9	52.7	2.9	0.5
1 + yrs college, no degree	638	46.4	52.5	0.9	0.2
Associate's degree	206	51.9	46.6	1.5	0.0
Bachelor's degree	606	37.5	60.1	2.4	0.0
Graduate/professional degree	545	39.6	58.5	1.9	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	75	2.7	97.3	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>					
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,382	44.3%	53.8%	1.7%	0.2%
Full-time homemaker	138	44.2	52.2	3.6	0.0
Part-time	163	44.2	52.8	3.0	0.0
Unemployed	97	50.5	46.4	3.1	0.0
Disabled for work	41	56.1	43.9	0.0	0.0
In school only	32	65.6	34.4	0.0	0.0
Retired	446	30.9	67.5	1.6	0.0
Other	109	45.9	53.2	0.9	0.0
Missing/Refuse	77	2.6	96.1	0.0	1.3
<b>Residency</b>					
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	41.6%	56.3%	2.0%	0.1%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	40.9	57.7	1.4	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.



**In-direct Contact with Anchorage Police, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Has anyone you know well, such as a family member or close friend, come into contact with an Anchorage police officer for any reason in the past 12 months?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	41.4%	56.7%	1.8%	0.1%
<b>Household Size</b>					
1 – 3 residents	1,727	38.5%	59.5%	1.9%	0.1%
4 – 6 residents	631	52.3	46.0	1.7	0.0
7 or more residents	43	62.8	32.6	2.3	2.3
Missing/Refuse	84	7.1	92.9	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>					
Less than \$15,000	72	41.7%	55.6%	2.7%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	97	52.6	44.3	3.1	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	367	46.9	51.2	1.9	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	44.4	53.7	1.9	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	47.0	50.6	2.1	0.3
\$80,000 or more	883	41.1	57.3	1.6	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	34.5	64.7	0.8	0.0
Missing/Refuse	343	27.4	70.3	1.7	0.6

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.



## **Section 3**

### **Respondent Demographics**

---



## Respondent Demographics—Introduction

The third section of the *Anchorage Community Survey, 2005* captured detailed demographic information about those who participated in the survey. Respondents were asked to provide information in each of the following areas:

- Race/ethnicity;
- Age;
- Hispanic origin/background;
- Gender;
- Educational attainment;
- Current work status; and
- Residential tenure.

This demographic information was collected for three primary reasons: sample assessment; descriptive analyses; and explanatory analyses.

The specific measures included in this section are also used by the U.S. Census Bureau. Using the same demographic measures allows for direct comparison between the ACS and information collected by the Census Bureau which, in turn, allows for an assessment of sample. Census data provide the most detailed and accurate snapshot of the Anchorage population; therefore, to the degree that the ACS sample resembles census data, the better it is.

Collection of detailed demographic data also provides a thorough description of the ACS sample. By gathering such information, readers are able to discern who exactly participated in the study<sup>1</sup>.

Finally, gathering demographic information from respondents provides valuable information that can be used to contextualize and explain patterns of response to ACS survey items.

---

<sup>1</sup> Readers interested in a detailed description and assessment of the ACS sample are referred to Tables B1 and B2 in the Appendix.



Anchorage Community Survey, 2005: Table 3.00.01.01a

**Respondent Self-reported Demographics, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "What racial or ethnic background would you say best describes you?"

"Alaska Native or American Indian; Asian; Black or African American; Native Hawaiian, Samoan or Other Pacific Islander; or, White or Caucasian?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>							
		AN	AS	BL	PI	WT	OT	DK/NA	MIS
<b>U.S. CENSUS, 2000<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>184,412</b>	6.8%	5.5%	5.5%	0.7%	75.7%	5.8%	---	---
<b>TOTAL A.C.S. SAMPLE</b>	<b>2,485</b>	3.9%	1.7%	2.2%	0.5%	79.4%	8.0%	0.0%	4.3%
<b>Community Council Area</b>									
Abbott Loop	77	3.9%	2.6%	3.9%	1.3%	77.9%	6.5%	0.0%	3.9%
Airport Heights	100	9.0	3.0	2.0	1.0	76.0	3.0	0.0	6.0
Basher	5	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	3.3	3.3	3.3	0.0	79.1	9.9	0.0	1.1
Bear Valley	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	77.8	22.2	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	6.3	3.1	0.0	0.0	78.1	3.1	0.0	9.4
Campbell Park	78	6.4	1.3	0.0	2.6	79.5	5.1	0.0	5.1
Chugiak	104	4.8	0.0	1.0	0.0	85.6	3.8	0.0	4.8
Downtown	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	90.9	0.0	0.0	9.1
Eagle River	93	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.6	9.7	0.0	6.5
Eagle River Valley	110	1.8	0.9	0.0	0.0	89.1	5.5	0.0	2.7
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	4.4	2.9	7.4	1.5	60.3	14.7	0.0	8.8
Girdwood	66	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	89.4	6.1	0.0	3.0
Glen Alps	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	3.3	3.3	3.3	0.0	73.3	6.7	0.0	10.1
Hillside East	56	3.6	1.8	0.0	0.0	80.4	12.5	0.0	1.7
Huffman/O'Malley	121	1.7	1.7	0.0	0.8	86.0	7.4	0.0	2.5
Mid-Hillside	119	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.8	86.6	7.6	0.0	3.3
Mountain View	9	11.1	0.0	11.1	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	11.1
North Star	69	2.9	2.9	5.8	0.0	72.5	11.6	0.0	4.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents; "AN" = Alaska Native or American Indian (only); "AS" = Asian (only); "BL" = Black or African American (only); "PI" = Native Hawaiian, Samoan or Other Pacific Islander (only); "WT" = White or Caucasian (only); "OT" = All Other; "DK/NA" = Don't Know/Not Applicable; "MIS" = MISSING/REFUSE.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. Limited to persons age 18 and over only. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, *American Factfinder*, Table P5. Last accessed March 7, 2005).

-- CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE --

Anchorage Community Survey, 2005: Table 3.00.01.01b

**Respondent Self-reported Demographics, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "What racial or ethnic background would you say best describes you?"

"Alaska Native or American Indian; Asian; Black or African American; Native Hawaiian, Samoan or Other Pacific Islander; or, White or Caucasian?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>							
		AN	AS	BL	PI	WT	OT	DK/NA	MIS
<b>U.S. CENSUS, 2000<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>184,412</b>	6.8%	5.5%	5.5%	0.7%	75.7%	5.8%	- - -	- - -
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	3.9%	1.7%	2.2%	0.5%	79.4%	8.0%	0.0%	4.3%
<b>Community Council Area</b>									
Northeast	72	6.9%	0.0%	9.7%	0.0%	68.1%	11.1%	0.0%	4.2%
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	5.7	0.9	2.8	0.9	77.4	7.5	0.0	4.7
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	3.3	0.8	0.0	0.0	86.1	6.6	0.0	3.3
Rogers Park	106	2.8	2.8	1.9	0.0	79.2	6.6	0.0	6.7
Russian Jack Park	67	9.0	4.5	7.5	0.0	64.2	10.4	0.0	4.5
Sand Lake	105	2.9	1.9	1.0	0.0	82.9	8.6	0.0	2.9
Scenic Foothills	88	0.0	2.3	1.1	0.0	83.0	11.4	0.0	2.3
South Addition	101	2.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	88.1	5.0	0.0	2.9
South Fork	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	0.0	0.0
Spenard	71	5.6	4.2	2.8	1.4	67.6	15.5	0.0	2.9
Taku/Campbell	100	4.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	78.0	9.0	0.0	6.0
Tudor Area	49	6.1	8.2	4.1	0.0	73.5	6.1	0.0	2.0
Turnagain	80	3.8	0.0	3.8	1.3	85.0	3.8	0.0	2.5
University Area	81	3.7	1.2	3.7	0.0	72.8	11.1	0.0	7.4
No Community Council <sup>d</sup>	80	5.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	72.5	10.0	0.0	7.5

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents; "AN" = Alaska Native or American Indian; "AS" = Asian; "BL" = Black or African American; "PI" = Native Hawaiian, Samoan or Other Pacific Islander; "WT" = White or Caucasian; "OT" = All Other; "DK/NA" = Don't Know/Not Applicable; "MIS" = MISSING/REFUSE.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. Limited to persons age 18 and over only. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, *American Factfinder*, Table P5. Last accessed March 7, 2005).

d. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.



Anchorage Community Survey, 2005: Table 3.00.03.01

**Respondent Self-reported Demographics, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "What racial or ethnic background would you say best describes you?"

"Alaska Native or American Indian; Asian; Black or African American; Native Hawaiian, Samoan or Other Pacific Islander; or, White or Caucasian?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>							
		AN	AS	BL	PI	WT	OT	DK/NA	MIS
<b>U.S. CENSUS, 2000<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>184,412</b>	6.8%	5.5%	5.5%	0.7%	75.7%	5.8%	---	---
<b>TOTAL A.C.S. SAMPLE</b>	<b>2,485</b>	3.9%	1.7%	2.2%	0.5%	79.4%	8.0%	0.0%	4.3%
<b>Household Size</b>									
1 – 3 residents	1,727	3.4%	1.3%	2.0%	0.3%	83.6%	8.0%	0.0%	1.4%
4 – 6 residents	631	4.6	3.2	3.2	0.8	78.0	8.9	0.0	1.3
7 or more residents	43	16.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	76.7	7.0	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	84	1.2	0.0	0.0	1.2	7.1	2.4	0.0	88.1
<b>Income (household)</b>									
Less than \$15,000	72	11.1%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	70.8%	15.3%	0.0%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	97	11.3	2.1	3.1	1.0	64.9	16.5	0.0	1.1
\$25,000 – \$49,999	367	7.6	2.5	4.1	0.5	77.1	7.9	0.0	0.3
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	3.3	2.6	3.3	0.7	81.5	6.7	0.0	1.9
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	3.6	2.1	2.4	0.6	83.5	6.9	0.0	0.9
\$80,000 or more	883	1.6	1.0	0.9	0.2	88.1	7.2	0.0	1.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	4.2	2.5	1.7	0.8	77.3	8.4	0.0	5.1
MISSING/REFUSE	343	2.6	1.5	2.0	0.6	60.6	8.2	0.0	24.5

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents; "AN" = Alaska Native or American Indian (only); "AS" = Asian (only); "BL" = Black or African American (only); "PI" = Native Hawaiian, Samoan or Other Pacific Islander (only); "WT" = White or Caucasian (only); "OT" = All Other; "DK/NA" = Don't Know/Not Applicable; "MIS" = MISSING/REFUSE.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. Limited to persons age 18 and over only. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, *American Factfinder*, Table P5. Last accessed March 7, 2005).

Anchorage Community Survey, 2005: Table 3.00.01.02a

**Respondent Self-reported Demographics, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**Question: "How old were you, in years, on your last birthday?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	AGE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>							
		18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65+	DK/NA	MIS
U.S. CENSUS, 2000 <sup>c</sup>	184,412	13.5%	21.8%	26.1%	21.0%	9.8%	7.8%	---	---
TOTAL A.C.S. SAMPLE	2,485	4.1%	10.4%	16.4%	29.7%	21.7%	17.5%	0.0%	0.2%
Community Council Area									
Abbott Loop	77	1.3%	18.2%	24.7%	33.8%	13.0%	9.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Airport Heights	100	4.0	9.0	19.0	25.0	19.0	24.0	0.0	0.0
Basher	5	20.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	2.2	15.4	20.9	26.4	25.3	9.8	0.0	0.0
Bear Valley	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	44.4	22.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	3.1	6.3	15.6	18.8	28.1	28.1	0.0	0.0
Campbell Park	78	6.4	12.8	16.7	28.2	19.2	15.4	0.0	1.3
Chugiak	104	4.8	6.7	20.2	37.5	25.0	5.8	0.0	0.0
Downtown	11	18.2	27.3	18.2	18.2	9.1	9.0	0.0	0.0
Eagle River	93	5.4	9.7	16.1	29.0	28.0	11.8	0.0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	110	1.8	7.3	16.4	43.6	21.8	9.1	0.0	0.0
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	7.4	11.8	19.1	25.0	19.1	17.6	0.0	0.0
Girdwood	66	1.5	21.2	33.3	25.8	9.1	9.1	0.0	0.0
Glen Alps	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	0.0	13.3	23.3	16.7	23.3	23.4	0.0	0.0
Hillside East	56	0.0	5.4	12.5	28.6	32.1	21.4	0.0	0.0
Huffman/O'Malley	121	4.1	3.3	15.7	33.1	26.4	17.4	0.0	0.0
Mid-Hillside	119	5.0	5.0	8.4	34.5	31.1	15.1	0.0	0.9
Mountain View	9	11.1	22.2	11.1	11.1	22.2	22.3	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	4.3	13.0	10.1	29.0	21.7	21.9	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents; "AN" = Alaska Native or American Indian (only); "AS" = Asian (only); "BL" = Black or African American (only); "PI" = Native Hawaiian, Samoan or Other Pacific Islander (only); "WT" = White or Caucasian (only); "OT" = All Other; "DK/NA" = Don't Know/Not Applicable; "MIS" = MISSING/REFUSE.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. Limited to persons age 18 and over only. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, *American Factfinder*, Table P12. Last accessed March 7, 2005).

-- CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE --

Anchorage Community Survey, 2005: Table 3.00.01.02b

**Respondent Self-reported Demographics, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "How old were you, in years, on your last birthday?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	AGE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>							
		18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 +	DK/NA	MIS
<b>U.S. CENSUS, 2000<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>184,412</b>	13.5%	21.8%	26.1%	21.0%	9.8%	7.8%	---	---
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	4.1%	10.4%	16.4%	29.7%	21.7%	17.5%	0.0%	0.2%
<b>Community Council Area</b>									
Northeast	72	2.8%	15.3%	19.4%	16.7%	19.4%	25.0%	0.0%	1.4%
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	3.8	7.5	17.9	34.9	24.5	10.4	0.0	1.0
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	4.1	3.3	13.9	41.0	24.6	13.1	0.0	0.0
Rogers Park	106	3.8	9.4	9.4	18.9	18.9	39.6	0.0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	67	6.0	16.4	20.9	20.9	14.9	20.9	0.0	0.0
Sand Lake	105	3.8	10.5	16.2	35.2	23.8	10.5	0.0	0.0
Scenic Foothills	88	6.8	14.8	14.8	33.0	17.0	13.6	0.0	0.0
South Addition	101	1.0	7.9	10.9	26.7	18.8	34.7	0.0	0.0
South Fork	7	14.3	0.0	14.3	57.1	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Spenard	71	7.0	14.1	16.9	18.3	21.1	22.6	0.0	0.0
Taku/Campbell	100	5.0	10.0	18.0	30.0	22.0	15.0	0.0	0.0
Tudor Area	49	0.0	12.2	14.3	18.4	26.5	28.6	0.0	0.0
Turnagain	80	2.5	11.3	10.0	32.5	17.5	26.2	0.0	0.0
University Area	81	2.5	11.1	14.8	19.8	25.9	25.9	0.0	0.0
No Community Council <sup>d</sup>	80	8.8	16.3	17.5	38.8	10.0	8.6	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents; "DK/NA" = Don't Know/Not Applicable; "MIS" = MISSING/REFUSE.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. Limited to persons age 18 and over only. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, *American Factfinder*, Table P12. Last accessed March 7, 2005).

d. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

Anchorage Community Survey, 2005: Table 3.00.03.02

**Respondent Self-reported Demographics, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "How old were you, in years, on your last birthday?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>							
		18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65+	DK/NA	MIS
<b>U.S. CENSUS, 2000<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>184,412</b>	13.5%	21.8%	26.1%	21.0%	9.8%	7.8%	---	---
<b>TOTAL A.C.S. SAMPLE</b>	<b>2,485</b>	4.1%	10.4%	16.4%	29.7%	21.7%	17.5%	0.0%	0.2%
<b>Household Size</b>									
1 – 3 residents	1,727	3.4%	8.6%	11.2%	27.7%	26.2%	22.9%	0.0%	0.0%
4 – 6 residents	631	6.0	15.2	29.6	35.8	10.5	2.9	0.0	0.0
7 or more residents	43	4.7	11.6	32.6	32.6	18.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	84	2.4	10.7	14.3	23.8	16.7	27.4	0.0	4.7
<b>Income (household)</b>									
Less than \$15,000	72	9.7%	12.5%	11.1%	16.7%	18.1%	31.9%	0.0%	0.0%
\$15,000 - \$24,999	97	10.3	21.6	13.4	14.4	16.5	23.8	0.0	0.0
\$25,000 - \$49,999	367	7.6	18.0	16.1	19.6	15.3	23.4	0.0	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	3.7	12.6	16.7	21.9	22.6	22.5	0.0	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	2.7	12.0	19.2	29.6	24.0	12.5	0.0	0.0
\$80,000 or more	883	1.8	6.3	16.5	39.6	26.2	9.4	0.0	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	12.6	8.4	14.3	23.5	14.3	26.9	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	343	1.7	6.7	16.0	30.3	19.2	24.8	1.1	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents; "AN" = Alaska Native or American Indian (only); "AS" = Asian (only); "BL" = Black or African American (only); "PI" = Native Hawaiian, Samoan or Other Pacific Islander (only); "WT" = White or Caucasian (only); "OT" = All Other; "DK/NA" = Don't Know/Not Applicable; "MIS" = MISSING/REFUSE.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. Limited to persons age 18 and over only. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, *American Factfinder*, Table P12. Last accessed March 7, 2005).

**Respondent Self-reported Demographics, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "Are you of Hispanic or Latino/a background or origin?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	DK/NA	MIS
<b>U.S. CENSUS, 2000<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>184,412</b>	4.8%	95.2%	---	---
<b>TOTAL A.C.S. SAMPLE</b>	<b>2,485</b>	3.1%	96.3%	0.1%	0.5%
<b>Community Council Area</b>					
Abbott Loop	77	2.6%	97.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Airport Heights	100	1.0	99.0	0.0	0.0
Basher	5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	4.4	94.5	0.0	1.1
Bear Valley	9	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Campbell Park	78	1.3	98.7	0.0	0.0
Chugiak	104	2.9	96.2	0.0	0.9
Downtown	11	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Eagle River	93	2.2	97.8	0.0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	110	3.6	96.4	0.0	0.0
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	7.4	91.2	1.4	0.0
Girdwood	66	1.5	98.5	0.0	0.0
Glen Alps	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Hillside East	56	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Huffman/O'Malley	121	1.7	97.5	0.0	0.8
Mid-Hillside	119	1.7	97.5	0.0	0.8
Mountain View	9	11.1	88.9	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	4.3	94.2	1.5	0.0
Northeast	72	1.4	98.6	0.0	0.0
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	4.7	95.3	0.0	0.0
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	1.6	97.5	0.0	0.8
Rogers Park	106	2.8	96.2	0.0	1.0
Russian Jack Park	67	3.0	97.0	0.0	0.0
Sand Lake	105	4.8	94.3	0.0	0.9
Scenic Foothills	88	6.8	92.0	0.0	1.2
South Addition	101	4.0	96.0	0.0	0.0
South Fork	7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Spenard	71	2.8	94.4	1.4	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	5.0	95.0	0.0	0.0
Tudor Area	49	8.2	89.8	0.0	2.0
Turnagain	80	5.0	95.0	0.0	0.0
University Area	81	2.5	97.5	0.0	0.0
No Community Council <sup>d</sup>	80	3.8	96.2	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents; "DK/NA" = Don't Know/Not Applicable "MIS" = MISSING/REFUSE.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. Limited to persons age 18 and over only. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, *American Factfinder*, Table P6. Last accessed March 7, 2005).

d. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Respondent Self-reported Demographics, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Are you of Hispanic or Latino/a background or origin?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	DK/NA	MIS
<b>U.S. CENSUS, 2000<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>184,412</b>	4.8%	95.2%	---	---
<b>TOTAL A.C.S. SAMPLE</b>	<b>2,485</b>	3.1%	96.3%	0.1%	0.5%
<b>Household Size</b>					
1 – 3 residents	1,727	2.7%	96.8%	0.2%	0.3%
4 – 6 residents	631	4.4	94.8	0.0	0.8
7 or more residents	43	4.7	95.3	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	84	0.0	97.6	0.0	2.4
<b>Income (household)</b>					
Less than \$15,000	72	2.8%	97.2%	0.0%	0.0%
\$15,000 - \$24,999	97	10.3	88.7	1.0	0.0
\$25,000 - \$49,999	367	5.2	94.6	0.0	0.3
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	2.2	97.0	0.4	0.4
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	2.4	97.3	0.0	0.3
\$80,000 or more	883	2.4	96.9	0.0	0.7
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	3.4	95.0	0.8	0.8
MISSING/REFUSE	343	1.7	97.4	0.0	0.9

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents; "DK/NA" = Don't Know/Not Applicable "MIS" = MISSING/REFUSE.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. Limited to persons age 18 and over only. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, *American Factfinder*, Table P6. Last accessed March 7, 2005).

**Respondent Self-reported Demographics, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "What is your gender?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		FEMALE	MALE	DK/NA	MIS
<b>U.S. CENSUS, 2000<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>184,412</b>	49.6%	51.4%	---	---
<b>TOTAL A.C.S. SAMPLE</b>	<b>2,485</b>	55.5%	44.4%	0.0%	0.1%
<b>Community Council Area</b>					
Abbott Loop	77	57.1%	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Airport Heights	100	53.0	47.0	0.0	0.0
Basher	5	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	59.3	40.7	0.0	0.0
Bear Valley	9	55.6	44.4	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	62.5	37.5	0.0	0.0
Campbell Park	78	57.7	42.3	0.0	0.0
Chugiak	104	54.8	45.2	0.0	0.0
Downtown	11	45.5	54.5	0.0	0.0
Eagle River	93	51.6	48.4	0.0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	110	53.6	46.4	0.0	0.0
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	47.1	51.5	0.0	1.4
Girdwood	66	54.5	45.5	0.0	0.0
Glen Alps	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	56.7	43.3	0.0	0.0
Hillside East	56	57.1	42.9	0.0	0.0
Huffman/O'Malley	121	57.0	43.0	0.0	0.0
Mid-Hillside	119	48.7	51.3	0.0	0.0
Mountain View	9	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	52.2	47.8	0.0	0.0
Northeast	72	54.2	45.8	0.0	0.0
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	55.7	44.3	0.0	0.0
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	62.3	37.7	0.0	0.0
Rogers Park	106	60.4	39.6	0.0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	67	52.2	47.8	0.0	0.0
Sand Lake	105	58.1	41.9	0.0	0.0
Scenic Foothills	88	59.1	39.8	0.0	1.1
South Addition	101	52.5	47.5	0.0	0.0
South Fork	7	28.6	71.4	0.0	0.0
Spenard	71	60.6	39.4	0.0	0.0
Taku/Campbell	100	51.0	49.0	0.0	0.0
Tudor Area	49	49.0	51.0	0.0	0.0
Turnagain	80	61.3	38.7	0.0	0.0
University Area	81	54.3	45.7	0.0	0.0
No Community Council <sup>d</sup>	80	58.8	41.2	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents; "DK/NA" = Don't Know/Not Applicable "MIS" = MISSING/REFUSE.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. Limited to persons age 18 and over only. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, *American Factfinder*, Table P12. Last accessed March 7, 2005).

d. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Respondent Self-reported Demographics, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "What is your gender?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		FEMALE	MALE	DK/NA	MIS
<b>U.S. CENSUS, 2000<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>184,412</b>	49.6%	51.4%	---	---
<b>TOTAL A.C.S. SAMPLE</b>	<b>2,485</b>	55.4%	44.5%	0.0%	0.1%
<b>Household Size</b>					
1 – 3 residents	1,727	53.1%	46.8%	0.0%	0.1%
4 – 6 residents	631	55.6	44.4	0.0	0.0
7 or more residents	43	72.1	27.9	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	84	94.0	4.8	0.0	1.2
<b>Income (household)</b>					
Less than \$15,000	72	58.3%	41.7%	0.0%	0.0%
\$15,000 - \$24,999	97	53.6	46.4	0.0	0.0
\$25,000 - \$49,999	367	58.9	41.1	0.0	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	51.1	48.9	0.0	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	51.8	47.9	0.0	0.3
\$80,000 or more	883	50.4	49.6	0.0	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	61.3	38.7	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	343	69.7	30.0	0.0	0.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" – Number of respondents; "DK/NA" – Don't Know/Not Applicable "MIS" – MISSING/REFUSE.

b. Rows may not sum to 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. Limited to persons age 18 and over only. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, *American Factfinder*, Table P12. Last accessed March 7, 2005).



**Respondent Self-reported Demographics, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "What is the highest degree or level of schooling you have completed?"

"High school or GED; One or more years of college, but no degree; an associate's degree; a bachelor's degree; or, a graduate or professional degree?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>							
		NO	HS	SC	AA	BA	GD	DK/NA	MIS
<b>U.S. CENSUS, 2000<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>159,931</b>	9.7%	24.2%	29.3%	7.9%	18.7%	10.2%	- - -	- - -
<b>TOTAL A.C.S. SAMPLE</b>	<b>2,485</b>	1.0%	15.5%	25.7%	8.3%	24.4%	21.9%	0.2%	3.0%
<b>Community Council Area</b>									
Abbott Loop	77	0.0%	20.8%	31.2%	9.1%	19.5%	15.6%	0.0%	3.8%
Airport Heights	100	2.0	16.0	24.0	7.0	26.0	22.0	2.0	3.0
Basher	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	0.0	17.6	27.5	8.8	27.5	18.7	0.0	0.0
Bear Valley	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	0.0	33.3	22.2	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	3.1	21.9	31.3	3.1	28.1	6.3	0.0	6.2
Campbell Park	78	1.3	14.1	30.8	7.7	23.1	17.9	0.0	5.1
Chugiak	104	1.0	17.3	24.0	11.5	26.9	15.4	0.0	3.8
Downtown	11	0.0	9.1	27.3	18.2	18.2	18.2	0.0	9.1
Eagle River	93	0.0	16.1	24.7	12.9	23.7	16.1	0.0	6.5
Eagle River Valley	110	0.0	9.1	18.2	7.3	31.8	31.8	0.0	1.8
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	0.0	20.6	23.5	16.2	19.1	13.2	1.5	5.9
Girdwood	66	1.5	12.1	22.7	4.5	34.8	21.2	0.0	3.0
Glen Alps	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	3.3	16.7	6.7	13.3	36.7	13.3	0.0	10.0
Hillside East	56	0.0	10.7	19.6	10.7	35.7	21.4	0.0	1.9
Huffman/O'Malley	121	1.7	9.1	20.7	14.0	28.9	24.0	0.0	1.7
Mid-Hillside	119	1.7	10.9	19.3	5.9	27.7	31.1	0.8	2.5
Mountain View	9	0.0	33.3	33.3	11.1	11.1	11.2	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	2.9	13.0	34.8	8.7	18.8	17.4	0.0	4.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents; "NO" = No degree; "HS" = High school or GED; "SC" = One or more years of college, but no degree; "AA" = Associate's degree; "BA" = Bachelor's degree; "GD" = Graduate or professional degree; "DK/NA" = Don't Know/Not Applicable; "MIS" = MISSING/REFUSE.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. Limited to persons age 25 and over only. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, *American Factfinder*, Table P37. Last accessed March 7, 2005).

-- CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE --

Anchorage Community Survey, 2005: Table 3.00.01.05b

**Respondent Self-reported Demographics, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed?"

"High school or GED; One or more years of college, but no degree; an associate's degree; a bachelor's degree; or, a graduate or professional degree?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>							
		NO	HS	SC	AA	BA	GD	DK/NA	MIS
<b>U.S. CENSUS, 2000<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>159,931</b>	9.7%	24.2%	29.3%	7.9%	18.7%	10.2%	---	---
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>2,485</b>	1.0%	15.5%	25.7%	8.3%	24.4%	21.9%	0.2%	3.0%
<b>Community Council Area</b>									
Northeast	72	0.0%	19.4%	27.8%	11.1%	23.6%	13.9%	2.8%	1.4%
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	0.0	17.9	25.5	7.5	22.6	23.6	0.0	2.8
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	0.0	9.8	24.6	4.1	24.6	36.1	0.0	0.8
Rogers Park	106	2.8	13.2	20.8	11.3	22.6	24.5	0.0	4.7
Russian Jack Park	67	1.5	26.9	37.3	6.0	14.9	10.4	0.0	3.0
Sand Lake	105	1.0	13.3	34.3	11.4	22.9	15.2	0.0	1.9
Scenic Foothills	88	0.0	9.1	29.5	9.1	26.1	25.0	0.0	1.1
South Addition	101	0.0	8.9	19.8	5.9	23.8	39.6	0.0	2.0
South Fork	7	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	42.9	42.8	0.0	0.0
Spenard	71	2.8	26.8	35.2	2.8	15.5	14.1	1.4	1.4
Taku/Campbell	100	1.0	27.0	27.0	10.0	17.0	13.0	0.0	5.0
Tudor Area	49	2.0	16.3	24.5	4.1	32.7	20.4	0.0	0.0
Turnagain	80	0.0	16.3	27.5	1.3	21.3	32.5	0.0	1.3
University Area	81	2.5	14.8	24.7	8.6	25.9	19.8	0.0	3.7
No Community Council <sup>d</sup>	80	1.3	22.5	28.8	3.8	12.5	25.0	0.0	6.1

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents; "NO" = No degree; "HS" = High school or GED; "SC" = One or more years of college, but no degree; "AA" = Associate's degree; "BA" = Bachelor's degree; "GD" = Graduate or professional degree; "DK/NA" = Don't Know/Not Applicable; "MIS" = MISSING/REFUSE.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. Limited to persons age 25 and over only. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, *American Factfinder*, Table P37. Last accessed March 7, 2005).

d. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

Anchorage Community Survey, 2005: Table 3.00.03.05

**Respondent Self-reported Demographics, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "What is the highest degree or level of schooling you have completed?"

"High school or GED; One or more years of college, but no degree; an associate's degree; a bachelor's degree; or, a graduate or professional degree?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>							
		NO	HS	SC	AA	BA	GD	DK/NA	MIS
<b>U.S. CENSUS, 2000<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>159,931</b>	9.7%	24.2%	29.3%	7.9%	18.7%	10.2%	- - -	- - -
<b>TOTAL A.C.S. SAMPLE</b>	<b>2,485</b>	1.0%	15.5%	25.7%	8.3%	24.4%	21.9%	0.2%	3.0%
<b>Household Size</b>									
1 – 3 residents	1,727	0.9%	15.0%	26.2%	8.4%	25.1%	24.0%	0.2%	0.2%
4 – 6 residents	631	1.3	18.1	26.6	8.9	25.0	20.0	0.2	0.0
7 or more residents	43	2.3	23.3	34.9	4.7	27.9	6.9	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	84	0.0	2.4	2.4	3.6	3.6	2.4	0.0	85.6
<b>Income (household)</b>									
Less than \$15,000	72	1.4%	37.5%	31.9%	9.7%	9.7%	9.8%	0.0%	0.0%
\$15,000 - \$24,999	97	1.0	29.9	38.1	9.3	15.5	6.2	0.0	0.0
\$25,000 - \$49,999	367	1.9	25.9	32.2	9.5	18.3	12.3	0.0	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	1.1	17.8	30.7	9.3	24.1	17.0	0.0	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	0.6	12.9	24.3	11.1	30.5	20.7	0.0	0.0
\$80,000 or more	883	0.5	8.6	21.4	6.6	29.9	32.8	0.2	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	1.7	21.8	27.7	10.1	23.5	13.4	1.8	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	343	1.5	12.0	21.6	6.7	16.9	19.2	0.3	21.8

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'N' = Number of respondents; "NO" = No degree; "HS" = High school or GED; "SC" = One or more years of college, but no degree; "AA" = Associate's degree; "BA" = Bachelor's degree; "GD" = Graduate or professional degree; "DK/NA" = Don't Know/Not Applicable; "MIS" = MISSING/REFUSE.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. Limited to persons age 25 and over only. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, *American Factfinder*, Table P37. Last accessed March 7, 2005).

Anchorage Community Survey, 2005: Table 3.00.01.06a

## Respondent Self-reported Demographics, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)

Question: "What is your *current* work status?"

"Are you: currently on active military status; working full time, that is, 35 or more hours per week in one or more jobs, including self-employment; working part-time; have a job, but out due to illness, leave, furlough or strike; have seasonal work, but currently not working; unemployed or laid off and looking for work; unemployed, but not looking for work; full-time homemaker; in school only; retired; or, disabled for work?"

(Percent of valid responses)

(Percent of valid responses)

		U.S. CENSUS, 2000 EMPLOYMENT CATEGORIES <sup>a</sup>									
	N	LAB	MIL	CIV	EMP1	EMP2					
U.S. CENSUS, 2000 <sup>b</sup>	192,782	74.4%	5.9%	94.1%	69.6%	93.6%					
TOTAL A.C.S. SAMPLE	2,485	65.2%	1.2%	98.8%	62.5%	95.8%					
		A.C. S. RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>c,d,e</sup>									
	N	FT	HM	PT	UN	DB	SC	RT	OT	MIS	
TOTAL A.C.S. SAMPLE	2,485	55.6%	5.6%	6.6%	3.9%	1.6%	1.3%	17.9%	4.4%	3.1%	
Community Council Area											
Abbott Loop	77	58.4%	9.1%	7.8%	3.9%	1.3%	1.3%	5.2%	9.1%	3.9%	
Airport Heights	100	45.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	2.0	4.0	26.0	8.0	3.0	
Basher	5	40.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	
Bayshore/Klatt	91	61.5	8.8	5.5	2.2	2.2	0.0	16.5	3.3	0.0	
Bear Valley	9	66.7	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	11.1	0.0	
Birchwood	32	37.5	6.3	9.4	6.3	6.3	0.0	28.1	0.0	6.1	
Campbell Park	78	59.0	2.6	6.4	2.6	0.0	2.6	17.9	3.8	5.1	
Chugiak	104	65.4	6.7	4.8	4.8	0.0	1.0	9.6	4.8	2.9	
Downtown	11	81.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	9.1	
Eagle River	93	52.7	7.5	11.8	6.5	1.1	0.0	9.7	4.3	6.5	
Eagle River Valley	110	67.3	8.2	6.4	0.0	1.8	0.9	13.6	0.0	1.8	
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Fairview	68	54.4	1.5	2.9	7.4	4.4	2.9	19.1	1.5	5.9	
Girdwood	66	62.1	4.5	12.1	10.6	0.0	1.5	6.1	0.0	3.0	
Glen Alps	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	
Government Hill	30	33.3	6.7	10.0	0.0	3.3	3.3	33.3	0.0	10.1	
Hillside East	56	48.2	5.4	7.1	3.6	1.8	1.8	25.0	5.4	1.7	
Huffman/O'Malley	121	61.2	5.8	2.5	4.1	0.0	1.7	18.2	5.0	1.7	
Mid-Hillside	119	58.8	5.0	8.4	1.7	0.8	2.5	16.8	2.5	3.4	
Mountain View	9	44.4	0.0	11.1	11.1	11.1	0.0	22.3	0.0	0.0	
North Star	69	52.2	4.3	5.8	4.3	4.3	2.9	15.9	7.2	2.9	

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents; "LAB" = In labor force; "MIL" = Currently on active military status; "CIV" = Member of civilian labor force; "EMP1" = Percent of all eligible workers age 16 & over, employed; "EMP2" = Percent of all workers currently in labor force, employed.

b. Limited to persons age 16 and over only. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, *American Factfinder*, Table P43. Last accessed March 7, 2005).

c. "N" = Number of respondents; "FT" = Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home; "HM" = Full-time homemaker; "PT" = Part-time; "UN" = Unemployed; "DB" = Disabled for work; "SC" = In school only; "RT" = Retired; "OT" = All other; "MIS" = MISSING/REFUSE.

d. Work status recodes: [Active military status; Working full-time, 35 hrs+/wk] = "Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home"; [Full-time homemaker] = "Full-time homemaker"; [Part-time] = "Part-time"; [Have a job, but out due to illness; Have seasonal work, but currently not working; Unemployed and looking for work; Unemployed and not looking for work] = "Unemployed"; [Disabled for work] = "Disabled for work"; [In school only] = "In school only"; [Retired] = "Retired."

e. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

-- CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE --

Anchorage Community Survey, 2005: Table 3.00.01.06b

**Respondent Self-reported Demographics, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "What is your current work status?"

"Are you: currently on active military status; working full time, that is, 35 or more hours per week in one or more jobs, including self-employment; working part-time; have a job, but out due to illness, leave, furlough or strike; have seasonal work, but currently not working; unemployed or laid off and looking for work; unemployed, but not looking for work; full-time homemaker; in school only; retired; or, disabled for work?"

(Percent of valid responses)

(Percent of valid responses)

		U.S. CENSUS, 2000 EMPLOYMENT CATEGORIES <sup>a</sup>					A.C. S. RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>c,d,e</sup>				
	N	LAB	MIL	CIV	EMP1	EMP2					
U.S. CENSUS, 2000 <sup>b</sup>	192,782	74.4%	5.9%	94.1%	69.6%	93.6%					
TOTAL A.C.S. SAMPLE	2,485	65.2%	1.2%	98.8%	62.5%	95.8%					
	N	FT	HM	PT	UN	DB	SC	RT	OT	MIS	
TOTAL A.C.S. SAMPLE	2,485	55.6%	5.6%	6.6%	3.9%	1.6%	1.3%	17.9%	4.4%	3.1%	
<b>Community Council Area</b>											
Northeast	72	52.8%	2.8%	5.6%	1.4%	1.4%	4.2%	20.8%	8.3%	2.7%	
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	55.7	7.5	6.6	1.9	0.9	0.0	15.1	8.5	3.8	
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Rabbit Creek	122	57.4	6.6	9.8	3.3	0.0	0.0	15.6	6.6	0.8	
Rogers Park	106	44.3	3.8	4.7	1.9	1.9	1.9	33.0	3.8	4.7	
Russian Jack Park	67	56.7	4.5	4.5	6.0	3.0	0.0	16.4	6.0	3.0	
Sand Lake	105	57.1	9.5	9.5	4.8	0.0	0.0	12.4	3.8	2.9	
Scenic Foothills	88	70.5	3.4	5.7	3.4	1.1	0.0	9.1	6.8	0.0	
South Addition	101	46.5	5.9	7.9	4.0	1.0	0.0	27.7	5.0	2.0	
South Fork	7	71.4	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	
Spennard	71	47.9	7.0	8.5	7.0	5.6	1.4	21.1	0.0	1.4	
Taku/Campbell	100	55.0	5.0	5.0	8.0	4.0	0.0	13.0	5.0	5.0	
Tudor Area	49	44.9	6.1	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	34.7	6.1	2.0	
Turnagain	80	55.0	1.3	6.3	5.0	2.5	0.0	26.3	2.5	1.3	
University Area	81	49.4	4.9	4.9	1.2	3.7	2.5	27.2	2.5	3.7	
No Community Council <sup>f</sup>	80	62.5	3.8	6.3	3.8	0.0	1.3	15.0	1.3	6.3	

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

- "N" = Number of respondents; "LAB" = In labor force; "MIL" = Currently on active military status; "CIV" = Member of civilian labor force; "EMP1" = Percent of all eligible workers age 16 & over, employed; "EMP2" = Percent of all workers currently in labor force, employed.
- Limited to persons age 16 and over only. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, *American Factfinder*, Table P43. Last accessed March 7, 2005).
- "N" = Number of respondents; "FT" = Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home; "HM" = Full-time homemaker; "PT" = Part-time; "UN" = Unemployed; "DB" = Disabled for work; "SC" = In school only; "RT" = Retired; "OT" = All other; "MIS" = MISSING/REFUSE.
- Work status recodes: [Active military status; Working full-time, 35 hrs + / week] = "Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home"; [Full-time homemaker] = "Full-time homemaker"; [Part-time] = "Part-time"; [Have a job, but out due to illness; Have seasonal work, but currently not working; Unemployed and looking for work; Unemployed and not looking for work] = "Unemployed"; [Disabled for work] = "Disabled for work"; [In school only] = "In school only"; [Retired] = "Retired."
- Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.
- "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

Anchorage Community Survey, 2005: Table 3.00.03.06

**Respondent Self-reported Demographics, by Select Household Characteristics**Question: "What is your current work status?"

"Are you: currently on active military status; working full time, that is, 35 or more hours per week in one or more jobs, including self-employment; working part-time; have a job, but out due to illness, leave, furlough or strike; have seasonal work, but currently not working; unemployed or laid off and looking for work; unemployed, but not looking for work; full-time homemaker; in school only; retired; or, disabled for work?"

(Percent of valid responses)

		U.S. CENSUS, 2000 EMPLOYMENT CATEGORIES <sup>a</sup>					A.C. S. RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>c,d,e</sup>				
	N	LAB	MIL	CIV	EMP1	EMP2					
U.S. CENSUS, 2000 <sup>b</sup>	192,782	74.4%	5.9%	94.1%	69.6%	93.6%					
TOTAL A.C.S. SAMPLE	2,485	65.2%	1.2%	98.8%	62.5%	95.8%					
	N	FT	HM	PT	UN	DB	SC	RT	OT	MIS	
TOTAL A.C.S. SAMPLE	2,485	55.6%	5.6%	6.6%	3.9%	1.6%	1.3%	17.9%	4.4%	3.1%	
<b>Household Size</b>											
1 – 3 residents	1,727	55.5%	3.5%	5.3%	4.3%	1.7%	1.3%	23.9%	4.2%	0.3%	
4 – 6 residents	631	63.2	10.3	10.5	3.2	1.4	1.4	4.8	5.1	0.1	
7 or more residents	43	44.2	27.9	9.3	4.7	2.3	0.0	2.3	9.3	0.0	
MISSING/REFUSE	84	7.1	0.0	1.2	0.0	2.4	1.2	2.4	0.0	85.7	
<b>Income (household)</b>											
Less than \$15,000	72	18.1%	2.8%	11.1%	11.1%	12.5%	4.2%	36.1%	4.1%	0.0%	
\$15,000 - \$24,999	97	41.2	6.2	11.3	5.2	6.2	3.1	23.7	3.1	0.0	
\$25,000 - \$49,999	367	46.3	7.4	6.3	5.7	2.7	2.2	23.2	6.2	0.0	
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	54.8	4.4	4.1	7.4	0.4	0.4	23.7	4.8	0.0	
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	64.4	5.1	4.8	2.4	0.9	0.9	16.8	4.8	0.0	
\$80,000 or more	883	70.0	4.9	6.9	2.2	0.5	0.6	11.7	3.2	0.0	
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	33.6	8.4	8.4	5.9	2.5	5.9	25.2	10.1	0.0	
MISSING/REFUSE	343	40.2	6.1	6.7	2.6	1.5	0.6	17.2	2.6	22.5	

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents; "LAB" = In labor force; "MIL" = Currently on active military status; "CIV" = Member of civilian labor force; "EMP1" = Percent of all eligible workers age 16 & over, employed; "EMP2" = Percent of all workers currently in labor force, employed.

b. Limited to persons age 16 and over only. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, *American Factfinder*, Table P43. Last accessed March 7, 2005).

c. "N" = Number of respondents; "FT" = Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home; "HM" = Full-time homemaker; "PT" = Part-time; "UN" = Unemployed; "DB" = Disabled for work; "SC" = In school only; "RT" = Retired; "OT" = All other; "MIS" = MISSING/REFUSE.

d. Work status recodes: [Active military status; Working full-time, 35 hrs+/week] = "Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home"; [Full-time homemaker] = "Full-time homemaker"; [Part-time] = "Part-time"; [Have a job, but out due to illness; Have seasonal work, but currently not working; Unemployed and looking for work; Unemployed and not looking for work] = "Unemployed"; [Disabled for work] = "Disabled for work"; [In school only] = "In school only"; [Retired] = "Retired."

e. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Respondent Self-reported Demographics, by Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Question: "Did you live in your current house or apartment five years ago (Fall/Winter 1999/2000)?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	DK/NA	MIS
<b>U.S. CENSUS, 2000<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>94,822</b>	72.1%	27.9%	---	---
<b>TOTAL A.C.S. SAMPLE</b>	<b>2,485</b>	71.6%	28.3%	0.0%	0.1%
<b>Community Council Area</b>					
Abbott Loop	77	66.2%	33.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Airport Heights	100	77.0	23.0	0.0	0.0
Basher	5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	91	69.2	30.8	0.0	0.0
Bear Valley	9	88.9	11.1	0.0	0.0
Birchwood	32	78.1	21.9	0.0	0.0
Campbell Park	78	59.0	41.0	0.0	0.0
Chugiak	104	84.6	15.4	0.0	0.0
Downtown	11	36.4	63.6	0.0	0.0
Eagle River	93	75.3	24.7	0.0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	110	78.2	21.8	0.0	0.0
Eklutna Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairview	68	47.1	52.9	0.0	0.0
Girdwood	66	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
Glen Alps	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Government Hill	30	70.0	30.0	0.0	0.0
Hillside East	56	71.4	28.6	0.0	0.0
Huffman/O'Malley	121	79.3	20.7	0.0	0.0
Mid-Hillside	119	78.2	21.8	0.0	0.0
Mountain View	9	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0
North Star	69	62.3	37.7	0.0	0.0
Northeast	72	72.2	27.8	0.0	0.0
Old Seward/Oceanview	106	71.7	28.3	0.0	0.0
Portage Valley	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	122	82.8	17.2	0.0	0.0
Rogers Park	106	81.1	18.9	0.0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	67	62.7	37.3	0.0	0.0
Sand Lake	105	76.2	23.8	0.0	0.0
Scenic Foothills	88	71.6	27.3	0.0	1.1
South Addition	101	77.2	22.8	0.0	0.0
South Fork	7	71.4	28.6	0.0	0.0
Spenard	71	62.0	38.0	0.0	0.0
Taku/Campbell	100	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
Tudor Area	49	81.6	18.4	0.0	0.0
Turnagain	80	72.5	27.5	0.0	0.0
University Area	81	72.8	27.2	0.0	0.0
No Community Council <sup>d</sup>	80	58.7	41.3	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents; "DK/NA" = Don't Know/Not Applicable "MIS" = MISSING/REFUSE.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. Number of Anchorage households. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, *American Factfinder*, Table H38. Last accessed March 7, 2005).

d. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

**Respondent Self-reported Demographics, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Did you live in your current house or apartment five years ago (Fall/Winter 1999/2000)?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	DK/NA	MIS
<b>U.S. CENSUS, 2000<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>94,822</b>	72.1%	27.9%	---	---
<b>TOTAL A.C.S. SAMPLE</b>	<b>2,485</b>	71.6%	28.3%	0.0%	0.1%
<b>Household Size</b>					
1 – 3 residents	1,727	75.9%	24.1%	0.0%	0.0%
4 – 6 residents	631	68.3	31.7	0.0	0.0
7 or more residents	43	69.8	30.2	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	84	10.7	88.1	0.0	1.2
<b>Income (household)</b>					
Less than \$15,000	72	62.5%	37.5%	0.0%	0.0%
\$15,000 - \$24,999	97	59.8	40.2	0.0	0.0
\$25,000 - \$49,999	367	63.2	36.8	0.0	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	270	72.2	27.8	0.0	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	334	70.1	29.9	0.0	0.0
\$80,000 or more	883	80.3	19.7	0.0	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	119	77.3	22.7	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	343	62.7	37.0	0.0	0.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents; "DK/NA" = Don't Know/Not Applicable "MIS" = MISSING/REFUSE.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

c. Number of Anchorage households. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, *American Factfinder*, Table H38. Last accessed March 7, 2005).



## Section 4

### Survey Addenda

---



## **Collective Deterrence**



## Collective Deterrence—An Introduction

While the goals of criminal punishments are numerous and multi-faceted, nearly all attempt to discourage, through fear of the ‘pains of punishment,’ future criminal conduct; that is, those who legislate criminal sanctions hope to *deter* others from engaging in illegal, and specifically criminal, behavior.

At bottom, the notion of deterrence is probabilistic, meaning actors are conceived as rational in constructing lines of action. Each act is held to be determined by the results of an internal cost-benefit analysis performed by every individual. When such an analysis produces a net gain in benefits, action is taken; when the analysis produces a net gain in costs, action is not taken. Key to this cost-benefit calculation is a determination of the *likelihood* that potential costs and benefits will occur, because deterrence is weakened in instances where, although potential penalties are exceedingly high, the probability of those costs being incurred is low—and vice versa. Therefore, it could be suggested that it is the *likelihood that costs will be imposed* for a particular course of action that is essential in establishing disincentives for behavior, not necessarily the total amount of costs imposed.

The Collective Deterrence addendum included in the *Anchorage Community Survey, 2005* explores this issue of sanction probability by measuring respondents’ perceptions of the likelihood of sanction for a particular class of criminal offenses—those involving *firearms*. Specifically, these questions explored the extent to which adult residents of Anchorage think a person who illegally possesses a firearm will be detected, charged, prosecuted, convicted, and given a lengthy prison sentence.

In addition to these eight items, three survey questions were included in the addendum looking at how *aware* the public is of certain firearm prohibitions and their associated penalties. To the extent that the premise of the rational actor is correct, people must first have knowledge of legally prohibited behaviors and the penalties associated with their commission in order to make any sort of calculation. Respondents were queried about two specific categories of persons prohibited from possession of a firearm: those convicted of a felony crime and those convicted of misdemeanor crimes of domestic violence. Finally, participants were asked if they had ever heard of the phrase “Hard Time for Gun Crime,” a deterrence message widely used by city, state and federal law enforcement agencies in Anchorage and elsewhere in Alaska.



**Perceptions of Criminal Sanctions for Gun Crime, by Select Demographic Characteristics**Question: "A person carrying a gun illegally, being *caught* by authorities."*"Do you think this would be very likely, somewhat likely, neither likely nor unlikely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely to occur?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Very Likely	Somewhat Likely	Neither Likely nor Unlikely	Somewhat Unlikely	Very Unlikely	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>608</b>	23.0%	28.8%	3.5%	24.0%	16.9%	3.5%	0.3%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>								
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	16	18.8%	25.0%	12.5%	37.5%	0.0%	6.2%	0.0%
Asian (only)	8	0.0	25.0	0.0	37.5	37.5	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	14	21.4	42.9	0.0	14.3	21.4	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	2	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	506	21.9	29.1	3.4	24.7	17.4	3.2	0.4
All Other	55	34.5	23.6	3.6	18.2	12.7	7.3	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	5	20.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>								
Yes	21	42.9%	28.6%	0.0%	9.5%	9.5%	9.5%	0.0%
No	585	22.4	28.7	3.6	24.6	17.1	3.2	0.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	309	25.2%	32.7%	3.2%	18.8%	15.5%	4.2%	0.4%
Male	298	20.8	24.5	3.7	29.5	18.5	2.7	0.3
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>								
18 – 24 yrs	26	23.1%	38.5%	7.7%	26.9%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	53	24.5	32.1	5.7	28.3	9.4	0.0	0.0
35 – 44 yrs	104	26.0	26.0	1.9	26.0	20.2	0.0	0.0
45 – 54 yrs	187	23.0	28.3	2.7	19.8	21.9	4.3	0.0
55 – 64 yrs	135	24.4	25.2	4.4	28.9	14.1	2.2	0.7
65 yrs & up	103	17.5	33.0	2.9	20.4	16.5	8.7	1.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>								
No degree	9	33.3%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	11.2%	0.0%
High school/GED	95	27.4	35.8	2.1	11.6	15.8	5.3	2.1
1+ yrs college, no degree	160	25.6	21.9	3.8	30.0	14.4	4.4	0.0
Associate's degree	48	35.4	31.3	4.2	16.7	10.4	2.1	0.0
Bachelor's degree	158	18.4	29.1	3.8	28.5	17.1	3.2	0.0
Graduate/professional degree	137	17.5	31.4	2.9	24.1	22.6	1.5	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>								
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	366	24.6%	27.0%	2.5%	26.0%	18.3%	1.6%	0.0%
Full-time homemaker	24	16.7	41.7	12.5	8.3	16.7	4.2	0.0
Part-time	39	25.6	38.5	5.1	20.5	10.3	0.0	0.0
Unemployed	23	17.4	21.7	8.7	26.1	8.7	17.4	0.0
Disabled for work	3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
In school only	9	33.3	22.2	0.0	33.3	11.1	0.0	0.0
Retired	110	17.3	31.8	4.5	20.0	16.4	8.2	1.8
Other	33	24.2	24.2	0.0	30.3	18.2	3.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>								
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	433	23.1%	28.2%	4.2%	21.5%	18.7%	3.9%	0.4%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	175	22.9	30.3	1.7	30.3	12.6	2.3	0.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'N' = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Criminal Sanctions for Gun Crime, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "A person carrying a gun illegally, being caught by authorities."

*"Do you think this would be very likely, somewhat likely, neither likely nor unlikely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely to occur?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Very Likely	Somewhat Likely	Neither Likely nor Unlikely	Somewhat Unlikely	Very Unlikely	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>608</b>	23.0%	28.8%	3.5%	24.0%	16.9%	3.5%	0.3%
<b>Household Size</b>								
1 – 3 residents	<b>438</b>	22.1%	27.9%	3.0%	24.9%	17.1%	4.6%	0.4%
4 – 6 residents	<b>156</b>	27.6	30.1	5.1	21.2	15.4	0.6	0.0
7 or more residents	<b>11</b>	0.0	45.5	0.0	36.4	18.1	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>3</b>	0.0	33.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>								
Less than \$15,000	<b>14</b>	50.0%	14.3%	0.0%	7.1%	14.3%	14.3%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>24</b>	29.2	37.5	0.0	20.8	8.3	4.2	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>87</b>	23.0	33.3	5.7	20.7	16.1	1.1	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>79</b>	17.7	27.8	1.3	32.9	15.2	5.1	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>80</b>	17.5	41.3	5.0	25.0	10.0	0.0	1.3
\$80,000 or more	<b>223</b>	25.1	25.6	3.6	25.6	17.9	2.2	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>37</b>	21.6	16.2	8.1	18.9	24.3	10.9	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>64</b>	21.9	26.6	0.0	18.8	25.0	6.3	1.6

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'N' = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.



**Perceptions of Criminal Sanctions for Gun Crime, by Select Demographic Characteristics**Question: "A person who is caught committing a gun crime, being prosecuted for that offense."*"Do you think this would be very likely, somewhat likely, neither likely nor unlikely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely to occur?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Very Likely	Somewhat Likely	Neither Likely nor Unlikely	Somewhat Unlikely	Very Unlikely	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>608</b>	<b>49.3%</b>	<b>38.0%</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>								
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	16	62.5%	37.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	8	12.5	75.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	14	50.0	35.7	0.0	7.1	7.1	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	506	48.8	38.9	2.6	4.9	2.0	2.4	0.4
All Other	55	60.0	27.3	5.5	0.0	1.8	5.5	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	5	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	20.0	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>								
Yes	21	57.1%	28.6%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	0.0%
No	585	49.2	38.5	2.7	4.6	2.1	2.6	0.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	309	45.6%	37.2%	3.6%	6.5%	3.2%	3.6%	0.3%
Male	298	53.4	38.6	2.0	2.3	1.3	2.0	0.3
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>								
18 – 24 yrs	26	50.0%	42.3%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	53	39.6	47.2	3.8	7.5	1.9	0.0	0.0
35 – 44 yrs	104	51.9	35.6	2.9	7.7	1.0	1.0	0.0
45 – 54 yrs	187	54.5	34.8	2.1	1.6	2.7	4.3	0.0
55 – 64 yrs	135	45.9	37.8	3.0	6.7	2.2	3.7	0.7
65 yrs & up	103	46.6	40.8	3.9	1.0	3.9	2.9	1.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>								
No degree	9	44.4%	22.2%	11.1%	0.0%	11.1%	11.2%	0.0%
High school/GED	95	57.9	30.5	1.1	2.1	3.2	3.2	2.1
1+ yrs college, no degree	160	53.1	34.4	2.5	5.0	3.1	1.9	0.0
Associate's degree	48	50.0	37.5	4.2	2.1	4.2	2.1	0.0
Bachelor's degree	158	38.6	49.4	4.4	5.1	0.6	1.9	0.0
Graduate/professional degree	137	51.1	35.8	1.5	5.8	1.5	4.4	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>								
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	366	49.7%	39.3%	2.7%	4.6%	1.4%	2.3%	0.0%
Full-time homemaker	24	50.0	37.5	0.0	8.3	0.0	4.2	0.0
Part-time	39	56.4	41.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
Unemployed	23	47.8	21.7	4.3	8.7	4.3	13.0	0.0
Disabled for work	3	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
In school only	9	66.7	22.2	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0
Retired	110	45.5	40.0	2.7	2.7	5.5	1.8	1.8
Other	33	48.5	30.3	9.1	3.0	3.0	6.1	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>								
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	433	50.6%	36.7%	2.8%	4.4%	2.3%	2.8%	0.4%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	175	46.3	41.1	2.9	4.6	2.3	2.9	0.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'N' = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Criminal Sanctions for Gun Crime, by Select Household Characteristics**Question: "A person who is caught committing a gun crime, being *prosecuted* for that offense."*"Do you think this would be very likely, somewhat likely, neither likely nor unlikely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely to occur?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Very Likely	Somewhat Likely	Neither Likely nor Unlikely	Somewhat Unlikely	Very Unlikely	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>608</b>	49.3%	38.0%	2.8%	4.4%	2.3%	2.8%	0.4%
<b>Household Size</b>								
1 – 3 residents	<b>438</b>	48.6%	38.6%	2.5%	4.6%	2.1%	3.2%	0.4%
4 – 6 residents	<b>156</b>	50.6	37.8	2.6	4.5	2.6	1.9	0.0
7 or more residents	<b>11</b>	63.6	27.3	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>3</b>	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.4	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>								
Less than \$15,000	<b>14</b>	71.4%	14.3%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%	7.2%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>24</b>	29.2	62.5	0.0	4.2	4.1	0.0	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>87</b>	52.9	37.9	1.1	6.9	0.0	1.2	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>79</b>	49.4	31.6	6.3	7.6	2.5	2.5	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>80</b>	48.8	41.3	3.7	3.7	1.3	0.0	1.2
\$80,000 or more	<b>223</b>	50.7	39.5	1.8	3.1	2.2	2.7	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>37</b>	40.5	43.2	2.7	2.7	8.1	2.8	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>64</b>	48.4	29.7	4.7	3.1	3.1	9.4	1.6

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'N' = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions Criminal Sanctions for Gun Crime, by Select Demographic Characteristics**Question: "A person who is caught committing a gun crime, being prosecuted swiftly."*"Do you think this would be very likely, somewhat likely, neither likely nor unlikely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely to occur?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Very Likely	Somewhat Likely	Neither Likely nor Unlikely	Somewhat Unlikely	Very Unlikely	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>608</b>	21.7%	38.7%	5.6%	18.8%	7.4%	7.4%	0.4%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>								
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	16	25.0%	31.3%	0.0%	31.3%	6.3%	6.1%	0.0%
Asian (only)	8	12.5	62.5	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	14	28.6	35.7	0.0	28.6	7.1	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	506	21.1	39.3	6.7	18.6	6.7	6.9	0.6
All Other	55	25.5	30.9	0.0	16.4	12.7	14.5	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	5	20.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>								
Yes	21	33.3%	28.6%	9.5%	0.0%	14.3%	9.5%	4.8%
No	585	21.2	39.1	5.5	19.5	7.0	7.4	0.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	309	18.4%	39.2%	4.9%	21.0%	9.1%	7.1%	0.3%
Male	298	25.2	37.9	6.4	16.4	5.7	7.7	0.7
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>								
18 – 24 yrs	26	23.1%	46.2%	11.5%	11.5%	3.8%	3.9%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	53	13.2	50.9	3.8	18.9	9.4	3.8	0.0
35 – 44 yrs	104	23.1	42.3	6.7	20.2	2.9	4.8	0.0
45 – 54 yrs	187	26.7	37.4	4.3	16.0	7.5	8.0	0.0
55 – 64 yrs	135	20.0	37.0	4.4	20.0	9.6	8.1	0.7
65 yrs & up	103	17.5	31.1	7.8	22.3	8.7	10.7	1.9
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>								
No degree	9	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	22.2%	11.2%	0.0%
High school/GED	95	33.7	27.4	5.3	16.8	7.4	6.3	3.2
1+ yrs college, no degree	160	25.0	33.8	5.0	18.1	10.6	7.5	0.0
Associate's degree	48	18.8	52.1	8.3	18.8	2.1	0.0	0.0
Bachelor's degree	158	17.1	43.7	5.7	20.3	5.7	7.6	0.0
Graduate/professional degree	137	15.3	41.6	5.8	20.4	6.6	10.2	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>								
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	366	24.6%	40.4%	7.1%	16.4%	5.2%	6.3%	0.0%
Full-time homemaker	24	12.5	50.0	4.2	16.7	8.3	8.3	0.0
Part-time	39	12.8	35.9	7.7	30.8	7.7	5.1	0.0
Unemployed	23	17.4	34.8	4.3	17.4	8.7	17.4	0.0
Disabled for work	3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0
In school only	9	44.4	22.2	0.0	22.2	11.1	0.0	0.0
Retired	110	20.0	36.4	2.7	20.0	10.0	8.2	2.7
Other	33	12.1	30.3	0.0	27.3	18.2	12.1	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>								
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	433	22.9%	36.5%	4.4%	19.6%	8.1%	7.9%	0.6%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	175	18.9	44.0	8.6	16.6	5.7	6.3	0.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'N' = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Criminal Sanctions for Gun Crime, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "A person who is caught committing a gun crime, being prosecuted *swiftly*."

"Do you think this would be very likely, somewhat likely, neither likely nor unlikely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely to occur?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Very Likely	Somewhat Likely	Neither Likely nor Unlikely	Somewhat Unlikely	Very Unlikely	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>608</b>	21.7%	38.7%	5.6%	18.8%	7.4%	7.4%	0.4%
<b>Household Size</b>								
1 – 3 residents	<b>438</b>	20.5%	38.8%	5.3%	18.3%	8.0%	8.4%	0.7%
4 – 6 residents	<b>156</b>	23.7	38.5	6.4	21.2	6.4	3.8	0.0
7 or more residents	<b>11</b>	27.3	45.5	9.1	9.1	0.0	9.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>3</b>	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>								
Less than \$15,000	<b>14</b>	28.6%	7.1%	0.0%	21.4%	21.4%	21.5%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>24</b>	16.7	37.5	8.3	8.3	16.7	12.5	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>87</b>	18.4	37.9	5.7	23.0	9.2	5.8	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>79</b>	19.0	49.4	3.8	13.9	5.1	7.6	1.2
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>80</b>	23.8	38.8	6.3	17.5	8.8	3.8	1.5
\$80,000 or more	<b>223</b>	23.3	39.0	6.3	20.6	6.3	4.5	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>37</b>	24.3	24.3	5.4	27.0	8.1	10.8	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>64</b>	20.3	40.6	4.7	12.5	3.1	17.2	1.6

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'N' = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Criminal Sanctions for Gun Crime, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "A person who is charged with a gun crime, being convicted in a STATE court."

"Do you think this would be very likely, somewhat likely, neither likely nor unlikely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely to occur?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Very Likely	Somewhat Likely	Neither Likely nor Unlikely	Somewhat Unlikely	Very Unlikely	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>608</b>	<b>34.0%</b>	<b>41.9%</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>								
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	16	43.8%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	43.7%	0.0%
Asian (only)	8	25.0	62.5	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	14	42.9	28.6	14.3	7.1	7.1	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	506	32.0	44.7	4.3	5.1	1.4	12.3	0.2
All Other	55	49.1	32.7	1.8	0.0	1.8	14.5	0.0
Missing/Refuse	7	14.3	28.6	14.3	14.3	14.3	14.2	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>								
Yes	21	42.9%	28.6%	4.8%	9.5%	0.0%	14.2%	0.0%
No	585	33.8	42.6	4.3	5.0	1.5	12.6	0.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	309	30.7%	39.8%	4.5%	6.5%	1.9%	16.2%	0.4%
Male	298	37.6	44.0	4.0	3.7	1.3	9.4	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>								
18 – 24 yrs	26	15.4%	53.8%	3.8%	7.7%	0.0%	19.3%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	53	18.9	49.1	1.9	3.8	3.8	22.5	0.0
35 – 44 yrs	104	39.4	41.3	4.8	6.7	0.0	7.8	0.0
45 – 54 yrs	187	39.0	40.1	4.8	3.7	1.1	11.3	0.0
55 – 64 yrs	135	32.6	43.0	5.9	5.9	3.0	8.9	0.7
65 yrs & up	103	34.0	37.9	1.9	4.9	1.9	19.4	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>								
No degree	9	55.6%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%
High school/GED	95	41.1	36.8	4.2	5.3	3.2	8.4	1.0
1+ yrs college, no degree	160	36.3	36.9	4.4	6.9	3.1	12.5	0.0
Associate's degree	48	35.4	37.5	4.2	2.1	0.0	20.8	0.0
Bachelor's degree	158	29.7	48.1	3.8	2.5	1.3	14.6	0.0
Graduate/professional degree	137	29.9	46.0	5.1	7.3	0.0	11.7	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>								
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	366	38.8%	40.2%	4.9%	5.2%	0.8%	10.1%	0.0%
Full-time homemaker	24	16.7	45.8	4.2	8.3	0.0	25.0	0.0
Part-time	39	33.3	48.7	2.6	0.0	0.0	15.4	0.0
Unemployed	23	30.4	30.4	13.0	4.3	4.3	17.4	0.0
Disabled for work	3	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
In school only	9	33.3	44.4	0.0	11.1	0.0	11.2	0.0
Retired	110	29.1	46.4	0.9	4.5	2.7	15.5	0.9
Other	33	18.2	42.4	3.0	9.1	9.1	18.2	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>								
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	433	37.0%	40.2%	4.6%	4.8%	0.9%	12.2%	0.3%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	175	26.9	46.3	3.4	5.7	3.4	14.3	0.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'N' = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Criminal Sanctions for Gun Crime, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "A person who is charged with a gun crime, being convicted in a STATE court."

*"Do you think this would be very likely, somewhat likely, neither likely nor unlikely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely to occur?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Very Likely	Somewhat Likely	Neither Likely nor Unlikely	Somewhat Unlikely	Very Unlikely	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>608</b>	34.0%	41.9%	4.3%	5.1%	1.6%	12.8%	0.3%
<b>Household Size</b>								
1 – 3 residents	<b>438</b>	33.8%	41.6%	3.9%	4.8%	2.1%	13.7%	0.1%
4 – 6 residents	<b>156</b>	33.3	44.2	5.1	6.4	0.6	10.3	0.0
7 or more residents	<b>11</b>	45.5	36.4	9.1	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>3</b>	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>								
Less than \$15,000	<b>14</b>	50.0%	7.1%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%	35.8%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>24</b>	41.7	41.7	0.0	0.0	4.2	12.4	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>87</b>	41.4	35.6	3.4	5.7	2.3	11.6	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>79</b>	30.4	41.8	6.3	7.6	3.8	10.1	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>80</b>	28.8	45.0	7.5	1.3	0.0	16.3	1.1
\$80,000 or more	<b>223</b>	34.1	45.7	4.5	4.9	1.3	9.4	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>37</b>	21.6	43.2	2.7	10.8	0.0	21.7	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>64</b>	35.9	40.6	1.6	4.7	1.6	15.6	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'N' = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Criminal Sanctions for Gun Crime, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "A person who is charged with a gun crime, being convicted in a FEDERAL court."

*"Do you think this would be very likely, somewhat likely, neither likely nor unlikely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely to occur?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Very Likely	Somewhat Likely	Neither Likely nor Unlikely	Somewhat Unlikely	Very Unlikely	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>608</b>	35.0%	35.0%	3.9%	6.9%	2.5%	16.0%	0.7%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>								
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	16	43.8%	31.3%	0.0%	6.3%	0.0%	18.6%	0.0%
Asian (only)	8	37.5	37.5	12.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	14	35.7	42.9	7.1	0.0	7.1	7.2	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	2	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	506	35.2	34.6	4.2	7.3	2.4	15.6	0.7
All Other	55	30.9	38.2	1.8	5.5	1.8	21.8	0.0
Missing/Refuse	7	28.6	42.9	0.0	0.0	14.3	14.2	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>								
Yes	21	47.6%	23.8%	4.8%	4.8%	0.0%	19.0%	0.0%
No	585	34.7	35.6	3.9	7.0	2.4	15.7	0.7
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	309	28.5%	36.2%	4.2%	8.4%	2.9%	19.1%	0.7%
Male	298	41.9	33.6	3.7	5.4	2.0	12.8	0.6
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>								
18 – 24 yrs	26	15.4%	26.9%	7.7%	23.1%	3.8%	23.1%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	53	11.3	52.8	1.9	5.7	5.7	20.8	1.9
35 – 44 yrs	104	40.4	35.6	4.8	6.7	1.9	10.6	0.0
45 – 54 yrs	187	40.1	32.1	4.3	5.9	0.5	17.1	0.0
55 – 64 yrs	135	37.8	34.1	3.0	8.1	3.7	11.9	1.5
65 yrs & up	103	34.0	34.0	3.9	3.9	2.9	20.4	1.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>								
No degree	9	55.6%	22.2%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%
High school/GED	95	42.1	31.6	3.2	4.2	5.3	12.6	1.1
1+ yrs college, no degree	160	35.0	33.8	3.8	7.5	3.1	16.9	0.0
Associate's degree	48	35.4	35.4	0.0	4.2	4.2	20.8	0.0
Bachelor's degree	158	27.2	37.3	6.3	7.0	1.3	20.3	0.6
Graduate/professional degree	137	37.2	37.2	3.6	8.8	0.7	10.9	1.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>								
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	366	37.4%	36.1%	3.6%	6.8%	1.4%	14.5%	0.2%
Full-time homemaker	24	20.8	29.2	12.5	16.7	0.0	20.8	0.0
Part-time	39	23.1	41.0	5.1	12.8	2.6	15.4	0.0
Unemployed	23	39.1	21.7	13.0	4.3	4.3	17.4	0.0
Disabled for work	3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
In school only	9	55.6	11.1	11.1	0.0	0.0	22.2	0.0
Retired	110	37.3	32.7	1.8	4.5	4.5	16.4	2.8
Other	33	15.2	48.5	0.0	6.1	9.1	21.1	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>								
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	433	36.5%	34.2%	4.6%	6.5%	1.8%	15.5%	0.9%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	175	31.4	37.1	2.3	8.0	4.0	17.2	0.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'N' = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Criminal Sanctions for Gun Crime, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "A person who is charged with a gun crime, being convicted in a *FEDERAL* court."

"Do you think this would be very likely, somewhat likely, neither likely nor unlikely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely to occur?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Very Likely	Somewhat Likely	Neither Likely nor Unlikely	Somewhat Unlikely	Very Unlikely	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>608</b>	35.0%	35.0%	3.9%	6.9%	2.5%	16.0%	0.7%
<b>Household Size</b>								
1 – 3 residents	<b>438</b>	34.7%	34.9%	3.7%	6.8%	2.7%	16.4%	0.8%
4 – 6 residents	<b>156</b>	35.3	35.3	5.1	7.7	1.9	14.1	0.6
7 or more residents	<b>11</b>	45.5	45.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>3</b>	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>								
Less than \$15,000	<b>14</b>	28.6%	14.3%	0.0%	7.1%	14.3%	35.7%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>24</b>	29.2	37.5	4.2	4.2	4.2	20.7	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>87</b>	40.2	39.1	3.4	0.0	2.3	13.8	1.2
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>79</b>	35.4	34.2	3.8	6.3	2.5	17.8	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>80</b>	30.0	36.3	5.0	8.8	1.3	17.5	1.1
\$80,000 or more	<b>223</b>	36.8	36.8	4.9	8.5	2.2	10.8	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>37</b>	27.0	35.1	2.7	10.8	0.0	21.6	2.8
Missing/Refuse	<b>64</b>	35.9	26.6	1.6	7.8	3.1	23.4	1.6

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'N' = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.



**Perceptions of Criminal Sanctions for Gun Crime, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "A prosecutor allowing someone who has been charged with a gun crime to plead guilty to less serious offense in order to get a conviction."

"Do you think this would be very likely, somewhat likely, neither likely nor unlikely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely to occur?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Very Likely	Somewhat Likely	Neither Likely nor Unlikely	Somewhat Unlikely	Very Unlikely	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>608</b>	45.7%	35.5%	1.2%	4.1%	1.8%	10.9%	0.8%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>								
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	16	37.5	25.0%	0.0%	6.3%	6.3%	24.9%	0.0%
Asian (only)	8	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	14	50.0	42.9	0.0	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	2	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	506	45.1	36.6	1.0	4.0	1.8	10.9	0.8
All Other	55	49.1	30.9	3.6	7.3	0.0	9.1	0.0
Missing/Refuse	7	71.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	14.3
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>								
Yes	21	47.6%	14.3%	4.8%	19.0%	0.0%	9.5%	4.8%
No	585	45.5	36.4	1.0	3.6	1.9	10.9	0.7
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	309	48.5%	32.4%	1.3%	1.9%	1.6%	13.6%	0.7%
Male	298	42.6	38.9	1.0	6.4	2.0	8.1	1.0
Missing/Refuse	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>								
18 – 24 yrs	26	38.5%	23.1%	3.8%	19.2%	0.0%	15.4%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	53	45.3	47.2	1.9	1.9	0.0	1.9	1.8
35 – 44 yrs	104	51.0	40.4	1.0	3.8	0.0	3.8	0.0
45 – 54 yrs	187	48.7	32.6	0.5	4.3	2.7	10.7	0.5
55 – 64 yrs	135	46.7	34.1	1.5	4.4	1.5	10.4	1.5
65 yrs & up	103	35.9	35.0	1.0	1.0	3.9	22.3	0.9
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>								
No degree	9	44.4%	11.1%	0.0%	22.2%	0.0%	22.3%	0.0%
High school / GED	95	47.4	27.4	1.1	5.3	1.1	15.8	2.1
1+ yrs college, no degree	160	44.4	34.4	1.3	3.1	4.4	12.5	0.0
Associate's degree	48	52.1	37.5	0.0	2.1	4.2	4.2	0.0
Bachelor's degree	158	43.0	41.8	2.5	2.5	9.5	0.0	0.6
Graduate/professional degree	137	47.4	36.5	0.0	5.1	0.7	8.8	1.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>								
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	366	47.3%	37.7%	1.1%	4.6%	0.5%	8.2%	0.6%
Full-time homemaker	24	54.2	33.3	4.2	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0
Part-time	39	46.2	38.5	2.6	5.1	2.6	5.1	0.0
Unemployed	23	43.5	26.1	4.3	8.7	0.0	17.4	0.0
Disabled for work	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
In school only	9	55.6	33.3	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Retired	110	39.1	32.7	0.0	1.8	5.5	18.2	2.7
Other	33	36.4	30.3	0.0	3.0	6.1	24.2	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>								
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	433	45.0%	36.0%	1.4%	3.7%	2.1%	10.9%	0.9%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	175	47.4	34.3	0.6	5.1	1.1	10.9	0.6
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'N' = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Criminal Sanctions for Gun Crime, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "A prosecutor allowing someone who has been charged with a gun crime to plead guilty to less serious offense in order to get a conviction."

"Do you think this would be very likely, somewhat likely, neither likely nor unlikely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely to occur?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Very Likely	Somewhat Likely	Neither Likely nor Unlikely	Somewhat Unlikely	Very Unlikely	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>608</b>	45.7%	35.5%	1.2%	4.1%	1.8%	10.9%	0.8%
<b>Household Size</b>								
1 – 3 residents	438	45.0%	35.2%	0.9%	3.7%	1.6%	12.8%	0.8%
4 – 6 residents	156	48.1	36.5	1.9	5.1	2.6	5.1	0.7
7 or more residents	11	45.5	45.5	0.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>								
Less than \$15,000	14	35.7%	7.1%	0.0%	21.4%	35.8%	0.0%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	24	58.3	33.3	0.0	4.2	0.0	4.2	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	87	42.5	40.2	0.0	2.3	2.3	11.5	1.2
\$50,000 – \$59,999	79	48.1	29.1	1.3	5.1	3.8	11.4	1.2
\$60,000 – \$79,999	80	37.5	50.0	5.0	1.3	1.3	3.8	1.1
\$80,000 or more	223	47.1	39.5	0.9	5.8	1.3	5.4	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	37	56.8	16.2	0.0	0.0	2.7	18.9	5.4
Missing/Refuse	64	43.8	23.4	0.0	1.6	1.6	29.6	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'N' = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Criminal Sanctions for Gun Crime, by Select Demographic Characteristics**Question: "A person who is convicted of a gun crime, receiving a long prison sentence."*"Do you think this would be very likely, somewhat likely, neither likely nor unlikely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely to occur?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Very Likely	Somewhat Likely	Neither Likely nor Unlikely	Somewhat Unlikely	Very Unlikely	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>608</b>	11.7%	32.6%	3.3%	26.8%	13.3%	10.9%	1.4%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>								
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	16	25.0%	37.5%	0.0%	18.8%	6.3%	12.4%	0.0%
Asian (only)	8	0.0	12.5	0.0	87.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	14	14.3	50.0	0.0	21.4	14.3	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	506	11.7	31.8	3.8	27.3	13.2	10.7	1.5
All Other	55	10.9	38.2	1.8	20.0	14.5	14.6	0.0
Missing/Refuse	7	0.0	14.3	0.0	14.3	42.9	14.3	14.2
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>								
Yes	21	4.8%	38.1%	4.8%	23.8%	14.3%	9.5%	4.7%
No	585	12.0	32.5	3.2	27.0	13.0	10.9	1.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	309	11.3%	27.2%	3.6%	27.8%	16.2%	12.3%	1.6%
Male	298	12.1	37.9	3.0	25.8	10.4	9.4	1.2
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>								
18 – 24 yrs	26	7.7%	42.3%	3.8%	19.2%	11.5%	15.5%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	53	7.5	34.0	1.9	32.1	18.9	5.6	0.0
35 – 44 yrs	104	12.5	32.7	1.9	37.5	7.7	6.7	1.0
45 – 54 yrs	187	12.8	31.6	2.7	29.4	11.2	11.8	0.5
55 – 64 yrs	135	12.6	31.9	4.4	25.2	17.8	6.7	1.4
65 yrs & up	103	10.7	32.0	4.9	12.6	14.6	20.4	4.9
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>								
No degree	9	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	22.2%	0.0%	11.2%	0.0%
High school / GED	95	20.0	36.8	1.1	20.0	10.5	7.4	4.2
1+ yrs college, no degree	160	13.8	28.8	1.3	24.4	20.6	10.6	0.5
Associate's degree	48	12.5	31.3	2.1	31.3	16.7	6.3	0.0
Bachelor's degree	158	6.3	32.9	6.3	29.7	11.4	12.7	0.7
Graduate/professional degree	137	8.0	33.6	4.4	29.9	8.8	13.1	2.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>								
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	366	10.7%	33.1%	3.3%	30.6%	11.7%	10.1%	0.5%
Full-time homemaker	24	16.7	16.7	0.0	41.7	16.7	8.2	0.0
Part-time	39	17.9	35.9	2.6	35.9	2.6	5.1	0.0
Unemployed	23	13.0	47.8	0.0	13.0	13.0	13.2	0.0
Disabled for work	3	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.4	0.0
In school only	9	11.1	55.6	0.0	11.1	22.2	0.0	0.0
Retired	110	10.0	35.5	3.6	12.7	16.4	16.4	5.4
Other	33	15.2	12.1	9.1	21.2	30.3	9.1	3.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>								
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	433	11.8%	32.6%	3.7%	23.6%	14.3%	12.2%	1.8%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	175	11.4	32.6	2.3	34.9	10.9	7.4	0.6
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'N' = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Criminal Sanctions for Gun Crime, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "A person who is *convicted* of a gun crime, receiving a long prison sentence."

"Do you think this would be very likely, somewhat likely, neither likely nor unlikely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely to occur?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Very Likely	Somewhat Likely	Neither Likely nor Unlikely	Somewhat Unlikely	Very Unlikely	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>608</b>	11.7%	32.6%	3.3%	26.8%	13.3%	10.9%	1.4%
<b>Household Size</b>								
1 – 3 residents	<b>438</b>	10.3%	33.1%	3.4%	24.7%	14.2%	12.6%	1.7%
4 – 6 residents	<b>156</b>	14.7	30.8	3.2	34.6	10.9	5.1	0.7
7 or more residents	<b>11</b>	18.2	45.5	0.0	9.1	9.1	18.1	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>3</b>	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	33.4	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>								
Less than \$15,000	<b>14</b>	28.6%	35.7%	0.0%	14.3%	7.1%	14.3%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>24</b>	8.3	29.2	8.3	20.8	20.8	12.6	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>87</b>	17.2	29.9	2.3	20.7	17.2	12.7	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>79</b>	8.9	36.7	2.5	27.8	13.9	7.6	2.6
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>80</b>	12.5	40.0	5.0	25.0	10.0	6.3	1.2
\$80,000 or more	<b>223</b>	10.8	31.8	3.1	32.7	11.7	9.4	0.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>37</b>	5.4	21.6	2.7	27.0	24.3	16.2	2.8
Missing/Refuse	<b>64</b>	10.9	31.3	3.1	20.3	9.4	18.8	6.2

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'N' = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Awareness of Possible Sanctions for Gun Possession: Convicted Felons,  
by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "Prior to taking this survey, did you know that a person with a prior conviction for a felony crime can be sentenced to federal prison for possessing a firearm?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>608</b>	59.2%	39.5%	0.8%	0.5%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>					
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	16	37.5%	62.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	8	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	14	71.4	21.4	7.2	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	506	59.9	39.3	0.6	0.2
All Other	55	60.0	36.4	1.8	1.8
Missing/Refuse	7	42.9	42.9	0.0	14.2
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>					
Yes	21	57.1%	38.1%	0.0%	4.8%
No	585	59.3	39.5	0.9	0.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>					
Female	309	53.7%	45.0%	1.0%	0.3%
Male	298	64.8	33.9	0.7	0.6
Missing/Refuse	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>					
18 – 24 yrs	26	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	53	56.6	43.4	0.0	0.0
35 – 44 yrs	104	50.0	49.0	0.0	1.0
45 – 54 yrs	187	59.4	40.1	0.0	0.5
55 – 64 yrs	135	68.9	29.6	0.7	0.8
65 yrs & up	103	59.2	36.9	3.9	0.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>					
No degree	9	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
High school / GED	95	53.7	42.1	2.1	2.1
1 + yrs college, no degree	160	59.4	39.4	1.2	0.0
Associate's degree	48	58.3	41.7	0.0	0.0
Bachelor's degree	158	58.9	40.5	0.6	0.0
Graduate/professional degree	137	63.5	35.8	0.0	0.7
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>					
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	366	60.9%	38.3%	0.3%	0.5%
Full-time homemaker	24	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
Part-time	39	41.0	59.0	0.0	0.0
Unemployed	23	34.8	65.2	0.0	0.0
Disabled for work	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
In school only	9	55.6	44.4	0.0	0.0
Retired	110	61.8	33.6	3.6	0.8
Other	33	75.8	24.2	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>					
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	433	62.4%	36.3%	0.9%	0.4%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	175	51.4	47.4	0.6	0.6
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Awareness of Possible Sanctions for Gun Possession: Convicted Felons,  
by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Prior to taking this survey, did you know that a person with a prior conviction for a felony crime can be sentenced to federal prison for possessing a firearm?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>608</b>	59.2%	39.5%	0.8%	0.5%
<b>Household Size</b>					
1 – 3 residents	<b>438</b>	58.9%	39.5%	0.9%	0.7%
4 – 6 residents	<b>156</b>	58.3	41.0	0.7	0.0
7 or more residents	<b>11</b>	81.8	18.2	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>3</b>	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>					
Less than \$15,000	<b>14</b>	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>24</b>	79.2	20.8	0.0	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>87</b>	60.9	39.1	0.0	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>79</b>	59.5	39.2	1.3	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>80</b>	50.0	48.8	0.0	1.2
\$80,000 or more	<b>223</b>	63.7	36.3	0.0	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>37</b>	62.2	29.7	5.4	2.7
Missing/Refuse	<b>64</b>	45.3	50.0	3.1	1.6

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Awareness of Possible Sanctions for Gun Possession: Domestic Violence Offenders,  
by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "Prior to taking this survey, did you know that a person with a prior conviction for a crime of domestic violence, or a person who has an active domestic violence order issued to them, can be sentenced to federal prison for possessing a firearm?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>608</b>	38.3%	60.5%	0.7%	0.5%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>					
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	16	31.3%	68.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	8	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	14	42.9	57.1	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	506	38.3	60.7	0.8	0.2
All Other	55	41.8	58.2	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	7	14.3	57.1	0.0	28.6
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>					
Yes	21	38.1%	61.9%	0.0%	0.0%
No	585	38.5	60.5	0.7	0.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>					
Female	309	34.3%	64.7%	0.6%	0.4%
Male	298	42.3	56.4	0.7	0.6
Missing/Refuse	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>					
18 – 24 yrs	26	38.5%	61.5%	0.0%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	53	41.5	58.5	0.0	0.0
35 – 44 yrs	104	37.5	62.5	0.0	0.0
45 – 54 yrs	187	37.4	61.5	0.5	0.6
55 – 64 yrs	135	40.7	58.5	0.0	0.8
65 yrs & up	103	35.9	60.2	2.9	1.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>					
No degree	9	55.6%	44.4%	0.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	95	38.9	57.9	1.1	2.1
1 + yrs college, no degree	160	38.8	60.0	1.2	0.0
Associate's degree	48	37.5	62.5	0.0	0.0
Bachelor's degree	158	38.0	62.0	0.0	0.0
Graduate/professional degree	137	36.5	62.0	0.7	0.8
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>					
Full-time (35 + hrs/wk), outside home	366	40.7%	58.5%	0.5%	0.3%
Full-time homemaker	24	29.2	70.8	0.0	0.0
Part-time	39	41.0	59.0	0.0	0.0
Unemployed	23	21.7	78.3	0.0	0.0
Disabled for work	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0
In school only	9	44.4	55.6	0.0	0.0
Retired	110	33.6	62.7	1.8	1.9
Other	33	39.4	60.6	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>					
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	433	40.0%	58.9%	0.9%	0.2%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	175	34.3	64.6	0.0	1.1
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Awareness of Possible Sanctions for Gun Possession: Domestic Violence Offenders,  
by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Prior to taking this survey, did you know that a person with a prior conviction for a crime of domestic violence, or a person who has an active domestic violence order issued to them, can be sentenced to federal prison for possessing a firearm?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>608</b>	38.3%	60.5%	0.7%	0.5%
<b>Household Size</b>					
1 – 3 residents	<b>438</b>	38.4%	60.5%	0.5%	0.6%
4 – 6 residents	<b>156</b>	39.1	60.3	0.6	0.0
7 or more residents	<b>11</b>	27.3	63.6	9.1	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>3</b>	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>					
Less than \$15,000	<b>14</b>	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>24</b>	41.7	54.2	0.0	4.1
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>87</b>	29.9	70.1	0.0	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>79</b>	36.7	62.0	1.3	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>80</b>	33.8	65.0	0.0	1.2
\$80,000 or more	<b>223</b>	45.3	54.3	0.4	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>37</b>	32.4	62.2	2.7	2.7
Missing/Refuse	<b>64</b>	32.8	65.6	1.6	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.



**Awareness of Deterrence Message: 'Hard Time for Gun Crime,'  
by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "Have you heard the phrase, 'Hard Time for Gun Crime?'"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>608</b>	40.6%	58.4%	0.7%	0.3%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>					
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	16	37.5%	62.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	8	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	14	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	506	41.9	57.3	0.6	0.2
All Other	55	34.5	63.6	1.8	0.0
Missing/Refuse	7	14.3	71.4	0.0	14.3
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>					
Yes	21	42.9%	52.4%	4.8%	0.0%
No	585	40.7	58.5	0.5	0.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>					
Female	309	37.9%	61.2%	0.6%	0.3%
Male	298	43.3	55.7	0.7	0.3
Missing/Refuse	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>					
18 – 24 yrs	26	26.9%	73.1%	0.0%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	53	41.5	54.7	3.8	0.0
35 – 44 yrs	104	35.6	64.4	0.0	0.0
45 – 54 yrs	187	40.6	58.8	0.0	0.6
55 – 64 yrs	135	43.7	54.1	1.5	0.7
65 yrs & up	103	44.7	55.3	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>					
No degree	9	55.6%	44.4%	0.0%	0.0%
High school / GED	95	42.1	55.8	1.1	1.1
1+ yrs college, no degree	160	43.1	56.9	0.0	0.0
Associate's degree	48	54.2	45.8	0.0	0.0
Bachelor's degree	158	31.0	67.1	1.9	0.0
Graduate/professional degree	137	41.6	57.7	0.0	0.7
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>					
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	366	41.3%	57.9%	0.5%	0.3%
Full-time homemaker	24	20.8	75.0	4.2	0.0
Part-time	39	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0
Unemployed	23	43.5	52.2	4.3	0.0
Disabled for work	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0
In school only	9	55.6	44.4	0.0	0.0
Retired	110	46.4	52.7	0.0	0.9
Other	33	30.3	69.7	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>					
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	433	43.6%	55.4%	0.7%	0.3%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	175	33.1	65.7	0.6	0.6
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Awareness of Deterrence Message: 'Hard Time for Gun Crime,'  
by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Have you heard the phrase, 'Hard Time for Gun Crime?'"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>608</b>	40.6%	58.4%	0.7%	0.3%
<b>Household Size</b>					
1 – 3 residents	438	40.9%	58.0%	0.7%	0.4%
4 – 6 residents	156	41.0	58.3	0.7	0.0
7 or more residents	11	27.3	72.7	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>					
Less than \$15,000	14	14.3%	78.6%	7.1%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	24	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	87	48.3	51.7	0.0	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	79	43.0	57.0	0.0	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	80	42.5	55.0	1.3	1.2
\$80,000 or more	223	41.3	58.3	0.4	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	37	35.1	62.2	0.0	2.7
Missing/Refuse	64	34.4	64.1	1.5	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

## **Perceptions of Youth Violence**



# Perceptions of Youth Violence—Introduction & Overview

by Sharon Chamard & Andre Rosay

Recent high-profile violent events involving youth<sup>1</sup> as victims and/or offenders have attracted a good deal of public attention. Coverage of these events by local media outlets, as well as a series of public meetings, have highlighted perceptions by some Anchorage residents' that youth violence is becoming more frequent and escalating in its seriousness. However, until recently, very little information was available to assess the accuracy of this perception, or the extent to which it is shared by the general population of Anchorage.

In order to provide at least an initial description of Anchorage residents' perceptions of youth violence, the youth violence addendum was designed for inclusion in the 2005 version of the *Anchorage Community Survey*. This youth violence survey represents yet another step in our ongoing efforts to develop a comprehensive picture of youth violence in Anchorage.<sup>2</sup> The items in this survey measured the degree to which Anchorage residents view youth violence as a salient public safety issue. This study sought to provide scientifically-sound answers to these specific questions: Are Anchorage residents concerned and fearful about youth violence? If so, is this concern as great as local media organizations seem to suggest? Do Anchorage residents perceive an increase in the level and seriousness of youth violence in Anchorage? What are the attitudes of Anchorage residents with respect to sanctions for juvenile offenders? How do individual and community-level factors influence residents' perceptions of youth violence?

A module containing eight survey questions was developed in order to provide information useful in answering these questions.

The dimensions explored across these eight items were:

- Adults' fear of youth violence;
- Adults' perceptions of disrespect demonstrated by youth;
- Adults' feelings of intimidation by youth;
- Adults' perceptions of the level of youth violence in Anchorage;
- Adults' definition of youth violence as a problem; and
- Adults' attitudes about the severity of formal sanctions for delinquency.

---

<sup>1</sup> "Youth" is defined as people less than 18 years of age.

<sup>2</sup> In order to better understand the nature and extent of youth violence in the city, in 2004 the Justice Center began a study of police files in which juveniles were identified as either suspects or arrestees in violent and serious property incidents.



**Perceptions of Youth<sup>a</sup> Violence, by Select Demographics Characteristics**

Question: "Youth in your neighborhood are generally disrespectful toward adults."

*"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>b,c</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	3.0%	11.7%	7.6%	51.8%	22.1%	3.2%	0.6%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>								
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	27	7.4%	14.8%	0.0%	55.6%	18.5%	3.7%	0.0%
Asian (only)	15	0.0	20.0	0.0	46.7	26.7	6.7	0.0
Black/African American (only)	13	0.0	23.1	7.7	53.8	15.4	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	478	2.9	10.7	8.4	52.3	21.8	3.3	0.6
Other	47	4.3	17.0	4.3	44.7	27.7	2.1	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	0.0	7.7	15.4	53.8	23.1	0.0	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>								
Yes	15	0.0%	26.7%	6.7%	40.0%	26.6%	0.0%	0.0%
No	576	3.1	11.3	7.5	52.1	22.2	3.3	0.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	5	0.0	20.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	333	1.5%	12.0%	6.9%	49.2%	26.4%	3.0%	1.0%
Male	261	5.0	11.5	8.4	55.2	16.5	3.4	0.0
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>								
18 – 24 yrs	27	3.7%	18.5%	3.7%	70.4%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	75	8.0	21.3	8.0	45.3	14.7	1.3	1.3
35 – 44 yrs	87	2.3	9.2	5.7	59.8	19.5	2.3	1.1
45 – 54 yrs	160	3.1	8.8	9.4	48.8	28.1	1.9	0.0
55 – 64 yrs	135	0.7	11.1	8.1	51.9	22.2	5.9	0.0
65 yrs & up	112	2.7	10.7	6.3	50.0	25.0	4.5	0.9
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>								
No degree	9	0.0%	11.1%	11.1%	44.4%	11.1%	22.3%	0.0%
High school/GED	89	7.9	18.0	2.2	58.4	12.4	1.1	0.0
1+ yrs college, no degree	153	3.3	15.7	8.5	48.4	19.6	3.9	0.7
Associate's degree	49	0.0	14.3	16.3	53.1	8.2	8.2	0.0
Bachelor's degree	151	0.0	7.3	8.6	55.6	27.2	1.3	0.0
Graduate/professional degree	142	4.2	7.0	5.6	47.9	31.0	2.8	1.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>								
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	333	3.0%	10.5%	8.4%	52.3%	21.9%	3.6%	0.3%
Full-time homemaker	38	5.3	15.8	10.5	39.5	28.9	0.0	0.0
Part-time	39	5.1	12.8	7.7	46.2	25.6	0.0	2.6
Unemployed	26	7.7	0.0	11.5	61.5	19.2	0.0	0.0
Disabled for work	10	0.0	20.0	10.0	50.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
In school only	5	0.0	60.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Retired	118	0.8	14.4	5.1	47.5	25.4	5.9	0.8
Other	26	3.8	7.7	0.0	84.6	3.8	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>								
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	459	2.2%	10.9%	7.6%	51.9%	24.0%	3.1%	0.3%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	136	5.9	14.7	7.4	51.5	16.2	3.7	0.7
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'Youth' operationally defined as a person less than 18 years of age.

b. 'N' = Number of respondents.

c. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Youth<sup>a</sup> Violence, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Youth in your neighborhood are generally disrespectful toward adults."

*"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>b,c</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	3.0%	11.7%	7.6%	51.8%	22.1%	3.2%	0.6%
<b>Household Size</b>								
1 – 3 residents	<b>419</b>	2.6%	10.5%	8.4%	53.7%	21.2%	3.1%	0.5%
4 – 6 residents	<b>159</b>	4.4	14.5	5.0	47.8	25.2	2.5	0.6
7 or more residents	<b>14</b>	0.0	21.4	14.3	50.0	7.1	7.2	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>4</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	25.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>								
Less than \$15,000	<b>21</b>	9.5%	28.6%	4.8%	38.1%	19.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>22</b>	0.0	22.7	4.5	50.0	9.1	9.1	4.5
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>96</b>	4.2	20.8	9.4	45.8	14.6	5.2	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>60</b>	1.7	11.7	11.7	50.0	18.3	3.3	3.3
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>94</b>	3.2	16.0	7.4	50.0	22.3	1.1	0.0
\$80,000 or more	<b>217</b>	1.4	4.6	7.4	56.2	27.6	2.8	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>27</b>	7.4	11.1	3.7	51.9	22.2	3.7	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>59</b>	5.1	6.8	5.1	55.9	23.7	3.4	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'Youth' operationally defined as a person less than 18 years of age.

b. 'N' = Number of respondents.

c. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.



**Perceptions of Youth<sup>a</sup> Violence, by Select Demographics Characteristics**

Question: "Youth who hang out on streets in Anchorage are intimidating."

*"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>b,c</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	5.4%	28.9%	11.7%	42.6%	6.5%	3.5%	1.4%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>								
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	27	0.0%	37.0%	7.4%	33.3%	11.1%	7.4%	3.8%
Asian (only)	15	0.0	20.0	6.7	46.7	26.7	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	13	7.7	46.2	0.0	38.5	7.7	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	3	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	478	5.9	28.9	12.6	43.3	5.0	3.6	0.8
All Other	47	4.3	23.4	6.4	44.7	10.6	4.3	6.4
Missing/Refuse	13	7.7	15.4	30.8	30.8	15.4	0.0	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>								
Yes	15	0.0%	46.7%	6.7%	33.3%	6.7%	6.6%	0.0%
No	576	5.6	28.6	11.5	43.1	6.4	3.5	1.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	333	6.9%	32.7%	12.9%	38.1%	4.5%	3.3%	1.6%
Male	261	3.4	24.1	10.0	48.3	9.2	3.8	1.1
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>								
18 – 24 yrs	27	3.7%	11.1%	11.1%	70.4%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	75	5.3	24.0	12.0	48.0	8.0	1.3	1.3
35 – 44 yrs	87	2.3	28.7	12.6	44.8	8.0	2.3	1.1
45 – 54 yrs	160	5.0	31.3	16.9	37.5	7.5	1.3	0.6
55 – 64 yrs	135	9.6	30.4	6.7	43.7	4.4	3.7	1.5
65 yrs & up	112	3.6	31.3	9.8	36.6	6.3	9.8	2.7
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>								
No degree	9	0.0%	22.2%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	11.2%
High school/GED	89	10.1	33.7	9.0	42.7	2.2	2.2	0.0
1 + yrs college, no degree	153	6.5	27.5	7.2	49.0	6.5	2.6	0.7
Associate's degree	49	8.2	36.7	16.3	30.6	4.1	2.0	2.0
Bachelor's degree	151	4.0	30.5	15.9	39.1	7.3	2.6	0.7
Graduate/professional degree	142	2.1	22.5	13.4	44.4	9.9	4.9	2.8
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>								
Full-time (35 + hrs/wk), outside home	333	3.9%	29.1%	13.5%	42.3%	7.5%	2.4%	1.3%
Full-time homemaker	38	15.8	31.6	15.8	28.9	2.6	5.3	0.0
Part-time	39	2.6	41.0	12.8	38.5	0.0	2.6	2.6
Unemployed	26	11.5	26.9	7.7	46.2	7.7	0.0	0.0
Disabled for work	10	10.0	10.0	10.0	50.0	10.0	0.0	10.0
In school only	5	0.0	60.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Retired	118	4.2	24.6	7.6	45.8	8.5	7.6	1.7
Other	26	11.5	23.1	7.7	53.8	0.0	3.8	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>								
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	459	6.3%	29.2%	12.9%	40.5%	5.9%	3.9%	1.3%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	136	2.2	27.2	8.1	50.0	8.8	2.2	1.5
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'Youth' operationally defined as a person less than 18 years of age.

b. 'N' = Number of respondents.

c. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Youth<sup>a</sup> Violence, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Youth who hang out on streets in Anchorage are intimidating."

"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>b,c</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	5.4%	28.9%	11.7%	42.6%	6.5%	3.5%	1.4%
<b>Household Size</b>								
1 – 3 residents	419	5.3%	27.4%	11.0%	43.0%	7.6%	4.1%	1.6%
4 – 6 residents	159	5.7	32.1	11.9	42.8	4.4	2.5	0.6
7 or more residents	14	7.1	28.6	28.6	35.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	50.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>								
Less than \$15,000	21	0.0%	14.3%	9.5%	61.9%	4.8%	4.8%	4.7%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	22	13.6	27.3	9.1	40.9	0.0	4.5	4.6
\$25,000 – \$49,999	96	4.2	29.2	10.4	44.8	7.3	4.1	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	60	6.7	21.7	15.0	41.7	8.3	1.7	4.9
\$60,000 – \$79,999	94	3.2	33.0	9.6	42.6	7.4	3.2	1.0
\$80,000 or more	217	6.5	31.3	12.4	39.6	6.9	3.3	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	27	0.0	29.6	11.1	51.9	0.0	7.4	0.0
Missing/Refuse	59	6.8	25.4	13.6	40.7	6.8	3.4	3.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'Youth' operationally defined as a person less than 18 years of age.

b. 'N' = Number of respondents.

c. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Youth<sup>a</sup> Violence, by Select Demographics Characteristics**

Question: "In general, people tend to think there is more youth violence in Anchorage than there really is."

*"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>b,c</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>41.1%</b>	<b>8.1%</b>	<b>30.0%</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>								
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	27	3.7%	29.6%	7.4%	37.0%	0.0%	18.5%	3.8%
Asian (only)	15	0.0	40.0	6.7	20.0	6.7	26.6	0.0
Black/African American (only)	13	0.0	61.5	0.0	15.4	15.4	7.7	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	478	5.4	41.4	8.8	30.3	1.7	11.5	0.9
All Other	47	4.3	38.3	0.0	31.9	8.5	10.6	6.4
Missing/Refuse	13	0.0	30.8	23.1	30.8	7.7	7.6	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>								
Yes	15	0.0%	26.7%	6.7%	46.7%	6.7%	6.7%	6.5%
No	576	5.0	41.5	8.2	29.3	2.6	12.2	1.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	5	0.0	40.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	333	3.9%	41.4%	8.1%	32.1%	1.8%	11.1%	1.6%
Male	261	6.1	41.0	8.0	27.2	3.8	12.6	1.3
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>								
18 – 24 yrs	27	3.7%	55.6%	7.4%	25.9%	0.0%	7.4%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	75	1.3	38.7	9.3	36.0	5.3	8.0	1.4
35 – 44 yrs	87	4.6	36.8	6.9	35.6	1.1	13.8	1.2
45 – 54 yrs	160	5.0	41.9	12.5	27.5	2.5	10.0	0.6
55 – 64 yrs	135	8.9	39.3	5.2	27.4	4.4	13.3	1.5
65 yrs & up	112	2.7	43.8	5.4	29.5	0.9	15.2	2.5
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>								
No degree	9	0.0%	55.6%	0.0%	22.2%	0.0%	11.1%	11.1%
High school/GED	89	1.1	38.2	4.5	47.2	0.0	7.9	1.1
1+ yrs college, no degree	153	3.3	47.1	11.1	22.2	5.2	10.5	0.8
Associate's degree	49	14.3	26.5	14.3	26.5	0.0	16.3	2.1
Bachelor's degree	151	3.3	41.7	7.3	33.1	2.0	11.3	1.3
Graduate/professional degree	142	7.7	40.1	6.3	25.4	3.5	15.5	1.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>								
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	333	5.4%	42.0%	9.0%	29.7%	3.6%	9.6%	0.7%
Full-time homemaker	38	2.6	50.0	5.3	23.7	2.6	15.8	0.0
Part-time	39	23.1	15.4	0.0	33.3	2.6	23.1	2.5
Unemployed	26	3.8	46.2	3.8	38.5	3.8	3.9	0.0
Disabled for work	10	20.0	30.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	10.0	10.0
In school only	5	0.0	60.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Retired	118	5.1	40.7	5.1	29.7	0.8	15.3	3.3
Other	26	3.8	42.3	7.7	30.8	0.0	15.4	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>								
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	459	5.4%	41.6%	7.6%	30.1%	2.8%	11.1%	1.4%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	136	2.9	39.7	9.6	29.4	2.2	14.7	1.5
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'Youth' operationally defined as *a person less than 18 years of age*.

b. 'N' = Number of respondents.

c. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Youth<sup>a</sup> Violence, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "In general, people tend to think there is more youth violence in Anchorage than there really is."

"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>b,c</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>41.1%</b>	<b>8.1%</b>	<b>30.0%</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>
<b>Household Size</b>								
1 – 3 residents	<b>419</b>	4.8%	41.1%	7.6%	30.1%	3.1%	11.9%	1.4%
4 – 6 residents	<b>159</b>	5.7	40.3	9.4	31.4	1.9	10.1	1.2
7 or more residents	<b>14</b>	0.0	50.0	7.1	14.3	0.0	28.6	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>4</b>	0.0	50.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>								
Less than \$15,000	<b>21</b>	4.8%	47.6%	0.0%	38.1%	0.0%	4.8%	4.7%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>22</b>	4.5	36.4	9.1	22.7	4.5	13.6	9.2
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>96</b>	1.0	38.5	6.3	34.4	3.1	16.7	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>60</b>	6.7	41.7	6.7	20.0	3.3	16.7	4.9
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>94</b>	5.3	38.3	14.9	33.0	3.2	5.3	0.0
\$80,000 or more	<b>217</b>	6.9	44.7	6.9	28.6	2.3	10.1	0.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>27</b>	3.7	37.0	11.1	33.3	0.0	14.9	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>59</b>	1.7	37.3	6.8	32.2	3.4	16.9	1.7

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'Youth' operationally defined as a person less than 18 years of age.

b. 'N' = Number of respondents.

c. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Youth<sup>a</sup> Violence, by Select Demographics Characteristics**

Question: "Compared to this time last year, there are fewer acts of street violence committed by youth in Anchorage."

*"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>b,c</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	1.0%	24.2%	16.8%	19.0%	1.7%	36.6%	0.7%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>								
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	27	7.4%	14.8%	14.8%	29.6%	0.0%	33.4%	0.0%
Asian (only)	15	0.0	46.7	20.0	13.3	0.0	20.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	13	0.0	46.2	0.0	15.4	7.7	30.8	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	3	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	478	0.8	24.1	16.9	17.8	1.3	38.1	1.0
All Other	47	0.0	19.1	14.9	29.8	4.3	31.9	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	0.0	7.7	38.5	7.7	7.7	38.5	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>								
Yes	15	0.0%	13.3%	33.3%	40.0%	0.0%	13.4%	0.0%
No	576	1.0	24.7	16.1	18.6	1.7	37.0	0.9
Don't Know/Not Applicable	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	333	1.2%	22.5%	18.9%	18.6%	1.2%	36.3%	1.3%
Male	261	0.8	26.4	14.2	19.5	2.3	36.4	0.4
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>								
18 – 24 yrs	27	0.0%	18.5%	18.5%	18.5%	3.7%	40.8%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	75	1.3	21.3	22.7	16.0	4.0	33.3	1.3
35 – 44 yrs	87	2.3	28.7	16.1	16.1	0.0	35.6	1.1
45 – 54 yrs	160	0.0	19.4	23.8	20.6	1.9	34.4	0.0
55 – 64 yrs	135	2.2	28.9	12.6	17.8	0.0	37.8	0.7
65 yrs & up	112	0.0	25.0	8.0	22.3	2.7	40.2	1.8
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>								
No degree	9	0.0%	22.2%	0.0%	22.2%	0.0%	55.6%	0.0%
High school/GED	89	1.1	28.1	15.7	23.6	1.1	30.3	0.0
1+ yrs college, no degree	153	0.7	23.5	13.7	20.3	4.6	35.9	1.3
Associate's degree	49	2.0	30.6	28.6	20.4	0.0	18.4	0.0
Bachelor's degree	151	1.3	23.2	19.9	15.2	0.7	39.7	0.0
Graduate/professional degree	142	0.7	21.8	14.8	16.9	0.7	43.0	2.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>								
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	333	0.6%	23.7%	19.2%	18.3%	2.4%	35.1%	0.7%
Full-time homemaker	38	0.0	15.8	18.4	18.4	0.0	47.4	0.0
Part-time	39	2.6	15.4	23.1	12.8	0.0	43.6	2.6
Unemployed	26	7.7	11.5	19.2	26.9	3.8	30.8	0.0
Disabled for work	10	0.0	60.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
In school only	5	0.0	20.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
Retired	118	0.8	28.0	6.8	22.9	0.8	39.0	1.7
Other	26	0.0	38.5	7.7	15.4	0.0	38.5	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>								
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	459	0.9%	24.0%	16.6%	18.7%	1.7%	37.5%	0.6%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	136	1.5	25.0	17.6	19.1	1.5	33.8	1.5
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'Youth' is operationally defined as a person less than 18 years of age.

b. 'N' = Number of respondents.

c. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Youth<sup>a</sup> Violence, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Compared to this time last year, there are fewer acts of street violence committed by youth in Anchorage."

*"Would you say you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with this statement?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>b,c</sup>						
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	1.0%	24.2%	16.8%	19.0%	1.7%	36.6%	0.7%
<b>Household Size</b>								
1 – 3 residents	419	0.7%	23.6%	16.7%	19.6%	1.7%	37.0%	0.7%
4 – 6 residents	159	1.9	25.2	18.2	17.0	1.9	34.6	1.2
7 or more residents	14	0.0	28.6	7.1	14.3	0.0	50.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	25.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>								
Less than \$15,000	21	0.0%	38.1%	19.0%	14.3%	0.0%	28.6%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	22	4.5	18.2	22.7	27.3	0.0	22.7	4.6
\$25,000 – \$49,999	96	2.1	25.0	9.4	24.0	2.1	36.5	1.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	60	1.7	30.0	13.3	10.0	3.3	38.3	3.4
\$60,000 – \$79,999	94	0.0	25.5	22.3	19.1	1.1	30.9	1.1
\$80,000 or more	217	0.5	23.0	18.9	18.0	2.3	37.3	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	27	3.7	11.1	22.2	22.2	0.0	40.7	0.0
Missing/Refuse	59	0.0	22.0	10.2	20.3	0.0	47.5	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'Youth' operationally defined as *a person less than 18 years of age*.

b. 'N' = Number of respondents.

c. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Youth<sup>a</sup> Violence, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "In general, would you say there is MORE or LESS youth violence in your neighborhood than there was at this time one year ago?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>b,c</sup>				
		More	Same Amount	Less	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	6.7%	54.7%	25.3%	10.7%	2.6%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>						
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	27	11.1%	33.3%	37.0%	14.8%	3.8%
Asian (only)	15	13.3	33.3	40.0	13.4	0.0
Black/African American (only)	13	15.4	7.7	76.9	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	3	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	478	5.9	57.1	23.6	10.7	2.7
All Other	47	6.4	59.6	19.1	12.8	2.1
Missing/Refuse	13	15.4	76.9	0.0	7.7	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>						
Yes	15	0.0%	46.7%	40.0%	6.7%	6.6%
No	576	6.8	54.7	25.2	10.9	2.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	5	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>						
Female	333	6.3%	55.0%	26.1%	9.3%	3.3%
Male	261	7.3	54.4	24.1	12.6	1.6
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>						
18 – 24 yrs	27	11.1%	44.4%	40.7%	3.8%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	75	6.7	52.0	24.0	13.3	4.0
35 – 44 yrs	87	10.3	48.3	28.7	11.5	1.2
45 – 54 yrs	160	6.9	60.0	20.6	9.4	3.1
55 – 64 yrs	135	7.4	51.1	27.4	12.6	1.5
65 yrs & up	112	1.8	60.7	24.1	9.8	3.6
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>						
No degree	9	0.0%	55.6%	22.2%	22.2%	0.0%
High school/GED	89	6.7	48.3	32.6	11.2	1.2
1+ yrs college, no degree	153	9.2	51.6	26.1	8.5	4.6
Associate's degree	49	8.2	44.9	28.6	16.3	2.0
Bachelor's degree	151	6.6	64.2	19.9	7.9	1.4
Graduate/professional degree	142	4.2	55.6	25.4	12.7	2.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0
<b>Primary work status</b>						
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	333	7.5%	54.1%	23.1%	12.6%	2.7%
Full-time homemaker	38	10.5	63.2	23.7	2.6	0.0
Part-time	39	5.1	64.1	17.9	10.3	2.6
Unemployed	26	15.4	42.3	38.5	3.8	0.0
Disabled for work	10	0.0	40.0	40.0	10.0	10.0
In school only	5	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	0.0
Retired	118	3.4	55.9	28.0	9.3	3.4
Other	26	3.8	53.8	30.8	11.6	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>						
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	459	7.2%	56.6%	25.3%	8.7%	2.2%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	136	5.1	47.8	25.7	17.6	3.8
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'Youth' is operationally defined as a person less than 18 years of age.

b. "N" = Number of respondents.

c. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Youth<sup>a</sup> Violence, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "In general, would you say there is MORE or LESS youth violence in your neighborhood than there was at this time one year ago?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>b,c</sup>				
		More	Same Amount	Less	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	<b>6.7 %</b>	<b>54.7%</b>	<b>25.3%</b>	<b>10.7%</b>	<b>2.6%</b>
<b>Household Size</b>						
1 – 3 residents	<b>419</b>	5.0%	55.8%	24.1%	12.2%	2.9%
4 – 6 residents	<b>159</b>	11.3	51.6	27.0	8.2	1.9
7 or more residents	<b>14</b>	7.1	42.9	50.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>4</b>	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>						
Less than \$15,000	<b>21</b>	4.8%	28.6%	47.6%	14.3%	4.7%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>22</b>	0.0	45.5	36.4	13.6	4.5
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>96</b>	5.2	51.0	31.3	9.4	3.1
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>60</b>	3.3	51.7	28.3	11.7	5.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>94</b>	7.4	61.7	13.8	11.7	5.4
\$80,000 or more	<b>217</b>	9.2	59.0	22.1	9.2	0.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>27</b>	7.4	48.1	22.2	22.3	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>59</b>	5.1	52.5	32.2	8.5	1.7

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – 14 February, 2005.

a. 'Youth' is operationally defined as a person less than 18 years of age.

b. "N" = Number of respondents.

c. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.



**Perceptions of Youth<sup>a</sup> Violence, by Select Demographics Characteristics**

Question: "Please tell me how much concern you, yourself, have of being victimized by someone under the age of 18."  
 "A great deal, quite a lot, some, very little, or none?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>b,c</sup>						
		A Great Deal	Quite A Lot	Some	Very Little	None	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	3.0%	2.3%	17.6%	48.0%	27.5%	0.7%	0.9%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>								
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	27	3.7%	3.7%	18.5%	44.4%	29.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	15	26.7	0.0	13.3	26.7	33.3	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	13	15.4	0.0	7.7	38.5	38.5	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	33.3	33.4	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	478	1.3	2.3	18.0	50.2	26.4	0.8	1.0
All Other	47	6.4	2.1	17.0	40.4	34.1	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	15.4	7.7	15.4	38.5	23.1	0.0	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>								
Yes	15	6.7%	0.0%	26.7%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
No	576	3.0	2.4	17.2	48.4	27.4	0.7	0.9
Don't Know/Not Applicable	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	333	3.6%	3.0%	17.7%	48.3%	25.2%	0.6%	1.6%
Male	261	2.3	1.1	17.6	47.5	30.7	0.8	0.0
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>								
18 – 24 yrs	27	3.7%	0.0%	11.1%	40.7%	44.5%	0.0%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	75	4.0	2.7	16.0	45.3	30.7	0.0	1.3
35 – 44 yrs	87	4.6	1.1	14.9	47.1	31.0	0.0	1.1
45 – 54 yrs	160	1.3	1.9	18.1	57.5	20.6	0.6	0.0
55 – 64 yrs	135	2.2	3.0	18.5	44.4	30.4	0.0	1.5
65 yrs & up	112	4.5	3.6	20.5	42.9	25.0	2.7	0.9
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>								
No degree	9	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	33.3%	44.4%	11.2%	0.0%
High school/GED	89	4.5	2.2	18.0	47.2	28.1	0.0	0.0
1+ yrs college, no degree	153	2.0	2.0	21.6	41.8	30.7	0.0	1.9
Associate's degree	49	8.2	6.1	10.2	49.0	26.5	0.0	0.0
Bachelor's degree	151	2.0	2.0	17.9	53.6	24.5	0.0	0.0
Graduate/professional degree	142	2.1	2.1	15.5	50.0	26.8	2.1	1.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	2	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>								
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	333	3.0%	1.8%	16.8%	49.2%	27.9%	0.3%	1.0%
Full-time homemaker	38	2.6	2.6	18.4	47.4	28.9	0.0	0.0
Part-time	39	0.0	0.0	20.5	59.0	17.9	0.0	2.6
Unemployed	26	11.5	7.7	15.4	53.8	11.5	0.0	0.0
Disabled for work	10	0.0	0.0	10.0	20.0	60.0	10.0	0.0
In school only	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Retired	118	1.7	4.2	18.6	44.9	28.0	1.7	0.9
Other	26	3.8	0.0	15.4	38.5	42.3	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>								
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	459	2.8%	2.6%	17.9%	48.6%	26.8%	0.9%	0.4%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	136	2.9	1.5	16.9	46.3	30.1	0.0	2.2
Missing/Refuse	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'Youth' is operationally defined as a person less than 18 years of age.

b. 'N' = Number of respondents.

c. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Youth<sup>a</sup> Violence, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Please tell me how much concern you, yourself, have of being victimized by someone under the age of 18."  
 "A great deal, quite a lot, some, very little, or none?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>b,c</sup>						
		A Great Deal	Quite A Lot	Some	Very Little	None	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	3.0%	2.3%	17.6%	48.0%	27.5%	0.7%	0.9%
<b>Household Size</b>								
1 – 3 residents	419	2.6%	2.1%	18.6%	48.2%	27.0%	1.0%	0.5%
4 – 6 residents	159	3.8	1.9	15.7	47.2	29.6	0.0	1.8
7 or more residents	14	0.0	7.1	7.1	57.1	28.7	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	4	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>								
Less than \$15,000	21	4.8%	0.0%	19.0%	38.1%	38.1%	0.0%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	22	4.5	0.0	18.2	27.3	45.5	0.0	4.5
\$25,000 – \$49,999	96	5.2	3.1	22.9	39.6	29.2	0.0	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	60	3.3	3.3	11.7	48.3	28.3	1.7	3.4
\$60,000 – \$79,999	94	2.1	1.1	21.3	51.1	22.3	0.0	2.1
\$80,000 or more	217	1.4	2.8	15.2	55.3	24.9	0.4	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	27	0.0	3.7	3.7	48.1	37.0	7.5	0.0
Missing/Refuse	59	6.8	1.7	23.7	40.7	27.1	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'Youth' is operationally defined as *a person less than 18 years of age*.

b. 'N' = Number of respondents.

c. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Youth<sup>a</sup> Violence, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Evaluation criterion: "How much of a problem would you say youth violence is in your neighborhood?"

*"A very big problem, a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem at all?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>b,c</sup>					
		Very Big Problem	A Big Problem	Somewhat Of A Problem	Not A Problem At All	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	1.0%	1.3%	27.7%	68.3%	0.7%	1.0%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	27	3.7%	22.2%	70.4%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%
Asian (only)	15	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	13	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	478	1.0	1.0	26.8	69.2	0.6	1.4
All Other	47	2.1	4.3	31.9	61.7	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	0.0	0.0	61.5	38.5	0.0	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	15	0.0%	0.0%	26.7%	73.3%	0.0%	0.0%
No	576	1.0	1.4	27.4	68.4	0.7	1.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	333	0.6%	0.6%	32.4%	64.6%	0.3%	1.5%
Male	261	1.5	2.3	21.5	73.2	1.1	0.4
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	27	0.0%	0.0%	22.2%	77.8%	0.0%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	75	1.3	4.0	26.7	65.3	1.3	1.3
35 – 44 yrs	87	0.0	1.1	35.6	60.9	1.1	1.3
45 – 54 yrs	160	1.9	1.3	29.4	66.9	0.6	0.0
55 – 64 yrs	135	0.7	0.7	29.6	66.7	0.7	1.6
65 yrs & up	112	0.9	0.9	18.8	77.7	0.0	1.8
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	9	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	88.9%	0.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	89	1.1	1.1	24.7	71.9	1.2	0.0
1+ yrs college, no degree	153	0.7	2.6	32.7	61.4	0.0	2.6
Associate's degree	49	4.1	0.0	22.4	73.5	0.0	0.0
Bachelor's degree	151	0.7	0.0	30.5	68.2	0.6	0.0
Graduate/professional degree	142	0.7	2.1	23.9	70.4	1.4	1.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	333	0.9%	1.2%	30.3%	65.8%	0.9%	0.9%
Full-time homemaker	38	0.0	0.0	42.1	57.9	0.0	0.0
Part-time	39	0.0	0.0	28.2	69.2	0.0	2.6
Unemployed	26	7.7	7.7	23.1	61.5	0.0	0.0
Disabled for work	10	0.0	0.0	20.0	70.0	10.0	0.0
In school only	5	0.0	20.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
Retired	118	0.8	0.8	15.3	82.2	0.0	0.8
Other	26	0.0	0.0	30.8	65.4	0.0	3.8
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	459	1.1%	1.3%	27.2%	69.3%	0.4%	0.7%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	136	0.7	1.5	28.7	65.4	1.5	2.2
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'Youth' operationally defined as *a person under the age of 18*.

b. "N" = Number of respondents.

c. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Youth<sup>a</sup> Violence, by Select Household Characteristics**

Evaluation criterion: "How much of a problem would you say youth violence is in your neighborhood?"

*"A very big problem, a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem at all?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>b,c</sup>					
		Very Big Problem	A Big Problem	Somewhat Of A Problem	Not A Problem At All	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	1.0%	1.3%	27.7%	68.3%	0.7%	1.0%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	419	1.4%	1.4%	25.5%	70.4%	0.5%	0.8%
4 – 6 residents	159	0.0	1.3	30.8	64.8	1.3	1.8
7 or more residents	14	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	21	0.0%	4.8%	23.8%	66.7%	0.0%	4.7%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	22	0.0	4.5	27.3	63.6	0.0	4.5
\$25,000 – \$49,999	96	2.1	0.0	33.3	64.6	0.0	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	60	0.0	3.3	23.3	68.3	1.7	3.4
\$60,000 – \$79,999	94	1.1	0.0	31.9	64.9	0.0	2.1
\$80,000 or more	217	0.9	1.8	23.5	72.4	1.4	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	27	3.7	0.0	25.9	70.4	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	59	0.0	0.0	33.9	66.1	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'Youth' operationally defined as *a person under the age of 18*.

b. "N" = Number of respondents.

c. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Youth<sup>a</sup> Violence, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "In general, do you think the juvenile justice system deals too harshly or not harshly enough with delinquents?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>b,c</sup>				
		Not Harshly Enough	Just Right	Too Harshly	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	51.8%	18.0%	6.4%	21.8%	2.0%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>						
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	27	59.3%	7.4%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%
Asian (only)	15	33.3	33.3	20.0	13.4	0.0
Black/African American (only)	13	53.8	38.5	0.0	7.7	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	478	51.7	18.8	6.1	20.9	2.5
All Other	47	48.9	8.5	10.6	32.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	61.5	7.7	7.7	23.1	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>						
Yes	15	60.0%	0.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%
No	576	51.4	18.6	5.9	22.0	2.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	5	80.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>						
Female	333	50.8%	18.9%	5.7%	22.2%	2.4%
Male	261	53.6	16.5	7.3	21.1	1.5
Missing/Refuse	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>						
18 – 24 yrs	27	63.0%	3.7%	11.1%	22.2%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	75	57.3	10.7	13.3	16.0	2.7
35 – 44 yrs	87	56.3	14.9	3.4	24.1	1.1
45 – 54 yrs	160	48.1	25.6	5.0	18.8	2.5
55 – 64 yrs	135	52.6	17.0	5.9	23.0	1.5
65 yrs & up	112	46.4	18.8	5.4	26.8	2.6
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>						
No degree	9	44.4%	11.1%	22.2%	22.3%	0.0%
High school/GED	89	65.2	18.0	4.5	12.4	0.0
1+ yrs college, no degree	153	56.9	12.4	5.9	20.3	4.5
Associate's degree	49	61.2	12.2	4.1	22.4	0.0
Bachelor's degree	151	49.0	21.2	4.6	23.8	1.4
Graduate/professional degree	142	37.3	23.2	9.9	27.5	2.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>						
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	333	55.3%	17.1%	5.4%	19.8%	2.4%
Full-time homemaker	38	42.1	13.2	10.5	34.2	0.0
Part-time	39	51.3	23.1	7.7	15.4	2.6
Unemployed	26	61.5	11.5	7.7	19.2	0.0
Disabled for work	10	40.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
In school only	5	40.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
Retired	118	44.1	20.3	6.8	26.3	2.5
Other	26	53.8	15.4	3.8	27.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>						
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	459	51.2%	19.6%	5.0%	22.4%	1.8%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	136	53.7	12.5	11.0	19.9	2.9
Missing/Refuse	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'Youth' operationally defined as a person under the age of 18.

b. "N" = Number of respondents.

c. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Youth<sup>a</sup> Violence, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "In general, do you think the juvenile justice system deals too harshly or not harshly enough with delinquents?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>b,c</sup>				
		Not Harshly Enough	Just Right	Too Harshly	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	51.8%	18.0%	6.4%	21.8%	2.0%
<b>Household Size</b>						
1 – 3 residents	<b>419</b>	50.8%	16.7%	6.9%	23.6%	2.0%
4 – 6 residents	<b>159</b>	52.2	21.4	5.0	18.9	2.5
7 or more residents	<b>14</b>	78.6	7.1	7.1	7.2	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>4</b>	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>						
Less than \$15,000	<b>21</b>	38.1%	19.0%	9.5%	33.4	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>22</b>	54.5	13.6	0.0	27.3	4.6
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>96</b>	55.2	10.4	5.2	26.0	3.2
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>60</b>	50.0	15.0	18.3	11.7	5.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>94</b>	48.9	18.1	6.4	23.4	3.2
\$80,000 or more	<b>217</b>	53.9	21.7	4.6	19.4	0.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>27</b>	48.1	18.5	3.7	29.7	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>59</b>	50.8	20.3	5.1	22.0	1.8

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'Youth' operationally defined as *a person under the age of 18*.

b. "N" = Number of respondents.

c. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

## **Municipal Spending & Services**





## Municipal Spending and Services—An Introduction

At its core, municipal government is concerned with providing services and exploring ways of acquiring, and then allocating, resources to provide those services. At the time of the survey two issues were of particular concern and were subsequently selected for examination in the *Anchorage Community Survey, 2005*: the level of support for an alcoholic beverage tax to fund city services, particularly public safety; and the level of support for alternative ways of funding parks and recreation services and facilities.

These issues were explored in a five-question sequence addressing:

- Public satisfaction with municipal services;
- Level of support for an alcoholic beverage tax; and
- Level of support for alternative means of funding parks and recreation services and programs.

In addition to municipal spending issues, the survey also asked respondents about their experiences with various municipal services in order to place their previous responses in a service-use context. Specifically, respondents were presented with five questions asking them to indicate if they:

- Had used emergency medical services in the preceding 12 months;
- Had used the People Mover bus system in the preceding 12 months; and
- Had, at the time of the survey, any children enrolled in the Anchorage School District.



**Perception of Local 'Tax Efficiency,' by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "In general, would you, yourself, say that Anchorage taxpayers get their money's worth when it comes to municipal services?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>603</b>	57.0%	36.7%	4.5%	1.8%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>					
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	27	40.7%	48.1%	7.4%	3.8%
Asian (only)	7	85.7	14.3	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	13	38.5	53.8	7.7	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	4	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	490	60.4	33.7	4.3	1.6
All Other	49	38.8	55.1	2.0	4.1
Missing/Refuse	13	53.8	38.5	7.7	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>					
Yes	17	47.1%	41.2%	5.9%	5.8%
No	580	57.8	36.0	4.5	1.7
Don't Know/Not Applicable	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>					
Female	338	55.3%	37.0%	5.6%	2.1%
Male	264	59.5	36.0	3.0	1.5
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>					
18 – 24 yrs	17	41.2%	35.3%	23.5%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	63	52.4	41.3	4.8	1.5
35 – 44 yrs	109	56.0	40.4	3.6	0.0
45 – 54 yrs	199	57.8	37.7	1.5	3.0
55 – 64 yrs	118	53.4	36.4	6.8	3.4
65 yrs & up	97	67.0	27.8	5.2	0.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>					
No degree	1	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
High school / GED	97	44.3	46.4	8.2	1.1
1+ yrs. college, no degree	161	52.8	39.1	6.8	1.3
Associate's degree	57	40.4	52.6	3.5	3.5
Bachelor's degree	151	63.6	33.1	1.3	2.0
Graduate/professional degree	131	71.8	22.9	3.1	2.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	4	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>					
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	343	60.1%	33.5%	4.1%	2.3%
Full-time homemaker	40	50.0	37.5	12.5	0.0
Part-time	37	54.1	40.5	5.4	0.0
Unemployed	23	43.5	47.8	4.3	4.4
Disabled for work	17	47.1	52.9	0.0	0.0
In school only	7	71.4	28.6	0.0	0.0
Retired	108	62.0	34.3	3.7	0.0
Other	25	24.0	64.0	4.0	8.0
Missing/Refuse	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>					
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: Yes	431	56.4%	38.3%	3.7%	1.6%
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: No	169	58.6	32.5	6.5	2.4
Missing/Refuse	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perception of Local 'Tax Efficiency,' by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: *"In general, would you, yourself, say that Anchorage taxpayers get their money's worth when it comes to municipal services?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>603</b>	57.0%	36.7%	4.5%	1.8%
<b>Household Size</b>					
1 – 3 residents	<b>428</b>	57.5%	36.2%	4.4%	1.9%
4 – 6 residents	<b>156</b>	57.1	36.5	4.5	1.9
7 or more residents	<b>13</b>	46.2	46.2	7.6	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>6</b>	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>					
Less than \$15,000	<b>19</b>	52.6%	47.4%	0.0%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>23</b>	52.2	30.4	13.0	4.4
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>89</b>	50.6	42.7	5.6	1.1
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>53</b>	50.9	47.2	1.9	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>76</b>	60.5	31.6	3.9	4.0
\$80,000 or more	<b>231</b>	63.6	29.9	4.3	2.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>32</b>	50.0	40.6	6.3	3.1
Missing/Refuse	<b>80</b>	51.3	45.0	3.7	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Opinion of Enhanced Alcohol Tax, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "Would you, yourself, FAVOR or OPPOSE enhanced taxes on alcoholic beverages in order to raise funds for more city services such as 'public safety?'"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		Favor	Oppose	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>603</b>	58.9%	35.3%	4.1%	1.7%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>					
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	27	59.3%	40.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	7	85.7	0.0	14.3	0.0
Black/African American (only)	13	30.8	69.2	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	4	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	490	59.8	33.9	4.5	1.8
All Other	49	53.1	42.9	4.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	53.8	38.5	0.0	7.7
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>					
Yes	17	82.4%	11.8%	5.8%	0.0%
No	580	58.3	35.9	4.1	1.7
Don't Know/Not Applicable	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	4	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>					
Female	338	64.5%	29.9%	3.6%	2.0%
Male	264	51.5	42.4	4.9	1.2
Missing/Refuse	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>					
18 – 24 yrs	17	58.8%	35.3%	5.9%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	63	55.6	34.9	6.3	3.2
35 – 44 yrs	109	66.1	32.1	1.8	0.0
45 – 54 yrs	199	57.3	37.7	3.5	1.5
55 – 64 yrs	118	57.6	34.7	5.1	2.6
65 yrs & up	97	57.7	35.1	5.2	2.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>					
No degree	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
High school / GED	97	59.8	34.0	5.2	1.0
1+ yrs college, no degree	161	56.5	39.8	1.9	1.8
Associate's degree	57	47.4	43.9	5.3	3.4
Bachelor's degree	151	58.3	36.4	4.6	0.7
Graduate/professional degree	131	65.6	26.7	5.3	2.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	4	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>					
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	343	57.4%	37.3%	3.5%	1.8%
Full-time homemaker	40	65.0	30.0	5.0	0.0
Part-time	37	70.3	24.3	2.7	2.7
Unemployed	23	56.5	34.8	8.7	0.0
Disabled for work	17	88.2	11.8	0.0	0.0
In school only	7	42.9	42.9	14.2	0.0
Retired	108	54.6	37.0	6.5	1.9
Other	25	56.0	40.0	0.0	4.0
Missing/Refuse	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>					
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	431	57.3%	36.9%	4.2%	1.6%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	169	62.1	32.0	4.1	1.8
Missing/Refuse	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Opinion of Enhanced Alcohol Tax, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Would you, yourself, *FAVOR* or *OPPOSE* enhanced taxes on alcoholic beverages in order to raise funds for more city services such as 'public safety?'"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		Favor	Oppose	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>603</b>	58.9%	35.3%	4.1%	1.7%
<b>Household Size</b>					
1 – 3 residents	<b>428</b>	56.3%	37.1%	4.9%	1.7%
4 – 6 residents	<b>156</b>	64.1	32.1	2.6	1.2
7 or more residents	<b>13</b>	69.2	23.1	7.7	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>6</b>	83.3	16.7	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>					
Less than \$15,000	<b>19</b>	63.2%	26.3%	10.5%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>23</b>	60.9	30.4	8.7	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>89</b>	65.2	32.6	2.2	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>53</b>	62.3	35.8	1.9	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>76</b>	59.2	35.5	2.6	2.7
\$80,000 or more	<b>231</b>	60.6	34.6	3.5	1.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>32</b>	43.8	34.4	12.5	9.3
Missing/Refuse	<b>80</b>	48.8	43.8	5.0	2.4

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Opinion About Parks & Recreation Funding, by Select Demographics Characteristics**

Question: "In your view, which of the following would be the BEST way to fund parks and recreation services in Anchorage?"  
 "Property taxes; user fees; private donations; sales tax; or, some other kind of tax?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Property Taxes	User Fees	Private Donations	Sales Tax	Some Other Tax	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>603</b>	14.6%	34.2%	11.4%	17.2%	14.6%	5.6%	2.4%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>								
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	27	14.8%	18.5%	3.7%	25.9%	29.6%	3.7%	3.8%
Asian (only)	7	28.6	28.6	14.3	0.0	28.5	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	13	0.0	23.1	15.4	15.4	23.1	15.4	7.6
Pacific Islander (only)	4	50.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	490	15.3	35.9	10.8	17.3	13.5	5.1	2.1
All Other	49	6.1	38.8	16.3	18.4	14.3	6.1	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	15.4	7.7	23.1	7.7	15.4	15.4	15.3
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>								
Yes	17	0.0%	35.0%	11.8%	23.5%	17.6%	11.8%	0.0%
No	580	15.0	34.5	11.4	17.2	14.3	5.3	2.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	4	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	338	12.7%	34.3%	13.3%	18.9%	12.4%	5.0%	3.4%
Male	264	17.0	34.1	9.1	15.2	17.4	6.4	0.8
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Age</b>								
18 – 24 yrs	17	11.8%	23.5%	23.5%	5.9%	23.5%	11.8%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	63	9.5	23.8	20.6	15.9	22.2	6.3	1.7
35 – 44 yrs	109	14.7	39.4	10.1	17.4	11.9	1.8	4.7
45 – 54 yrs	199	15.6	37.7	9.0	17.1	14.6	3.5	2.5
55 – 64 yrs	118	11.0	36.4	10.2	21.2	12.7	6.8	1.7
65 yrs & up	97	20.6	26.8	11.3	15.5	13.4	11.3	1.1
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>								
No degree	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
High school / GED	97	10.3	32.0	15.5	15.5	18.6	8.1	0.0
1+ yrs college, no degree	161	10.6	41.0	11.8	13.0	13.7	7.5	2.4
Associate's degree	57	3.5	35.1	10.5	19.3	21.1	7.0	3.5
Bachelor's degree	151	17.9	35.1	8.6	19.9	10.6	5.3	2.6
Graduate/professional degree	131	24.4	26.0	11.5	20.6	14.5	0.8	2.2
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0
<b>Primary work status</b>								
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	343	13.1%	33.8%	10.2%	21.0%	15.2%	4.1%	2.6%
Full-time homemaker	40	20.0	32.5	17.5	12.5	7.5	5.0	5.0
Part-time	37	10.8	51.4	8.1	13.5	8.1	5.4	2.7
Unemployed	23	21.7	34.8	4.3	4.3	26.1	8.8	0.0
Disabled for work	17	17.6	17.6	11.8	5.9	41.2	0.0	5.9
In school only	7	28.6	28.6	28.6	0.0	0.0	14.2	0.0
Retired	108	18.5	33.3	10.2	14.8	12.0	11.2	0.0
Other	25	4.0	28.0	28.0	16.0	16.0	4.0	4.0
Missing/Refuse	3	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>								
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	431	13.9%	37.4%	10.2%	17.6%	13.5%	5.6%	1.8%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	169	16.6	26.0	14.2	16.6	17.8	5.9	2.9
Missing/Refuse	3	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.4

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'N' = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Opinions About Parks & Recreation Funding, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "In your view, which of the following would be the BEST way to fund parks and recreation services in Anchorage?"  
 "Property taxes; user fees; private donations; sales tax; or, some other kind of tax?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Property Taxes	User Fees	Private Donations	Sales Tax	Some Other Tax	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>603</b>	14.6%	34.2%	11.4%	17.2%	14.6%	5.6%	2.4%
<b>Household Size</b>								
1 – 3 residents	428	14.7%	33.2%	12.1%	16.8%	15.7%	5.8%	1.7%
4 – 6 residents	156	13.5	38.5	9.0	19.2	12.2	4.5	3.1
7 or more residents	13	30.8	15.4	15.4	7.7	7.7	15.4	7.7
Missing/Refuse	6	0.0	33.3	16.7	16.7	16.7	0.0	16.6
<b>Income (household)</b>								
Less than \$15,000	19	21.1%	36.8%	21.1%	5.3%	10.5%	5.2%	0.0%
\$15,000 - \$24,999	23	8.7	30.4	13.0	17.4	26.1	0.0	4.3
\$25,000 - \$49,999	89	13.5	27.0	14.6	16.9	15.7	11.2	1.1
\$50,000 – \$59,999	53	18.9	37.7	9.4	15.1	11.3	7.6	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	76	7.9	43.4	13.2	14.5	15.8	1.3	3.9
\$80,000 or more	231	18.2	32.9	7.4	23.8	13.0	3.5	1.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	32	12.5	25.0	15.6	3.1	15.6	21.9	6.3
Missing/Refuse	80	10.0	38.8	15.0	11.3	16.3	3.8	5.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'N' = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.



**Opinions About Parks & Recreation Funding, by Select Demographics Characteristics**

Question: "Of the four remaining choices, which would you say is the **WORST** way to fund parks and recreation services in Anchorage?"  
 "Property taxes; user fees; private donations; sales tax; or, some other kind of tax?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Property Taxes	User Fees	Private Donations	Sales Tax	Some Other Tax	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>603</b>	<b>44.6%</b>	<b>16.4%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>18.7%</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>								
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	27	70.4%	7.4%	11.1%	3.7%	3.7%	0.0%	3.7%
Asian (only)	7	42.9	14.3	14.3	28.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	13	46.2	15.4	30.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.6
Pacific Islander (only)	4	0.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	490	43.7	17.1	8.2	19.2	5.9	4.1	1.8
All Other	49	44.9	16.3	10.2	18.4	4.1	6.1	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	38.5	7.7	0.0	15.4	23.1	7.7	7.6
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>								
Yes	17	41.2%	11.8%	5.9%	29.4%	5.9%	5.8%	0.0%
No	580	45.0	16.6	8.4	18.4	5.7	4.0	1.9
Don't Know/Not Applicable	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	25.0
<b>Gender</b>								
Female	338	45.6%	14.5%	8.3%	18.9%	5.0%	5.3%	2.4%
Male	264	43.6	18.9	8.3	18.6	7.2	2.3	1.1
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
<b>Age</b>								
18 – 24 yrs	17	29.4%	17.6%	17.6%	23.5%	11.9%	0.0%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	63	42.9	22.2	6.3	15.9	7.9	3.2	1.6
35 – 44 yrs	109	48.6	12.8	10.1	16.5	5.5	2.8	3.7
45 – 54 yrs	199	47.2	14.1	8.0	20.6	5.5	3.0	1.6
55 – 64 yrs	118	42.4	20.3	7.6	18.6	5.1	4.2	1.8
65 yrs & up	97	41.2	16.5	7.2	18.6	6.2	8.2	2.1
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>								
No degree	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
High school / GED	97	56.7	14.4	3.1	9.3	7.2	8.2	1.1
1+ yrs college, no degree	161	47.8	11.8	10.6	21.1	5.0	1.2	2.5
Associate's degree	57	54.4	14.0	7.0	14.0	3.5	3.5	3.6
Bachelor's degree	151	39.7	14.6	8.6	23.2	6.0	6.0	1.9
Graduate/professional degree	131	33.6	27.5	9.9	19.1	6.9	2.3	0.7
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	4	25.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	25.0
<b>Primary work status</b>								
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	343	45.5%	16.6%	9.3%	17.5%	6.1%	2.6%	2.4%
Full-time homemaker	40	42.5	12.5	10.0	25.0	2.5	5.0	2.5
Part-time	37	59.5	10.8	2.7	21.6	2.7	2.7	0.0
Unemployed	23	30.4	30.4	8.7	21.7	0.0	8.8	0.0
Disabled for work	17	52.9	17.6	0.0	17.6	5.9	0.0	6.0
In school only	7	28.6	14.3	14.3	28.6	14.2	0.0	0.0
Retired	108	42.6	17.6	7.4	18.5	6.5	6.5	0.9
Other	25	36.0	12.0	8.0	16.0	12.0	12.0	4.0
Missing/Refuse	3	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	33.4	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>								
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: Yes	431	44.5%	14.4%	8.6%	21.1%	5.6%	4.2%	1.6%
Same residence 5 yrs. ago: No	169	45.0	21.9	7.7	12.4	7.1	3.6	2.3
Missing/Refuse	3	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.4

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'N' = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Opinions About Parks & Recreation Funding, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Of the four remaining choices, which would you say is the **WORST** way to fund parks and recreation services in Anchorage?"  
 "Property taxes; user fees; private donations; sales tax; or, some other kind of tax?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>						
		Property Taxes	User Fees	Private Donations	Sales Tax	Some Other Tax	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>603</b>	<b>44.6%</b>	<b>16.4%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>18.7%</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>
<b>Household Size</b>								
1 – 3 residents	<b>428</b>	43.9%	17.3%	8.4%	18.9%	6.1%	3.3%	2.1%
4 – 6 residents	<b>156</b>	46.2	15.4	8.3	17.3	5.8	5.8	1.2
7 or more residents	<b>13</b>	38.5	7.7	7.7	30.8	7.7	7.6	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>6</b>	66.7	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	16.6
<b>Income (household)</b>								
Less than \$15,000	<b>19</b>	21.1%	21.1%	5.3%	36.8%	5.3%	10.4%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>23</b>	52.2	13.0	0.0	30.4	4.4	0.0	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>89</b>	48.3	15.7	11.2	13.5	2.2	7.9	1.2
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>53</b>	49.1	18.9	5.7	15.1	9.4	1.8	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>76</b>	48.7	15.8	6.6	18.4	3.9	3.9	2.7
\$80,000 or more	<b>231</b>	42.0	15.2	10.8	21.2	8.2	1.7	0.9
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>32</b>	34.4	15.6	9.4	12.5	6.3	15.6	6.2
Missing/Refuse	<b>80</b>	48.8	20.0	3.8	15.0	3.8	2.5	6.1

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'N' = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

Anchorage Community Survey, 2005: Table 4.03.02.05a

**Parks & Recreation Program Need, by Select Demographics Characteristics**Question: "In your view, which of the following parks and recreation programs are most needed in your area?"*"Undeveloped, natural open space; minimal park development, such as playgrounds; highly developed park facilities; athletic facilities, such as a community recreation center; multi-use trails; or some other type of parks/recreation program?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>							
		NA	MI	MX	AT	MU	OT	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>603</b>	10.1%	18.9%	2.2%	19.7%	21.9%	14.4%	10.0%	2.8%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>									
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	27	0.0%	18.5%	7.4%	7.4%	29.6%	7.4%	25.9%	3.7%
Asian (only)	7	28.6	14.3	0.0	28.6	14.3	0.0	0.0	14.2
Black/African American (only)	13	0.0	30.8	0.0	38.5	7.7	15.4	7.6	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	4	0.0	25.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	490	10.4	19.8	2.0	19.4	22.0	14.3	9.2	2.9
All Other	49	14.3	10.2	2.0	20.4	22.4	22.4	8.3	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	7.7	7.7	0.0	23.1	15.4	15.4	23.1	7.6
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>									
Yes	17	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	35.3%	17.6%	29.4%	0.0%	0.0%
No	580	10.3	19.5	2.1	19.0	22.2	13.8	10.2	2.9
Don't Know/Not Applicable	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>									
Female	338	9.5%	19.5%	1.5%	21.0%	21.6%	13.3%	9.8%	3.8%
Male	264	11.0	18.2	3.0	17.8	22.3	15.9	10.2	1.6
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>									
18 – 24 yrs	17	11.8%	17.6%	0.0%	17.6%	23.5%	11.8%	11.8%	5.9%
25 – 34 yrs	63	12.7	36.5	4.8	22.2	9.5	7.9	4.8	1.6
35 – 44 yrs	109	11.9	22.9	1.8	22.9	20.2	12.8	4.6	2.8
45 – 54 yrs	199	10.6	17.1	1.5	20.6	26.6	13.1	8.5	2.0
55 – 64 yrs	118	10.2	11.9	4.2	21.2	22.9	18.6	9.3	1.7
65 yrs & up	97	5.2	15.5	0.0	11.3	20.6	18.6	22.7	6.2
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'N' = Number of respondents; "NA" = Undeveloped, natural open space; "MI" = Minimal park development; "MX" = Highly developed park facilities; "AT" = Athletic facilities/community rec. center; "MU" = Multi-use trails; "OT" = Some other parks/recreation program or facility.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

-- CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE --

Anchorage Community Survey, 2005: Table 4.03.02.05b

**Parks & Recreation Program Need, by Select Demographics Characteristics**Question: "In your view, which of the following parks and recreation programs are most needed in your area?"*"Undeveloped, natural open space; minimal park development, such as playgrounds; highly developed park facilities; athletic facilities, such as a community recreation center; multi-use trails; or some other type of parks/recreation program or facility?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>							
		NA	MI	MX	AT	MU	OT	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>603</b>	10.1%	18.9%	2.2%	19.7%	21.9%	14.4%	10.0%	2.8%
<b>Education</b>									
No degree	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
High school / GED	97	7.2	22.7	1.0	23.7	16.5	17.5	10.3	1.0
1+ yrs college, no degree	161	9.3	21.1	2.5	23.0	15.5	14.9	9.3	4.3
Associate's degree	57	15.8	19.3	1.8	14.0	12.3	17.5	14.0	5.3
Bachelor's degree	151	11.3	17.9	3.3	19.2	25.8	11.9	8.6	2.0
Graduate/professional degree	131	9.2	14.5	1.5	16.0	34.4	13.0	9.2	2.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	4	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>									
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	343	10.8%	21.0%	2.3%	19.2%	23.0%	13.1%	7.9%	2.7%
Full-time homemaker	40	15.0	17.5	5.0	25.0	17.5	17.5	0.0	2.5
Part-time	37	8.1	29.7	0.0	29.7	16.2	8.1	8.2	0.0
Unemployed	23	17.4	17.4	4.3	21.7	26.1	13.1	0.0	0.0
Disabled for work	17	0.0	17.6	0.0	29.4	29.4	11.8	11.8	0.0
In school only	7	0.0	14.3	14.3	28.6	0.0	0.0	42.9	0.0
Retired	108	5.6	11.1	0.9	12.0	24.1	19.4	21.3	5.6
Other	25	12.0	16.0	0.0	28.0	12.0	24.0	4.0	4.0
Missing/Refuse	3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
<b>Residency</b>									
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	431	10.0%	17.9%	2.1%	20.0%	21.1%	16.0%	10.0%	3.0%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	169	10.1	21.9	2.4	18.9	24.3	10.7	9.5	2.4
Missing/Refuse	3	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.4	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'N' = Number of respondents; "NA" = Undeveloped, natural open space; "MI" = Minimal park development; "MX" = Highly developed park facilities; "AT" = Athletic facilities/community rec. center; "MU" = Multi-use trails; "OT" = Some other parks/recreation program or facility.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

Anchorage Community Survey, 2005: Table 4.03.03.05

**Parks & Recreation Program Need, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "In your view, which of the following parks and recreation programs are most needed in your area?"

*"Undeveloped, natural open space; minimal park development, such as playgrounds; highly developed park facilities; athletic facilities, such as a community recreation center; multi-use trails; or some other type of parks/recreation program?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>							
		NA	MI	MX	AT	MU	OT	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>603</b>	10.1%	18.9%	2.2%	19.7%	21.9%	14.4%	10.0%	2.8%
<b>Household Size</b>									
1 – 3 residents	428	10.0%	17.5%	2.3%	16.1%	22.0%	16.6%	11.9%	3.5%
4 – 6 residents	156	9.0	22.4	1.9	30.1	21.2	10.3	4.5	0.6
7 or more residents	13	7.7	30.8	0.0	15.4	30.8	0.0	7.7	7.7
Missing/Refuse	6	50.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	16.7	0.0	16.6	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>									
Less than \$15,000	19	5.3%	21.1%	0.0%	10.5%	15.8%	21.1%	21.1%	5.2%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	23	4.3	21.7	0.0	26.1	26.1	8.7	13.1	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	89	4.5	16.9	5.6	28.1	13.5	13.5	12.4	5.5
\$50,000 – \$59,999	53	15.1	17.0	1.9	22.6	11.3	15.1	13.2	3.8
\$60,000 – \$79,999	76	5.3	23.7	1.3	14.5	22.4	19.7	9.2	3.9
\$80,000 or more	231	13.9	20.3	1.3	18.6	27.3	11.3	6.5	0.9
Don't Know/Not Applicable	32	12.5	9.4	3.1	25.0	12.5	18.8	12.5	6.3
Missing/Refuse	80	8.8	16.3	2.5	15.0	26.3	17.5	11.3	2.3

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'N' = Number of respondents; "NA" = Undeveloped, natural open space; "MI" = Minimal park development; "MX" = Highly developed park facilities; "AT" = Athletic facilities/community rec. center; "MU" = Multi-use trails; "OT" = Some other parks/recreation program or facility.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Use of Emergency Medical Services, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "In the *past 12 months* have you, yourself, requested or used **EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES** for any reason?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>603</b>	10.8%	88.2%	0.0%	1.0%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>					
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	27	14.8%	85.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	13	23.1	76.9	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	4	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	490	9.6	89.2	0.0	1.2
All Other	49	16.3	83.7	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	15.4	84.6	0.0	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>					
Yes	17	17.6%	82.4%	0.0%	0.0%
No	580	10.7	88.3	0.0	1.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>					
Female	338	11.8%	86.7%	0.0%	1.5%
Male	264	9.5	90.2	0.0	0.3
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>					
18 – 24 yrs	17	29.4%	70.6%	0.0%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	63	11.1	87.3	0.0	1.6
35 – 44 yrs	109	8.3	91.7	0.0	0.0
45 – 54 yrs	199	8.0	91.0	0.0	1.0
55 – 64 yrs	118	11.9	86.4	0.0	1.7
65 yrs & up	97	14.4	84.5	0.0	1.1
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>					
No degree	1	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
High school / GED	97	15.5	84.5	0.0	0.0
1 + yrs college, no degree	161	10.6	88.8	0.0	0.6
Associate's degree	57	12.3	82.5	0.0	5.2
Bachelor's degree	151	7.3	92.1	0.0	0.6
Graduate/professional degree	131	9.9	89.3	0.0	0.8
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	4	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>					
Full-time (35 + hrs/wk), outside home	343	8.5%	90.4%	0.0%	1.1%
Full-time homemaker	40	12.5	87.5	0.0	0.0
Part-time	37	8.1	91.9	0.0	0.0
Unemployed	23	13.0	87.0	0.0	0.0
Disabled for work	17	29.4	70.6	0.0	0.0
In school only	7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Retired	108	14.8	84.3	0.0	0.9
Other	25	8.0	88.0	0.0	4.0
Missing/Refuse	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>					
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	431	8.8%	90.3%	0.0%	0.9%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	169	14.8	84.0	0.0	1.2
Missing/Refuse	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Use of Emergency Medical Services, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "In the past 12 months have you, yourself, requested or used EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES for any reason?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>603</b>	10.8%	88.2%	0.0%	1.0%
<b>Household Size</b>					
1 – 3 residents	428	11.2%	87.6%	0.0%	1.2%
4 – 6 residents	156	9.0	90.4	0.0	0.6
7 or more residents	13	7.7	92.3	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	6	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>					
Less than \$15,000	19	10.5%	89.5%	0.0%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	23	34.8	65.2	0.0	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	89	15.7	83.1	0.0	1.2
\$50,000 – \$59,999	53	17.0	83.0	0.0	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	76	9.2	88.2	0.0	2.6
\$80,000 or more	231	6.1	93.1	0.0	0.8
Don't Know/Not Applicable	32	6.3	90.6	0.0	3.1
Missing/Refuse	80	11.3	88.7	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Evaluation of Emergency Medical Services, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

(Respondents who had used emergency medical services in past 12 months only.)

Question: "Thinking about your most recent experience with E.M.S., would you, yourself, characterize your experience as 'satisfactory?'"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>93.8%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>					
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	4	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	47	93.6	6.4	0.0	0.0
All Other	8	87.5	12.5	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>					
Yes	3	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
No	62	95.2	4.8	0.0	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>					
Female	40	90.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Male	25	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>					
18 – 24 yrs	5	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	7	71.4	28.6	0.0	0.0
35 – 44 yrs	9	88.9	11.1	0.0	0.0
45 – 54 yrs	16	93.8	6.2	0.0	0.0
55 – 64 yrs	14	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
65 yrs & up	14	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>					
No degree	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
High school / GED	15	93.3	6.7	0.0	0.0
1 + yrs college, no degree	17	88.2	11.8	0.0	0.0
Associate's degree	7	85.7	14.3	0.0	0.0
Bachelor's degree	11	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Graduate/professional degree	13	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>					
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	29	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Full-time homemaker	5	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
Part-time	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0
Unemployed	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Disabled for work	5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
In school only	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Retired	16	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>					
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	38	94.7%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	25	92.0	8.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.



**Evaluation of Emergency Medical Services, by Select Household Characteristics**

(Respondents who had used emergency medical services in past 12 months only.)

Question: "Thinking about your most recent experience with E.M.S., would you, yourself, characterize your experience as 'satisfactory?'"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>65</b>	93.8%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Household Size</b>					
1 – 3 residents	<b>48</b>	95.8%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%
4 – 6 residents	<b>14</b>	92.9	7.1	0.0	0.0
7 or more residents	<b>1</b>	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>2</b>	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>					
Less than \$15,000	<b>2</b>	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>8</b>	87.5	12.5	0.0	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>14</b>	92.9	7.1	0.0	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>9</b>	88.9	11.1	0.0	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>7</b>	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
\$80,000 or more	<b>14</b>	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>2</b>	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>9</b>	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**In-direct Use of Emergency Medical Services, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "In the past 12 months has a member of your family or a close friend requested or used  
EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES for any reason?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>603</b>	24.2%	74.5%	0.3%	1.0%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>					
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	27	44.4%	55.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	7	28.6	71.4	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	13	38.5	61.5	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	4	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	490	22.0	76.5	0.2	1.3
All Other	49	28.6	69.4	2.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	30.8	69.2	0.0	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>					
Yes	17	52.9%	47.1%	0.0%	0.0%
No	580	23.3	75.3	0.3	1.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	4	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>					
Female	338	26.3%	71.9%	0.3%	1.5%
Male	264	21.6	77.7	0.4	0.3
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>					
18 – 24 yrs	17	41.2%	52.9%	5.9%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	63	33.3	65.1	0.0	1.6
35 – 44 yrs	109	24.8	75.2	0.0	0.0
45 – 54 yrs	199	23.1	75.9	0.0	1.0
55 – 64 yrs	118	23.7	74.6	0.0	1.7
65 yrs & up	97	17.5	80.4	1.0	1.1
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>					
No degree	1	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
High school / GED	97	27.8	71.1	1.0	0.0
1+ yrs college, no degree	161	28.0	71.4	0.0	0.6
Associate's degree	57	22.8	71.9	0.0	5.3
Bachelor's degree	151	18.5	80.8	0.0	0.7
Graduate/professional degree	131	23.7	74.8	0.8	0.7
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	4	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>					
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	343	23.6%	74.9%	0.3%	1.2%
Full-time homemaker	40	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
Part-time	37	24.3	75.7	0.0	0.0
Unemployed	23	30.4	69.6	0.0	0.0
Disabled for work	17	47.1	52.9	0.0	0.0
In school only	7	28.6	71.4	0.0	0.0
Retired	108	20.4	78.7	0.0	0.9
Other	25	24.0	68.0	4.0	4.0
Missing/Refuse	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>					
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	431	23.2%	75.4%	0.5%	0.9%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	169	26.6	72.2	0.0	1.2
Missing/Refuse	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**In-direct Use of Emergency Medical Services, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "In the past 12 months has a member of your family or a close friend requested or used  
EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES for any reason?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>603</b>	<b>24.2 %</b>	<b>74.5 %</b>	<b>0.3 %</b>	<b>1.0 %</b>
<b>Household Size</b>					
1 – 3 residents	<b>428</b>	23.6 %	74.8 %	0.5 %	1.1 %
4 – 6 residents	<b>156</b>	25.6	73.7	0.0	0.7
7 or more residents	<b>13</b>	30.8	69.2	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>6</b>	16.7	83.3	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>					
Less than \$15,000	<b>19</b>	21.1 %	78.9 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>23</b>	52.2	47.8	0.0	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>89</b>	33.7	65.2	0.0	1.1
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>53</b>	28.3	71.7	0.0	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>76</b>	23.7	73.7	0.0	2.6
\$80,000 or more	<b>231</b>	20.3	78.4	0.4	0.9
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>32</b>	25.0	68.8	3.1	3.1
Missing/Refuse	<b>80</b>	15.0	85.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Use of People Mover Bus System, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "Have you, yourself, ridden on a PEOPLE MOVER bus in the past year?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>603</b>	15.8%	83.3%	0.9%	0.0%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>					
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	27	40.7%	59.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	7	28.6	71.4	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	13	7.7	92.3	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	4	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	490	14.9	83.9	0.0	1.2
All Other	49	8.2	91.8	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	23.1	76.9	0.0	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>					
Yes	580	15.7%	83.3%	0.0%	1.0%
No	17	17.6	82.4	0.0	0.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	4	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>					
Female	338	12.7%	85.8%	0.0%	1.5%
Male	264	19.7	79.9	0.0	0.4
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>					
18 – 24 yrs	17	23.5%	76.5%	0.0%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	63	28.6	69.8	0.0	1.6
35 – 44 yrs	109	14.7	85.3	0.0	0.0
45 – 54 yrs	199	13.6	85.4	0.0	1.0
55 – 64 yrs	118	15.3	83.1	0.0	1.6
65 yrs & up	97	12.4	86.6	0.0	1.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>					
No degree	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
High school / GED	97	17.5	82.5	0.0	0.0
1+ yrs college, no degree	161	14.3	85.1	0.0	0.6
Associate's degree	57	12.3	82.5	0.0	5.2
Bachelor's degree	151	12.6	86.8	0.0	0.6
Graduate/professional degree	131	21.4	77.9	0.0	0.7
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	4	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>					
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	343	13.7%	85.1%	0.0%	1.2%
Full-time homemaker	40	10.0	90.0	0.0	0.0
Part-time	37	18.9	81.1	0.0	0.0
Unemployed	23	30.4	69.6	0.0	0.0
Disabled for work	17	58.8	41.2	0.0	0.0
In school only	7	71.4	28.6	0.0	0.0
Retired	108	11.1	88.0	0.0	0.9
Other	25	12.0	84.0	0.0	4.0
Missing/Refuse	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>					
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	431	13.7%	85.4%	0.0%	0.9%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	169	21.3	77.5	0.0	1.2
Missing/Refuse	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Use of People Mover Bus System, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Have you, yourself, ridden on a PEOPLE MOVER bus in the past year?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>603</b>	15.8%	83.3%	0.0%	0.9%
<b>Household Size</b>					
1 – 3 residents	<b>428</b>	15.7%	83.2%	0.0%	1.1%
4 – 6 residents	<b>156</b>	16.0	83.3	0.0	0.7
7 or more residents	<b>13</b>	15.4	84.6	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>6</b>	16.7	83.3	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>					
Less than \$15,000	<b>19</b>	36.8%	63.2%	0.0%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>23</b>	34.8	65.2	0.0	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>89</b>	25.8	73.0	0.0	1.2
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>53</b>	18.9	81.1	0.0	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>76</b>	10.5	86.8	0.0	2.7
\$80,000 or more	<b>231</b>	12.6	86.6	0.0	0.8
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>32</b>	6.3	90.6	0.0	3.1
Missing/Refuse	<b>80</b>	10.0	90.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Use of Anchorage Schools, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "Are you the parent or guardian of any children currently enrolled in the Anchorage School District?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>603</b>	31.5%	67.3%	0.2%	1.0%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>					
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	27	48.1%	51.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	7	71.4	28.6	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	13	53.8	46.2	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	4	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	490	30.0	68.6	0.2	1.2
All Other	49	24.5	75.5	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	13	23.1	76.9	0.0	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>					
Yes	17	35.3%	64.7%	0.0%	0.0%
No	580	31.4	67.4	0.2	1.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	4	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>					
Female	338	31.7%	66.6%	0.3%	1.4%
Male	264	31.4	68.2	0.0	0.4
Missing/Refuse	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>					
18 – 24 yrs	17	11.8%	88.2%	0.0%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	63	39.7	58.7	0.0	1.6
35 – 44 yrs	109	59.6	40.4	0.0	0.0
45 – 54 yrs	199	39.7	59.3	0.0	1.0
55 – 64 yrs	118	13.6	83.9	0.8	1.7
65 yrs & up	97	3.1	95.9	0.0	1.0
Missing/Refuse	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>					
No degree	1	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
High school / GED	97	34.0	66.0	0.0	0.0
1 + yrs college, no degree	161	36.6	62.7	0.0	0.7
Associate's degree	57	22.8	70.2	1.8	5.2
Bachelor's degree	151	31.1	68.2	0.0	0.7
Graduate/professional degree	131	27.5	71.8	0.0	0.7
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	4	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>					
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	343	34.4%	64.4%	0.0%	1.2%
Full-time homemaker	40	52.5	45.0	2.5	0.0
Part-time	37	56.8	43.2	0.0	0.0
Unemployed	23	30.4	69.6	0.0	0.0
Disabled for work	17	47.1	52.9	0.0	0.0
In school only	7	28.6	71.4	0.0	0.0
Retired	108	3.7	95.4	0.0	0.9
Other	25	32.0	64.0	0.0	4.0
Missing/Refuse	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>					
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	431	30.6%	68.2%	0.2%	1.0%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	169	33.7	65.1	0.0	1.2
Missing/Refuse	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Use of Anchorage Schools, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Are you the parent or guardian of any children currently enrolled in the Anchorage School District?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>603</b>	31.5%	67.3%	0.2%	1.0%
<b>Household Size</b>					
1 – 3 residents	<b>428</b>	14.7%	83.9%	0.2%	1.2%
4 – 6 residents	<b>156</b>	73.7	25.6	0.0	0.7
7 or more residents	<b>13</b>	69.2	30.8	0.0	0.0
Missing/Refuse	<b>6</b>	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>					
Less than \$15,000	<b>19</b>	26.3%	73.7%	0.0%	0.0%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>23</b>	43.5	56.5	0.0	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>89</b>	30.3	68.5	0.0	1.2
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>53</b>	54.5	75.5	0.0	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>76</b>	31.6	65.8	0.0	2.6
\$80,000 or more	<b>231</b>	36.4	62.8	0.0	0.8
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>32</b>	21.9	71.9	3.1	3.1
Missing/Refuse	<b>80</b>	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.





## **Perceptions of Public Health Issues**



# Perceptions of Public Health Issues – An Introduction

by Cheryl Easley

General data describing Anchorage residents' perceptions of their own health status, as well as that of their communities, is not available. The purpose of the Public Health addendum was to begin to address this gap in information. The questions posed were modeled on a comprehensive questionnaire originally developed by the National Association of City and County Health Officials and made freely accessible on their website<sup>1</sup>. The items were subsequently modified for use in several California counties with support from the Partnership for the Public's Health, a program of the Public Health Institute, and through a grant from the California Endowment. While the current schedule is much more limited than either of the previous efforts, the responses can be compared to those obtained in the California surveys.

Assessment of the health status and issues facing Alaska's citizens reported in *Healthy Alaskans 2010* and other surveys of the health status of Alaskans describe a range of health problems for which our population is especially at risk. Perceptions of respondents regarding the salience of several of these issues were queried, including substance abuse, obesity, suicide, and unintentional injury. Respondents were asked for information related to access to health care for themselves and their families and for information about financial coverage for health care expenditures. Questions regarding perceived personal health are common in national surveys of health status. This question and one regarding perception of the overall health of the community of Anchorage complete the survey. The data that have resulted from this preliminary effort is potentially useful to health planners and those who provide health care and health education for the residents of Anchorage.

---

<sup>1</sup> Their website can be accessed at <http://www.naccho.org/>.



**Perceptions of Public Health, by Select Demographic Characteristics**Public Health Issue: *Substance abuse*<sup>a</sup>.*"Would you say substance abuse is a very big problem, a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem at all in Anchorage?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>b,c</sup>					
		Very Big Problem	A Big Problem	Somewhat Of A Problem	Not A Problem At All	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	<b>42.1%</b>	<b>34.7%</b>	<b>19.1%</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	25	40.0%	44.0%	12.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%
Asian (only)	11	27.3	63.6	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	13	30.8	38.5	30.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	491	43.8	32.2	19.8	2.2	1.0	1.0
All Other	46	28.3	47.8	19.6	4.3	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	7	57.1	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	22	45.5%	50.0%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
No	571	41.9	34.2	19.8	2.3	0.9	0.9
Don't Know/Not Applicable	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	319	49.8%	34.8%	13.5%	0.9%	0.6%	0.4%
Male	277	33.2	34.7	25.6	3.6	1.1	1.8
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	29	34.5%	31.0%	27.6%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	59	45.8	32.2	18.6	3.4	0.0	0.0
35 – 44 yrs	96	38.5	40.6	16.7	1.0	2.1	1.0
45 – 54 yrs	173	37.6	37.6	23.7	0.0	0.6	0.5
55 – 64 yrs	137	53.3	28.5	16.1	0.0	0.7	1.4
65 yrs & up	102	38.2	35.3	15.7	7.8	1.0	2.0
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	6	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%
High school/GED	103	42.7	35.9	16.5	2.9	1.0	1.0
1 + yrs college, no degree	162	38.9	34.6	21.0	3.7	0.6	1.2
Associate's degree	50	32.0	40.0	24.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
Bachelor's degree	142	48.6	33.8	15.5	0.7	0.7	0.7
Graduate/professional degree	132	42.4	33.3	21.2	1.5	0.0	1.6
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	333	40.8%	36.6%	19.5%	1.2%	0.6%	1.3%
Full-time homemaker	34	41.2	35.3	17.6	0.0	5.9	0.0
Part-time	46	58.7	30.4	8.7	2.2	0.0	0.0
Unemployed	25	48.0	24.0	28.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Disabled for work	10	70.0	20.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
In school only	11	36.4	36.4	27.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Retired	109	38.5	38.5	12.8	7.3	0.9	2.0
Other	25	32.0	20.0	48.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	3	33.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	450	40.9%	35.6%	19.6%	2.2%	0.7%	1.0%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	145	46.2	31.7	17.9	2.1	1.4	0.7
MISSING/REFUSE	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'Substance abuse' operationally defined as the improper or excessive use of drugs, including alcohol and tobacco.

b. "N" = Number of respondents.

c. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Public Health, by Select Household Characteristics**Public Health Issue: *Substance abuse*<sup>a</sup>.*"Would you say substance abuse is a very big problem, a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem at all in Anchorage?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>b,c</sup>					
		Very Big Problem	A Big Problem	Somewhat Of A Problem	Not A Problem At All	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	42.1%	34.7%	19.1%	2.2%	0.8%	1.1%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	<b>432</b>	43.3%	33.6%	19.2%	2.5%	0.5%	0.9%
4 – 6 residents	<b>156</b>	38.5	38.5	19.2	0.6	1.9	1.3
7 or more residents	<b>5</b>	60.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	<b>3</b>	33.3	33.3	33.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	<b>16</b>	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	6.3%	0.0%	6.2%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>28</b>	50.0	35.7	10.7	3.6	0.0	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>93</b>	38.7	36.6	17.2	5.4	1.1	1.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>76</b>	39.5	40.8	18.4	1.3	0.0	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>84</b>	39.3	34.5	21.4	1.2	1.2	2.4
\$80,000 or more	<b>211</b>	46.9	31.8	18.5	0.9	0.9	1.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>21</b>	33.3	33.3	33.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	<b>67</b>	35.8	37.3	22.4	3.0	1.5	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'Substance abuse' operationally defined as the improper or excessive use of drugs, including alcohol and tobacco.

b. "N" = Number of respondents.

c. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Public Health, by Select Demographic Characteristics**Public Health Issue: *Obesity*<sup>a</sup>.*"Would you say obesity is a very big problem, a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem at all in Anchorage?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>b,c</sup>					
		Very Big Problem	A Big Problem	Somewhat Of A Problem	Not A Problem At All	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	26.8%	40.1%	26.7%	3.4%	1.8%	1.2%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	25	16.0%	36.0%	40.0%	4.0%	4.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	11	18.2	36.4	45.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	13	23.1	23.1	46.2	7.6	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	3	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	491	27.5	41.5	24.6	3.3	1.6	1.5
All Other	46	26.1	32.6	32.6	4.3	4.3	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	7	57.1	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	22	13.6%	45.5%	31.8%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%
No	571	27.3	39.8	26.6	3.5	1.6	1.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	319	31.3%	41.4%	23.5%	1.9%	1.6%	0.3%
Male	277	21.7	38.6	30.3	5.1	2.2	2.1
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	29	34.5%	27.6%	20.7%	13.8%	3.4%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	59	30.5	40.7	23.7	3.4	1.7	0.0
35 – 44 yrs	96	27.1	39.6	28.1	3.1	1.0	1.0
45 – 54 yrs	173	22.5	37.6	35.3	1.7	1.2	1.7
55 – 64 yrs	137	30.7	43.8	21.2	2.2	0.7	1.4
65 yrs & up	102	24.5	43.1	21.6	4.9	4.9	1.0
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	6	16.7%	50.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	103	20.4	35.0	31.1	7.8	4.9	1.0
1+ yrs college, no degree	162	28.4	41.4	24.7	3.7	0.6	1.2
Associate's degree	50	18.0	46.0	30.0	4.0	2.0	0.0
Bachelor's degree	142	26.1	45.1	25.4	0.7	1.4	1.3
Graduate/professional degree	132	34.8	34.1	25.8	2.3	1.5	1.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	333	27.9%	39.6%	27.3%	2.4%	1.2%	1.6%
Full-time homemaker	34	14.7	38.2	38.2	2.9	6.0	0.0
Part-time	46	39.1	39.1	19.6	2.2	0.0	0.0
Unemployed	25	20.0	32.0	36.0	8.0	4.0	0.0
Disabled for work	10	30.0	40.0	20.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
In school only	11	36.4	45.5	9.1	9.1	0.0	0.0
Retired	109	22.9	42.2	25.7	4.6	3.7	0.9
Other	25	28.0	52.0	16.0	4.0	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	33.3
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	450	25.3%	42.4%	25.3%	3.8%	2.0%	1.2%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	145	31.7	33.1	30.3	2.1	1.4	1.4
MISSING/REFUSE	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. A human medical condition characterized by excessive body fat.

b. "N" = Number of respondents.

c. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Public Health, by Select Household Characteristics**Public Health Issue: *Obesity*<sup>a</sup>.*"Would you say obesity is a very big problem, a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem at all in Anchorage?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>b,c</sup>					
		Very Big Problem	A Big Problem	Somewhat Of A Problem	Not A Problem At All	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	26.8%	40.1%	26.7%	3.4%	1.8%	1.2%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	<b>432</b>	27.3%	42.6%	24.8%	2.5%	1.4%	1.4%
4 – 6 residents	<b>156</b>	25.6	34.6	30.8	5.1	3.2	0.7
7 or more residents	<b>5</b>	20.0	20.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	<b>3</b>	33.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	<b>16</b>	18.8%	50.0%	18.8%	6.3%	0.0%	6.1%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>28</b>	25.0	42.9	21.4	3.6	3.6	3.5
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>93</b>	23.7	36.6	35.5	3.2	1.0	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>76</b>	18.4	42.1	30.3	5.3	3.9	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>84</b>	26.2	42.9	22.6	6.0	0.0	2.3
\$80,000 or more	<b>211</b>	33.2	39.8	23.2	1.9	0.9	1.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>21</b>	14.3	57.1	19.0	0.0	9.6	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	<b>67</b>	28.4	31.3	32.8	3.0	3.0	1.5

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'Obesity' is operationally defined as a human medical condition characterized by excessive body fat.

b. "N" = Number of respondents.

c. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.



**Perceptions of Public Health, by Select Demographic Characteristics**Public Health Issue: *A Lack of Health Insurance.**"Would you say a lack of health insurance is a very big problem, a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem at all in Anchorage?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Big Problem	A Big Problem	Somewhat Of A Problem	Not A Problem At All	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	38.3%	29.9%	19.1%	3.5%	8.4%	0.8%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	25	40.0%	28.0%	20.0%	0.0%	12.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	11	36.4	18.2	45.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	13	46.2	46.2	7.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	491	38.3	30.3	17.9	3.7	8.8	1.0
All Other	46	30.4	23.9	30.4	6.5	8.8	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	7	57.1	28.6	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	22	50.0%	31.8%	4.5%	4.5%	9.2%	0.0%
No	571	37.7	29.8	19.8	3.5	8.4	0.9
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	319	44.8%	30.7%	15.0%	1.9%	7.2%	0.4%
Male	277	30.7	28.9	23.8	5.4	9.7	1.5
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	29	37.9%	17.2%	31.0%	0.0%	13.9%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	59	39.0	30.5	20.3	5.1	5.1	0.0
35 – 44 yrs	96	49.0	26.0	15.6	3.1	5.2	1.1
45 – 54 yrs	173	34.7	34.7	22.5	3.5	4.0	0.6
55 – 64 yrs	137	41.6	27.0	16.8	3.6	9.5	1.5
65 yrs & up	102	29.4	32.4	15.7	3.9	17.6	1.0
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	6	33.3%	16.7%	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%	0.0%
High school/GED	103	36.9	32.0	18.4	4.9	7.8	0.0
1+ yrs college, no degree	162	39.5	25.3	22.2	4.9	6.8	1.2
Associate's degree	50	40.0	34.0	14.0	0.0	12.0	0.0
Bachelor's degree	142	35.2	35.2	19.0	3.5	6.3	0.7
Graduate/professional degree	132	40.2	27.3	18.9	1.5	10.6	1.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	333	38.1%	31.2%	18.6%	3.0%	7.8%	1.3%
Full-time homemaker	34	32.4	32.4	26.5	0.0	8.7	0.0
Part-time	46	45.7	23.9	21.7	4.3	4.3	0.0
Unemployed	25	48.0	28.0	20.0	0.0	4.0	0.0
Disabled for work	10	60.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	0.0
In school only	11	63.6	9.1	18.2	0.0	9.1	0.0
Retired	109	33.9	32.1	17.4	3.7	11.9	0.9
Other	25	24.0	28.0	20.0	16.0	12.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	3	33.3	33.3	33.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	450	38.0%	30.4%	18.7%	3.3%	8.7%	0.9%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	145	39.3	28.3	20.0	4.1	7.6	0.7
MISSING/REFUSE	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Public Health, by Select Household Characteristics**Public Health Issue: *A Lack of Health Insurance.**"Would you say a lack of health insurance is a very big problem, a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem at all in Anchorage?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Big Problem	A Big Problem	Somewhat Of A Problem	Not A Problem At All	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	38.3%	29.9%	19.1%	3.5%	8.4%	0.8%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	<b>432</b>	39.1%	30.8%	16.9%	3.2%	9.0%	1.0%
4 – 6 residents	<b>156</b>	36.5	28.2	23.7	3.8	7.1	0.7
7 or more residents	<b>5</b>	20.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	<b>3</b>	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.4	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	<b>16</b>	62.5%	25.0%	0.0%	6.3%	0.0%	6.4%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>28</b>	67.9	17.9	10.7	0.0	3.5	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>93</b>	36.6	64.4	17.2	5.4	6.4	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>76</b>	30.3	30.3	27.6	2.6	9.2	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>84</b>	36.9	29.8	16.7	3.6	10.7	2.3
\$80,000 or more	<b>211</b>	38.9	31.3	17.1	2.4	9.5	0.8
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>21</b>	23.8	38.1	23.8	0.0	14.3	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	<b>67</b>	35.8	22.4	28.4	7.5	5.9	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Public Health, by Select Demographic Characteristics**Public Health Issue: *Domestic Violence<sup>a</sup>*.*"Would you say a domestic violence is a very big problem, a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem at all in Anchorage?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>b,c</sup>					
		Very Big Problem	A Big Problem	Somewhat Of A Problem	Not A Problem At All	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	29.9%	34.4%	27.2%	1.7%	5.5%	1.3%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	25	28.0%	36.0%	28.0%	0.0%	4.0%	4.0%
Asian (only)	11	0.0	18.2	63.6	9.1	9.1	0.0
Black/African American (only)	13	30.8	46.2	15.4	0.0	7.7	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	3	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	491	30.8	33.8	27.1	1.6	5.3	1.4
All Other	46	30.4	39.1	26.1	0.0	4.3	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	7	28.6	28.6	14.3	14.3	14.2	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	22	36.4%	45.5%	13.6%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
No	571	29.6	34.0	27.8	1.6	5.6	1.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	3	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.4	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	319	37.6%	34.2%	21.3%	0.0%	6.0%	0.9%
Male	277	20.9	34.7	33.9	3.6	5.1	1.8
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	29	17.2%	34.5%	41.4%	0.0%	6.9%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	59	33.9	32.2	27.1	1.7	3.4	1.7
35 – 44 yrs	96	33.3	32.3	25.0	2.1	5.2	2.1
45 – 54 yrs	173	26.0	39.9	28.9	1.7	2.9	0.6
55 – 64 yrs	137	35.8	31.4	26.3	0.0	4.4	2.2
65 yrs & up	102	26.5	32.4	23.5	3.9	12.7	1.0
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	6	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%
High school/GED	103	27.2	30.1	30.1	2.9	8.7	1.0
1+ yrs college, no degree	162	24.7	40.1	26.5	1.9	5.6	1.2
Associate's degree	50	26.0	30.0	30.0	0.0	12.0	2.0
Bachelor's degree	142	36.6	33.8	23.9	1.4	2.8	1.4
Graduate/professional degree	132	31.8	33.3	28.8	1.5	3.0	1.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	333	29.4%	35.1%	28.5%	1.8%	3.6%	1.6%
Full-time homemaker	34	20.6	35.3	26.5	0.0	17.6	0.0
Part-time	46	37.0	37.0	21.7	0.0	2.2	2.1
Unemployed	25	32.0	24.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	4.0
Disabled for work	10	40.0	50.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
In school only	11	9.1	63.6	27.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Retired	109	31.2	30.3	22.9	3.7	11.0	0.9
Other	25	32.0	32.0	28.0	0.0	8.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	450	28.7%	34.9%	27.6%	1.3%	6.2%	1.3%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	145	33.8	33.1	25.5	2.8	3.4	1.4
MISSING/REFUSE	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'Domestic violence' is operationally defined as the physical, sexual, or psychological abuse of intimate partners or family members, including children and the elderly.

b. "N" = Number of respondents.

c. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Public Health, by Select Household Characteristics**Public Health Issue: *Domestic Violence*<sup>a</sup>.*"Would you say a domestic violence is a very big problem, a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem at all in Anchorage?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>b,c</sup>					
		Very Big Problem	A Big Problem	Somewhat Of A Problem	Not A Problem At All	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	29.9%	34.4%	27.2%	1.7%	5.5%	1.3%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	<b>432</b>	30.3%	35.6%	24.8%	1.6%	6.0%	1.7%
4 – 6 residents	<b>156</b>	30.1	30.8	32.7	1.3	4.5	0.6
7 or more residents	<b>5</b>	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	<b>3</b>	0.0	33.3	33.3	33.4	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	<b>16</b>	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	6.3%	12.5%	6.2%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>28</b>	46.4	25.0	17.9	0.0	7.1	3.6
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>93</b>	23.7	38.7	30.1	3.2	4.3	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>76</b>	26.3	35.5	34.2	1.3	2.7	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>84</b>	29.8	38.1	23.8	1.2	4.8	2.3
\$80,000 or more	<b>211</b>	35.1	35.1	22.3	0.5	5.2	1.8
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>21</b>	19.0	28.6	42.9	4.8	4.7	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	<b>67</b>	23.9	28.4	34.3	3.0	10.4	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'Domestic violence' is operationally defined as the physical, sexual, or psychological abuse of intimate partners or family members, including children and the elderly.

b. "N" = Number of respondents.

c. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Rating of Anchorage Community Health, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "On a scale between ZERO and FIVE, where zero means 'absolutely unhealthy' and five means 'perfectly healthy,' how would you rate the overall community health of Anchorage?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a</sup>					
		Sample Average	Low	High	Standard Deviation	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	3.095	0.000	5.000	0.728	0.7%	1.3%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	25	3.100	2.000	4.000	0.559	0.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	11	2.818	0.000	4.000	1.079	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	13	3.077	1.000	5.000	0.932	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	3	3.167	3.000	3.500	0.289	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	491	3.108	0.000	5.000	0.710	0.6	1.6
All Other	46	3.043	0.000	5.000	0.875	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	7	2.917	2.000	4.000	0.665	14.3	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	22	3.068	2.000	4.000	0.470	0.0%	0.0%
No	571	3.096	0.000	5.000	0.738	0.5	1.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	3	3.000	3.000	3.000	0.000	33.3	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	319	2.957	0.000	5.000	0.706	0.6%	0.6%
Male	277	3.257	0.000	5.000	0.721	0.7	2.1
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	29	3.121	2.000	4.000	0.562	0.0%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	59	2.966	1.000	5.000	0.700	0.0	0.0
35 – 44 yrs	96	3.042	1.000	5.000	0.554	0.0	1.0
45 – 54 yrs	173	3.122	0.000	4.000	0.674	1.2	1.8
55 – 64 yrs	137	3.104	0.000	5.000	0.842	0.0	1.5
65 yrs & up	102	3.158	0.000	5.000	0.851	2.0	2.0
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	6	3.417	3.000	4.000	0.492	0.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	103	3.120	0.000	5.000	0.888	1.0	1.9
1+ yrs college, no degree	162	3.053	0.000	5.000	0.717	0.6	1.2
Associate's degree	50	3.050	1.000	4.000	0.649	0.0	0.0
Bachelor's degree	142	3.116	0.000	4.000	0.632	1.4	1.4
Graduate/professional degree	132	3.112	0.000	5.000	0.745	0.0	1.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	2.500	2.500	2.500	0.000	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	333	3.092	0.000	5.000	0.670	0.3%	1.5%
Full-time homemaker	34	2.924	0.000	4.000	0.920	2.9	0.0
Part-time	46	3.141	2.000	5.000	0.647	0.0	0.0
Unemployed	25	3.180	1.000	4.000	0.762	0.0	0.0
Disabled for work	10	3.000	2.000	5.000	0.782	0.0	0.0
In school only	11	3.182	2.500	4.000	0.462	0.0	0.0
Retired	109	3.176	0.000	5.000	0.763	1.8	1.8
Other	25	3.100	2.000	5.000	0.750	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	3	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.0	33.3
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	450	3.116	0.000	5.000	0.737	0.9%	1.3%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	145	3.024	0.000	5.000	0.695	0.0	1.4
MISSING/REFUSE	1	4.000	4.000	4.000	0.000	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

**Rating of Anchorage Community Health, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "On a scale between ZERO and FIVE, where zero means 'absolutely unhealthy' and five means 'perfectly healthy,' how would you rate the overall community health of Anchorage?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a</sup>					
		Sample Average	Low	High	Standard Deviation	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	3.095	0.000	5.000	0.728	0.7%	1.3%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	<b>432</b>	3.090	0.000	5.000	0.745	0.2%	1.6%
4 – 6 residents	<b>156</b>	3.132	0.000	5.000	0.627	1.9	0.6
7 or more residents	<b>5</b>	2.700	2.000	3.000	0.447	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	<b>3</b>	2.667	0.000	5.000	2.517	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	<b>16</b>	2.833	0.000	4.000	0.880	0.0%	6.3%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>28</b>	3.036	2.000	5.000	0.560	0.0	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>93</b>	3.065	0.000	5.000	0.795	0.0	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>76</b>	3.318	1.000	5.000	0.589	2.6	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>84</b>	3.012	0.000	5.000	0.762	0.0	3.6
\$80,000 or more	<b>211</b>	3.104	1.000	4.500	0.608	0.5	1.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>21</b>	3.150	0.000	5.000	1.077	4.8	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	<b>67</b>	3.030	0.000	5.000	0.928	0.0	1.5

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

**Rating of Respondent's Own Health, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "On a scale between ZERO and FIVE, where zero means 'absolutely unhealthy' and five means 'perfectly healthy,' how would you rate your own health?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a</sup>					
		Sample Average	Low	High	Standard Deviation	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	3.944	0.000	5.000	0.820	0.0%	0.8%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	25	3.440	1.000	5.000	1.193	0.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	11	4.000	2.000	5.000	0.894	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	13	4.115	3.000	5.000	0.546	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	3	4.333	3.000	5.000	1.155	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	491	3.983	0.000	5.000	0.773	0.0	1.0
All Other	46	3.674	0.000	5.000	1.001	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	7	4.214	4.000	5.000	0.393	0.0	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	22	3.977	2.000	5.000	0.932	0.0%	0.0%
No	571	3.940	0.000	5.000	0.817	0.0	0.9
Don't Know/Not Applicable	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	3	4.333	4.000	5.000	0.577	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	319	3.900	0.000	5.000	0.869	0.0%	0.3%
Male	277	3.995	1.000	5.000	0.757	0.0	1.4
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	29	3.534	1.000	5.000	1.035	0.0%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	59	4.161	2.000	5.000	0.672	0.0	0.0
35 – 44 yrs	96	3.989	2.000	5.000	0.758	0.0	1.0
45 – 54 yrs	173	3.997	0.000	5.000	0.707	0.0	0.6
55 – 64 yrs	137	3.930	0.000	5.000	0.866	0.0	1.5
65 yrs & up	102	3.821	1.000	5.000	0.949	0.0	1.0
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	6	4.083	1.000	5.000	1.563	0.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	103	3.897	1.000	5.000	0.902	0.0	0.0
1+ yrs college, no degree	162	3.813	1.000	5.000	0.876	0.0	1.2
Associate's degree	50	3.910	2.000	5.000	0.690	0.0	0.0
Bachelor's degree	142	4.042	2.500	5.000	0.595	0.0	0.7
Graduate/professional degree	132	4.042	0.000	5.000	0.883	0.0	1.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	4.000	4.000	4.000	0.000	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	333	4.045	2.000	5.000	0.659	0.0%	1.2%
Full-time homemaker	34	3.926	1.000	5.000	0.897	0.0	0.0
Part-time	46	4.033	2.000	5.000	0.763	0.0	0.0
Unemployed	25	3.760	1.000	5.000	1.012	0.0	0.0
Disabled for work	10	2.800	2.000	4.000	0.789	0.0	0.0
In school only	11	4.227	4.000	5.000	0.344	0.0	0.0
Retired	109	3.795	1.000	5.000	0.926	0.0	0.9
Other	25	3.900	1.000	5.000	1.010	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	3	1.667	0.000	5.000	2.887	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	450	3.930	0.000	5.000	0.855	0.0%	0.9%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	145	3.986	2.000	5.000	0.702	0.0	0.7
MISSING/REFUSE	1	4.000	4.000	4.000	0.000	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

**Rating of Respondent's Own Health, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "On a scale between ZERO and FIVE, where zero means 'absolutely unhealthy' and five means 'perfectly healthy,' how would you rate your own health?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a</sup>					
		Sample Average	Low	High	Standard Deviation	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	3.944	0.000	5.000	0.820	0.0%	0.8%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	<b>432</b>	3.950	0.000	5.000	0.777	0.0%	0.9%
4 – 6 residents	<b>156</b>	3.965	1.000	5.000	0.866	0.0	0.6
7 or more residents	<b>5</b>	3.200	2.000	4.000	0.837	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	<b>3</b>	3.167	0.000	5.000	2.754	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	<b>16</b>	3.467	1.000	5.000	1.564	0.0%	6.3%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>28</b>	3.857	2.000	5.000	0.803	0.0	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>93</b>	3.838	1.000	5.000	0.836	0.0	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>76</b>	3.901	2.000	5.000	0.766	0.0	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>84</b>	3.951	2.000	5.000	0.756	0.0	2.4
\$80,000 or more	<b>211</b>	4.095	1.000	5.000	0.670	0.0	0.9
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>21</b>	3.548	1.000	5.000	0.974	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	<b>67</b>	3.925	0.000	5.000	0.990	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.



**Perceptions of Public Health, by Select Demographic Characteristics**Public Health Issue: Suicide.*"Would you say suicide is a very big problem, a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem at all?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Big Problem	A Big Problem	Somewhat Of A Problem	Not A Problem At All	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	8.9%	24.8%	47.3%	4.5%	13.3%	1.2%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	25	8.0%	24.0%	36.0%	8.0%	24.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	11	0.0	27.3	45.5	9.1	18.1	0.0
Black/African American (only)	13	0.0	23.1	61.5	7.7	7.7	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	3	33.3	33.3	33.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	491	9.4	24.2	48.5	3.9	12.8	1.2
All Other	46	4.3	34.8	39.1	8.7	10.9	2.2
MISSING/REFUSE	7	28.6	0.0	42.9	0.0	28.5	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	22	13.6%	36.4%	40.9%	4.5%	4.6%	0.0%
No	571	8.6	24.5	47.6	4.6	13.5	1.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	3	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.4	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	319	11.3%	27.3%	45.8%	1.9%	13.2%	0.5%
Male	277	6.1	22.0	49.1	7.6	13.4	1.8
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	29	6.9%	27.6%	44.8%	13.8%	6.9%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	59	8.5	25.4	44.1	3.4	18.6	0.0
35 – 44 yrs	96	13.5	25.0	45.8	5.2	9.4	1.0
45 – 54 yrs	173	6.9	21.4	56.6	4.6	9.8	0.6
55 – 64 yrs	137	10.2	27.7	43.1	4.4	13.1	1.5
65 yrs & up	102	6.9	25.5	41.2	2.0	21.6	2.9
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	6	0.0%	50.0%	33.3%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%
High school/GED	103	7.8	24.3	46.6	10.7	10.7	0.0
1+ yrs college, no degree	162	9.3	17.9	52.5	4.3	14.8	1.2
Associate's degree	50	6.0	30.0	40.0	4.0	20.0	0.0
Bachelor's degree	142	10.6	24.6	50.7	0.7	12.7	0.7
Graduate/professional degree	132	9.1	31.1	41.7	4.5	10.6	3.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	333	8.7%	24.3%	49.2%	4.8%	11.7%	1.3%
Full-time homemaker	34	8.8	23.5	38.2	2.9	26.5	0.0
Part-time	46	8.7	28.3	56.5	4.3	2.2	0.0
Unemployed	25	0.0	16.0	60.0	0.0	24.0	0.0
Disabled for work	10	20.0	20.0	40.0	10.0	10.0	0.0
In school only	11	27.3	45.5	18.2	9.1	0.0	0.0
Retired	109	8.3	24.8	41.3	3.7	19.3	2.8
Other	25	8.0	32.0	52.0	4.0	4.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	3	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	33.4	0.0
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	450	8.4%	25.1%	48.4%	4.7%	12.0%	1.4%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	145	10.3	24.1	43.4	4.1	17.2	0.7
MISSING/REFUSE	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Public Health, by Select Household Characteristics**Public Health Issue: *Suicide.**"Would you say suicide is a very big problem, a big problem, somewhat of a problem, or not a problem at all?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>					
		Very Big Problem	A Big Problem	Somewhat Of A Problem	Not A Problem At All	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	8.9%	24.8%	47.3%	4.5%	13.3%	1.2%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	432	9.0%	25.7%	47.2%	3.2%	13.4%	1.5%
4 – 6 residents	156	9.0	23.7	47.4	6.4	12.8	0.7
7 or more residents	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	16	6.3%	12.5%	68.8%	0.0%	6.3%	6.1%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	28	14.3	28.6	39.3	3.6	14.2	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	93	6.5	33.3	40.9	6.5	11.8	1.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	76	5.3	27.6	52.6	2.6	11.9	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	84	4.8	25.0	53.6	1.2	13.1	2.3
\$80,000 or more	211	12.3	23.2	47.9	4.7	10.4	1.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	21	14.3	23.8	38.1	14.3	9.5	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	67	7.5	16.4	41.8	6.0	28.3	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Public Health, by Select Demographic Characteristics**2 Public Health Issue: *Accidental Injuries*<sup>a</sup>.*"In general, how big of a problem would you say accidental injuries are in Anchorage?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>b,c</sup>					
		Very Big Problem	A Big Problem	Somewhat Of A Problem	Not A Problem At All	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	6.5%	21.6%	52.3%	8.4%	10.1%	1.1%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	25	12.0%	16.0%	56.0%	12.0%	4.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	11	18.2	27.3	45.5	9.0	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	13	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	3	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.4	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	491	6.5	21.4	53.2	7.9	10.0	1.0
All Other	46	2.2	28.3	37.0	13.0	17.4	2.1
MISSING/REFUSE	7	14.3	14.3	42.9	14.3	14.2	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>							
Yes	22	4.5%	27.3%	50.0%	4.5%	13.7%	0.0%
No	571	6.7	21.4	52.5	8.6	9.8	1.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	22	4.5	27.3	50.0	4.5	13.7	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	3	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.4	0.0
<b>Gender</b>							
Female	319	7.2%	21.6%	52.0%	6.3%	12.2%	0.7%
Male	277	5.8	21.7	52.7	10.8	7.6	1.4
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>							
18 – 24 yrs	29	6.9%	31.0%	55.2%	0.0%	6.9%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	59	8.5	22.0	42.4	20.3	6.8	0.0
35 – 44 yrs	96	6.3	22.9	56.3	7.3	6.3	0.9
45 – 54 yrs	173	4.6	22.0	56.6	10.4	5.8	0.6
55 – 64 yrs	137	8.0	20.4	48.2	8.0	13.9	1.5
65 yrs & up	102	6.9	18.6	52.0	2.0	18.6	1.9
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>							
No degree	6	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%
High school/GED	103	5.8	26.2	53.4	7.8	6.8	0.0
1+ yrs college, no degree	162	8.6	25.3	47.5	6.8	10.5	1.3
Associate's degree	50	6.0	16.0	50.0	18.0	10.0	0.0
Bachelor's degree	142	5.6	19.0	57.0	8.5	9.2	0.7
Graduate/professional degree	132	6.1	19.7	53.0	7.6	11.4	2.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>							
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	333	5.7%	21.6%	53.8%	10.2%	7.5%	1.2%
Full-time homemaker	34	5.9	26.5	47.1	5.9	14.6	0.0
Part-time	46	8.7	19.6	58.7	6.5	6.5	0.0
Unemployed	25	4.0	12.0	68.0	8.0	8.0	0.0
Disabled for work	10	40.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
In school only	11	0.0	54.5	27.3	0.0	18.2	0.0
Retired	109	6.4	17.4	50.5	5.5	18.3	1.7
Other	25	8.0	28.0	48.0	8.0	8.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	33.3	33.4	0.0
<b>Residency</b>							
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	450	6.4%	21.8%	52.2%	7.3%	11.1%	1.2%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	145	6.9	21.4	52.4	11.7	6.9	0.7
MISSING/REFUSE	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Note: These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. Injuries not caused on purpose by the person who was hurt/injured or someone else.

b. "N" = Number of respondents.

c. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Perceptions of Public Health, by Select Household Characteristics**Public Health Issue: *Accidental Injuries*<sup>a</sup>.*"In general, how big of a problem would you say accidental injuries are in Anchorage?"*

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>b,c</sup>					
		Very Big Problem	A Big Problem	Somewhat Of A Problem	Not A Problem At All	Don't Know/Not Applicable	Missing/Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	6.5%	21.6%	52.3%	8.4%	10.1%	1.1%
<b>Household Size</b>							
1 – 3 residents	432	6.0%	21.3%	51.9%	8.6%	11.1%	1.1%
4 – 6 residents	156	7.7	23.7	52.6	7.7	7.7	0.6
7 or more residents	5	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	3	33.3	0.0	33.3	33.4	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>							
Less than \$15,000	16	0.0%	31.3%	37.5%	12.5%	12.5%	6.2%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	28	14.3	14.3	53.6	3.6	14.2	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	93	4.3	17.2	62.4	7.5	8.6	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	76	7.9	28.9	46.1	9.2	7.9	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	84	3.6	21.4	58.3	7.1	7.1	2.5
\$80,000 or more	211	7.6	21.3	52.1	8.1	9.5	1.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	21	14.3	9.5	42.9	14.3	19.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	67	4.5	25.4	44.8	10.4	14.9	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. Injuries not caused on purpose by the person who was hurt/injured or someone else.

b. "N" = Number of respondents.

c. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Health Insurance Coverage, by Select Demographic Characteristics**Question: "Do you currently have health insurance<sup>a</sup>?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>b,c</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	87.2%	11.2%	0.0%	1.6%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>					
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	25	76.0%	24.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian (only)	11	72.7	27.3	0.0	0.0
Black/African American (only)	13	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	491	89.2	9.6	0.0	1.2
All Other	46	76.1	19.6	0.0	4.3
MISSING/REFUSE	7	71.4	14.3	0.0	14.3
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>					
Yes	22	72.7%	22.7%	4.6%	0.0%
No	571	87.9	10.7	0.0	1.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	22	72.7	22.7	0.0	4.6
MISSING/REFUSE	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>					
Female	319	92.2%	6.6%	0.0%	1.2%
Male	277	81.6	16.6	0.0	1.8
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>					
18 – 24 yrs	29	58.6%	41.4%	0.0%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	59	72.9	27.1	0.0	0.0
35 – 44 yrs	96	87.5	9.4	0.0	3.1
45 – 54 yrs	173	87.9	11.6	0.0	0.5
55 – 64 yrs	137	93.4	4.4	0.0	2.2
65 yrs & up	102	94.1	3.9	0.0	2.0
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>					
No degree	6	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%
High school/GED	103	85.4	14.6	0.0	0.0
1+ yrs college, no degree	162	84.6	13.6	0.0	1.8
Associate's degree	50	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
Bachelor's degree	142	89.4	9.9	0.0	0.7
Graduate/professional degree	132	92.4	3.8	0.0	3.8
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>					
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	333	88.0%	10.2%	0.0%	1.8%
Full-time homemaker	34	94.1	5.9	0.0	0.0
Part-time	46	84.8	15.2	0.0	0.0
Unemployed	25	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
Disabled for work	10	90.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
In school only	11	54.5	45.5	0.0	0.0
Retired	109	94.5	3.7	0.0	1.8
Other	25	88.0	12.0	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	3	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.4
<b>Residency</b>					
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	450	90.0%	8.4%	0.0%	1.6%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	145	78.6	20.0	0.0	1.4
MISSING/REFUSE	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. Insurance coverage which provides payment of benefits to assist with financial losses resulting from sickness or accidental bodily injury.

b. "N" = Number of respondents.

c. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Health Insurance Coverage, by Select Household Characteristics**Question: "Do you currently have health insurance<sup>a</sup>?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>b,c</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	87.2%	11.2%	0.0%	1.6%
<b>Household Size</b>					
1 – 3 residents	432	89.4%	9.3%	0.0%	1.3%
4 – 6 residents	156	82.7	16.7	0.0	0.6
7 or more residents	5	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	3	33.3	0.0	0.0	66.7
<b>Income (household)</b>					
Less than \$15,000	16	68.8%	25.0%	0.0%	6.2%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	28	64.3	35.7	0.0	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	93	80.6	19.4	0.0	0.0
\$50,000 – \$59,999	76	90.8	9.2	0.0	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	84	90.5	7.1	0.0	2.4
\$80,000 or more	211	93.4	5.2	0.0	1.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable	21	85.7	14.3	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	67	83.6	11.9	0.0	4.5

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. Insurance coverage which provides payment of benefits to assist with financial losses resulting from sickness or accidental bodily injury.

b. "N" = Number of respondents.

c. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Health Insurance Coverage, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "Would you describe your current insurance program as 'publicly provided'<sup>a</sup> or 'privately purchased'<sup>b</sup>?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>c,d</sup>			
		Publicly Provided	Privately Purchased	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	<b>22.8%</b>	<b>63.4%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>12.8%</b>
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>					
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	25	40.0%	32.0%	4.0%	24.0%
Asian (only)	11	18.2	54.5	0.0	27.3
Black/African American (only)	13	0.0	92.3	7.7	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	3	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3
White/Caucasian (only)	491	23.4	65.0	0.8	10.8
All Other	46	17.4	58.7	0.0	23.9
MISSING/REFUSE	7	14.3	57.1	0.0	28.6
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>					
Yes	22	9.1%	63.6%	0.0%	27.3%
No	571	23.5	63.4	1.1	12.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	3	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3
<b>Gender</b>					
Female	319	25.7%	65.2%	1.3%	7.8%
Male	277	19.5	61.4	0.7	18.4
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>					
18 – 24 yrs	29	17.2%	41.4%	0.0%	41.4%
25 – 34 yrs	59	8.5	64.4	0.0	27.1
35 – 44 yrs	96	9.4	78.1	0.0	12.5
45 – 54 yrs	173	9.2	78.0	0.6	12.2
55 – 64 yrs	137	21.2	72.3	0.0	6.5
65 yrs & up	102	70.6	18.6	4.9	5.9
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>					
No degree	6	33.3%	50.0%	0.0%	16.7%
High school/GED	103	26.2	58.3	1.0	14.5
1+ yrs college, no degree	162	24.7	58.6	1.2	15.5
Associate's degree	50	22.0	58.0	0.0	20.0
Bachelor's degree	142	16.9	71.1	1.4	10.6
Graduate/professional degree	132	23.5	68.2	0.8	7.5
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>					
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	333	8.1%	79.3%	0.6%	12.0%
Full-time homemaker	34	23.5	70.6	0.0	5.9
Part-time	46	28.3	56.5	0.0	15.2
Unemployed	25	16.0	44.0	0.0	40.0
Disabled for work	10	80.0	10.0	0.0	10.0
In school only	11	9.1	45.5	0.0	45.4
Retired	109	59.6	33.0	1.8	5.6
Other	25	36.0	44.0	8.0	12.0
MISSING/REFUSE	3	33.3	0.0	0.0	66.7
<b>Residency</b>					
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	450	26.9%	62.0%	1.1%	10.0%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	145	10.3	67.6	0.7	21.4
MISSING/REFUSE	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'Publicly funded' is operationally defined as insurance provided by governmental programs like Medicare and Medicaid, coverage provided by the Indian Health Service to members of federally recognized tribes, as well as coverage provided by Alaska Native Corporations to their shareholders.

b. 'Privately purchased' is operationally defined as insurance coverage purchased separately by the respondent on their own or through their employer.

c. "N" = Number of respondents.

d. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Health Insurance Coverage, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Would you describe your current insurance program as 'publicly provided'<sup>a</sup> or 'privately purchased'<sup>b</sup>?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>c,d</sup>			
		Publicly Provided	Privately Purchased	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	22.8%	63.4%	1.0%	12.8%
<b>Household Size</b>					
1 – 3 residents	432	25.2%	62.7%	1.4%	10.7%
4 – 6 residents	156	16.0	66.7	0.0	17.3
7 or more residents	5	40.0	40.0	0.0	20.0
MISSING/REFUSE	3	0.0	33.3	0.0	66.7
<b>Income (household)</b>					
Less than \$15,000	16	56.3%	12.5%	0.0%	31.2%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	28	35.7	28.6	0.0	35.7
\$25,000 – \$49,999	93	24.7	52.7	3.2	19.4
\$50,000 – \$59,999	76	14.5	73.7	2.6	9.2
\$60,000 – \$79,999	84	29.8	60.7	0.0	9.5
\$80,000 or more	211	12.8	80.1	0.5	6.6
Don't Know/Not Applicable	21	52.4	33.3	0.0	14.3
MISSING/REFUSE	67	29.9	53.7	0.0	16.4

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. 'Publicly funded' is operationally defined as insurance provided by governmental programs like Medicare and Medicaid, coverage provided by the Indian Health Service to members of federally recognized tribes, as well as coverage provided by Alaska Native Corporations to their shareholders.

b. 'Privately purchased' is operationally defined as insurance coverage purchased separately by the respondent on their own or through their employer.

c. "N" = Number of respondents.

d. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.



**Access to Medical Care, by Select Demographic Characteristics**

Question: "Within the past year, were you and your family able to get needed healthcare services?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	<b>91.9%</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>					
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	25	88.0%	8.0%	0.0%	4.0%
Asian (only)	11	72.7	18.2	9.1	0.0
Black/African American (only)	13	92.3	7.7	0.0	0.0
Pacific Islander (only)	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
White/Caucasian (only)	491	93.1	4.3	1.4	1.2
All Other	46	89.1	8.7	0.0	2.2
MISSING/REFUSE	7	71.4	28.6	0.0	0.0
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>					
Yes	22	81.8%	13.6%	0.0%	4.6%
No	571	92.6	4.7	1.4	1.3
Don't Know/Not Applicable	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>					
Female	319	92.2%	5.6%	0.9%	1.3%
Male	277	91.7	5.1	1.8	1.4
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Age</b>					
18 – 24 yrs	29	86.2%	6.9%	6.9%	0.0%
25 – 34 yrs	59	88.1	8.5	3.4	0.0
35 – 44 yrs	96	92.7	5.2	1.0	1.1
45 – 54 yrs	173	89.0	9.2	1.2	0.6
55 – 64 yrs	137	94.9	2.2	0.7	2.2
65 yrs & up	102	96.1	1.0	0.0	2.9
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Education</b>					
No degree	6	83.3%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%
High school/GED	103	88.3	9.7	2.0	0.0
1 + yrs college, no degree	162	93.2	4.3	1.2	1.3
Associate's degree	50	94.0	6.0	0.0	0.0
Bachelor's degree	142	92.3	6.3	0.7	0.7
Graduate/professional degree	132	92.4	2.3	2.3	3.0
Don't Know/Not Applicable	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>					
Full-time (35 + hrs/wk), outside home	333	90.4%	6.9%	1.2%	1.5%
Full-time homemaker	34	91.2	8.8	0.0	0.0
Part-time	46	89.1	4.3	6.6	0.0
Unemployed	25	92.0	8.0	0.0	0.0
Disabled for work	10	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
In school only	11	90.9	0.0	9.1	0.0
Retired	109	96.3	0.9	0.0	2.8
Other	25	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0
<b>Residency</b>					
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	450	92.7%	4.7%	1.1%	1.5%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	145	89.7	7.6	2.1	0.6
MISSING/REFUSE	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

**Access to Medical Care, by Select Household Characteristics**

Question: "Within the past year, were you and your family able to get needed healthcare services?"

(Percent of valid responses)

	N	RESPONSE CATEGORY <sup>a,b</sup>			
		YES	NO	Don't Know/ Not Applicable	Missing/ Refuse
<b>ANCHORAGE (TOTAL)</b>	<b>596</b>	<b>91.9%</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>
<b>Household Size</b>					
1 – 3 residents	<b>432</b>	92.8%	4.4%	1.2%	1.6%
4 – 6 residents	<b>156</b>	90.4	7.7	1.3	0.6
7 or more residents	<b>5</b>	80.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	<b>3</b>	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0
<b>Income (household)</b>					
Less than \$15,000	<b>16</b>	68.8%	18.8%	6.3%	6.1%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>28</b>	78.6	17.9	3.5	0.0
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>93</b>	93.5	4.3	1.1	1.1
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>76</b>	93.4	3.9	2.7	0.0
\$60,000 – \$79,999	<b>84</b>	94.0	2.4	1.2	2.4
\$80,000 or more	<b>211</b>	92.9	5.2	0.0	1.9
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>21</b>	95.2	0.0	4.8	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	<b>67</b>	92.5	6.0	1.5	0.0

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005.

a. "N" = Number of respondents.

b. Rows may not sum to precisely 100.0% due to rounding error.

## **Section 5**

### **Appendices**

---



## **Appendix A: Methodology**



## **Appendix A: Methodology**

### **Sampling Design**

#### *Universe*

Adults residing within the municipality of Anchorage.

#### *Sampling Frame*

Households with at least one land-line telephone with a publicly listed number as of September 15, 2004.

#### *Sampling Design*

Stratified, random sampling.

##### *Strata*

- 1) Community council area
- 2) Household
- 3) First adult contacted

Respondents for the *Anchorage Community Survey, 2005* were selected using a stratified sampling protocol. The population was first divided into 36 community council area strata based on the published address of the household. Then, within each community council area, at least 400 households were randomly selected for inclusion in the sample<sup>1</sup>. This two-stage protocol produced a total sample of 10,871 phone numbers (households). These numbers were then downloaded into the Computer-assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI) server, with all information identifying specific individuals removed, except corresponding address information. The CATI system randomly selected individual phone numbers for dialing by interviewers. Interviews were only conducted with the *first* adult resident within each household to answer the phone<sup>2</sup>. Figure A1 provides a visual representation of the ACS sampling design.

### **Response Rate**

#### *Training Protocol*


A detailed training protocol was developed for the *Anchorage Community Survey, 2005* in order to maximize the overall rate of response, and thus the overall representativeness of the sample

---

<sup>1</sup> In community council areas containing less than 400 households with listed telephone numbers, all households were included.

<sup>2</sup> To protect the integrity of the selection process, respondents who answered the phone but who did not want to participate were not permitted to “pass along” the phone to another adult who was. In such instances, calls were coded as a “refusal” and a call-back was automatically scheduled by the CATI software.

**Figure A1. Schematic Diagram of Anchorage Community Survey Sampling Design**



and generalizability of findings. All applicants for interviewing positions were required to complete, prior to formal training, an extensive human subjects certification program administered by the University of Alaska Anchorage<sup>3</sup>. This training introduced applicants to the following key issues surrounding research involving human subjects:

- Respect for persons;
- Beneficence;
- Justice;
- Informed consent;
- Anonymity and confidentiality; and
- Legal rights and responsibilities of researchers.

Providing this training to prospective interviewers helped to ensure that all respondents contacted for the *Anchorage Community Survey, 2005* were treated in a manner that was not only non-coercive, but was maximally responsive to their rights and needs.

In addition to their preliminary human-subjects certification, ACS interviewers were also required to undergo 20 hours of intensive training teaching them how to actually conduct interviews. Interviewers completed training modules on each of the following topics:

- The science of survey interviewing;
- Social science ethics;
- Introduction to telephone survey methods;

<sup>3</sup> This training program is administered via the web at <https://www.citiprogram.org/default.asp>.



- Interviewing roles;
- Methods of posing interview questions and probing;
- Refusal conversion techniques; and
- Introduction to computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) technologies.

Because of heightened concerns about non-response for surveys administered via telephone, a great deal of training time was dedicated to introducing interviewers to various refusal conversion techniques; that is, interviewers were taught various techniques for getting respondents who were at first reluctant to participate. The general approach taken for the ACS was for interviewers to 1) listen to each respondent's concerns; 2) identify a respondent's objections; and 3) respond accordingly. ACS research interviewer training also heavily emphasized the issues of reliability and validity. Interviewers were trained how to ask questions (tone, volume, pace, cadence, etc.) and were required to demonstrate consistency in the way they asked questions from respondent to respondent. Particular emphasis was given to interviewer probing techniques so that interviewers could be sure that they recorded valid responses to survey questions. Each of these skills was practiced repeatedly by interviewers in a series of mock interviews over the two-day training period. In all, each interviewer completed 2-3 round-robin mock interviews, followed by 4-5 full length mock interviews before receiving their certification.

At the conclusion of the two-day training session, interviewers were required to be certified by the Project Director before they could begin interviewing actual respondents. The certification interview was a full-scale mock interview in which the interviewer proceeded through every step of the interview process autonomously, from setting up the interview station, to logging on to the CATI system, to dialing the phone number, and finally to conducting the interview. Interviewers were taken through a series of excruciating twists and turns in the interview to ensure they were prepared for a wide variety of contingencies. While each interview was unique, all of them contained the following elements: explanation of informed consent; refusal conversion; back-tracking to previous questions; insults directed at interviewer (including profanity); open-ended responses; tests of pace, pronunciation, and cadence; and consistency of question presentation.

### ***Dialing Protocol***

The *Anchorage Community Survey, 2005* used *WinCATI 4.1*<sup>4</sup>, for the management of the sample. This software allowed the Project Director to define:

- The maximum number of times a phone number can be *dialed* before it is removed from the sample;
- The maximum number of times a particular household can *refuse* to participate before it is removed from the sample;
- For *refusals*, the minimum number of hours before a *call-back* can be attempted;
- For *no-answers*, the minimum amount of time before a *call-back* can be attempted;
- For *busy signals*, the minimum amount of time before a *call-back* can be attempted; and
- The valid days and times interviews can be conducted.

<sup>4</sup> Software developed and marketed by Sawtooth Technologies, Inc. More information on this product can be found at

Once each of these (and some other) parameters were defined, *WinCATI* managed the sample automatically, behind the scenes. The specific parameters of the *Anchorage Community Survey, 2004* are provided in Table A1.

*Anchorage Community Survey, 2005: Table A1.*

**Sample Settings for *Anchorage Community Survey, 2005***

Parameter	ACS Definition
Maximum number of <i>refusals</i>	3
Maximum number of <i>attempts</i>	10
Minimum <i>no answer</i> reattempt time (minutes)	90
Minimum <i>busy signal</i> reattempt time (minutes)	90
Minimum <i>refusal</i> reattempt time (hours)	72
Calling queue size	10
Show call-backs in queue (minutes)	5
Study dates	October 6, 2004 – February 14, 2005
Study days	7 days a week
Study hours	Mon – Fri: 6pm – 9pm ; Sat – Sun: 12pm – 8pm

### ***Response Rate***

Table A2 presents the response rate statistics for the *Anchorage Community Survey, 2005*. Approximately 85 percent ( $n = 9,296$ ) of the 10,871 listed phone numbers sampled were determined to be valid. Of these valid numbers, 97.7 percent ( $n = 9,082$ ) were *dialed* at least once by ACS interviewers; contact with a resident was made for 60.7 percent ( $n = 5,512$ ) of all households called. Using only those households where an eligible adult resident answered the phone as the base, the active completion rate for the *Anchorage Community Survey, 2005* was 44.1 percent; the overall completion rate, calculated using the total valid numbers dialed as the base, was 26.8 percent. Just over half of all those contacted (54.9%) refused to participate in the survey. Notably, of those who agreed to participate (completes + incompletes) 97.8 percent completed the survey interview.

**Response Rate<sup>a</sup> Statistics**

<b>Total Starting Sample Size</b>	<b>10,871</b>
<b>Number of ineligible</b>	<b>1,575</b>
Business number	390
Fax/Modem line	105
Number out of service	1,058
No English speaker	3
Under 18 / No adult home	19
<b>Total Valid Sample Size</b>	<b>9,296</b>
Number not attempted	214
<b>Total Valid Numbers Dialed</b>	<b>9,082</b>
Overall dialed rate <sup>b</sup> :	97.7%
<b>Total no-answers</b>	<b>3,570</b>
Voicemail/Answering machine	2,179
No pick-up	807
Busy signal	584
Dialed no-answer rate <sup>c</sup> :	39.3%
<b>Total Valid Numbers Contacted</b>	<b>5,512</b>
<b>Total completed interviews</b>	<b>2,431</b>
Active complete rate:	44.1%
Overall complete rate <sup>d</sup> :	26.8%
<b>Total incomplete interviews</b>	<b>54</b>
Completion rate:	97.8%
<b>Total refusals</b>	<b>3,027</b>
Verbal refusals	1,786
Hang-ups	884
Requested no-calls	357
Active refusal rate:	54.9%
Overall refusal rate:	33.3%

a. Due to time constraints, the dialing protocol was not fully implemented and therefore the sample of phone numbers was not completely exhausted (i.e., dialed ten times, completed, etc.) All data presented in this table represent results based on the last disposition coded for each sample record.

b. Calculated by dividing the total number dialed by the total valid sample size.

c. Calculated by dividing the total no-answers by the total numbers dialed.

d. Calculated by dividing the completed interviews by the total numbers dialed.

e. Calculated by dividing the total by the total available sample size.



## **Appendix B: Survey Sample**



## Appendix B: Survey Sample

Tables B1 and B2 present the distribution of respondent characteristics for the *Anchorage Community Survey, 2005* alongside data from the 2000 Census for comparison. Examination of individual-level demographic characteristics revealed the ACS survey sample to be representative of the overall adult population (residents age 18 and over) of the municipality across each of the following:

- Race/ethnicity;
- Hispanic background/origin;
- Gender;
- Employment status;
- Residential tenure; and
- Household size.

However, the ACS sample was found to contain significant sampling error with respect to:

- Age;
- Education; and
- Household income.

In terms of age, the ACS over-sampled respondents located at the upper end of the age distribution while simultaneously under-sampling those at the lower end. Similarly, the survey did not include a proportionate amount of responses from people with a high school education or less and contained an over-abundance of participants with a university or post-graduate education. And, the ACS sample appears to contain fewer respondents living in households earning less than \$25,000 per year in total income; however, the ACS sample appears to contained representative proportions of respondents who live in households earning \$50,000 or more per year<sup>1</sup>.

---

<sup>1</sup> Separate analyses reveal a significant positive correlation between a respondent's age and their level of education; a respondent's level of education was significantly related to the total income of their household; age was not found to be associated with income.

**Comparison of Demographic Characteristics<sup>a</sup>: A.C.S., 2005 Sample vs. U.S. Census, 2000**

	A.C.S., 2005		U.S. Census, 2000	
	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>				
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	96	3.9%	12,516	6.8%
Asian (only)	42	1.7	10,192	5.5
Black/African American (only)	54	2.2	10,065	5.5
Pacific Islander (only)	12	0.5	1,361	0.7
White/Caucasian (only)	1,974	79.4	139,523	75.7
All Other	199	8.0	10,755	5.8
MISSING/REFUSE	108	4.3	—	—
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>				
Yes	76	3.1%	8,847	4.8%
No	2,393	96.3	175,565	95.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	0.1	—	—
MISSING/REFUSE	13	0.5	—	—
<b>Gender</b>				
Female	1,378	55.5%	91,459	49.6%
Male	1,105	44.4	92,953	51.4
MISSING/REFUSE	2	0.1	—	—
<b>Age</b>				
18 – 24 yrs	101	4.1%	24,886	13.5%
25 – 34 yrs	259	10.4	40,113	21.8
35 – 44 yrs	407	16.4	48,210	26.1
45 – 54 yrs	738	29.7	38,803	21.0
55 – 64 yrs	540	21.7	18,158	9.8
65 yrs & up	436	17.5	14,242	7.8
MISSING/REFUSE	4	0.2	—	—
<b>Education<sup>b</sup></b>				
No degree	25	1.0%	15,522	9.7%
High School/GED	385	15.5	38,741	24.2
1 + yrs college, no degree	638	25.7	46,789	29.3
Associate's degree	206	8.3	12,639	7.9
Bachelor's degree	606	24.4	29,865	18.7
Graduate/professional degree	545	21.9	16,375	10.2
Don't Know/Not Applicable	5	0.2	—	—
MISSING/REFUSE	75	3.0	—	—
<b>Employment status<sup>c</sup></b>				
In labor force	1,621	65.2%	143,350	74.4%
Military	20	1.2	8,503	5.9
Civilian <sup>d</sup>	1,601	98.8	134,847	94.1
Civilians in labor force employed	1,553	—	125,737	—
% 16 & over employed		62.5		69.6
% in labor force employed		95.8		93.6
<b>Residency<sup>e</sup></b>				
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,780	71.6%	68,364	72.1%
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	704	28.3	26,458	27.9
MISSING/REFUSE	1	0.1	—	—
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2,485</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>184,412</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

a. All Census data presented are for persons age 18 and over. (Source: US. Census Bureau, *American Fact Finder*, Tables: P5; P6; and P12.)b. Census data for employment status are for persons age 16 and over. (Source: US. Census Bureau, *American Fact Finder*, Table P43.)c. Census data for employment status are for persons age 16 and over. (Source: US. Census Bureau, *American Fact Finder*, Table P43.)

d. Includes those who are currently unemployed, but who are actively seeking work.

e. Census data for residency status are for heads of household. The total noted represents households, not persons. (Source: US. Census Bureau, *American Fact Finder*, Table H38.)



**Comparison of Household Characteristics: A.C.S., 2005 Sample vs. U.S. Census, 2000**

	A.C.S., 2005		U.S. Census, 2000	
	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Household Size<sup>a</sup></b>				
1 – 3 residents	<b>1,727</b>	69.5%	<b>69,229</b>	73.0%
4 – 6 residents	<b>631</b>	25.4	<b>24,087</b>	25.4
7 or more residents	<b>43</b>	1.7	<b>1,506</b>	1.6
MISSING/REFUSE	<b>84</b>	3.4	—	—
<b>Income (household)</b>				
Less than \$15,000	<b>72</b>	2.9%	<b>7,722</b>	8.1%
\$15,000 – \$24,999	<b>97</b>	3.9	<b>8,936</b>	9.4
\$25,000 – \$49,999	<b>367</b>	14.8	<b>25,450</b>	26.8
\$50,000 – \$59,999	<b>270</b>	10.9	<b>9,267</b>	9.7
\$60,000 – \$79,999 <sup>b</sup>	<b>334</b>	13.4	<b>12,239</b>	12.9
\$80,000 or more <sup>c</sup>	<b>883</b>	35.5	<b>31,466</b>	33.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	<b>119</b>	4.8	—	—
MISSING/REFUSE	<b>343</b>	13.8	—	—
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2,485</b>	100.0	<b>95,080</b>	100.0

a. Census data for household size are for Anchorage households. The total noted represents households, not persons. (Source: US. Census Bureau, *American Fact Finder*, Table H16.)

b. 2000 Census income data range: \$60,000 - \$74,999. (Source: US. Census Bureau, *American Fact Finder*, Table P52.)

c. 2000 Census income data range: \$75,000 or more. (Source: US. Census Bureau, *American Fact Finder*, Table P52.)



## **Appendix C: Addenda Samples**



**Number of Respondents, by Addendum and Community Council Area (Anchorage)**

Community Council Area	N	SURVEY ADDENDUM			
		Collective Deterrence	Youth Violence	Municipal Services	Public Health
Abbott Loop	73	20	14	23	16
Airport Heights	96	30	24	24	18
Basher	5	2	2	0	1
Bayshore/Klatt	91	17	24	27	23
Bear Valley	9	0	2	2	5
Birchwood	30	9	6	7	8
Campbell Park	74	16	19	20	19
Chugiak	101	22	26	26	27
Downtown	10	1	2	5	2
Eagle River	87	26	24	17	20
Eagle River Valley	108	27	28	20	33
Eklutna Valley	0	0	0	0	0
Fairview	63	14	18	23	8
Girdwood	64	15	13	18	18
Glen Alps	2	0	1	0	1
Government Hill	27	5	10	5	7
Hillside East	55	18	14	16	7
Huffman/O'Malley	119	29	30	25	35
Mid-Hillside	115	34	24	29	28
Mountain View	8	1	3	1	3
North Star	66	16	23	13	14
Northeast	71	18	19	13	21
Old Seward/Oceanview	103	28	24	26	25
Rabbit Creek	119	28	26	34	31
Rogers Park	102	28	25	22	27
Russian Jack Park	64	20	15	12	17
Sand Lake	103	23	32	26	22
Scenic Foothills	88	23	21	26	18
South Addition	99	30	21	27	21
South Fork	7	1	1	3	2
Spenard	69	23	21	14	11
Taku/Campbell	95	14	15	34	32
Tudor Area	49	12	12	13	12
Turnagain	79	17	24	22	16
University Area	78	25	13	10	30
No Community Council <sup>a</sup>	74	16	20	20	18
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>2,403</b>	<b>608</b>	<b>596</b>	<b>603</b>	<b>596</b>
<b>No Addendum Administered<sup>b</sup></b>	<b>82</b>	—	—	—	—
<b>TOTAL SAMPLE</b>	<b>2,485</b>				

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 –February 14, 2005.

a. "No Community Council" refers to households not falling within designated community council boundaries, or households whose geographic location could not be ascertained.

b. Represents cases where an ACS survey addendum was not administered due to respondents terminating the interview.

**Distribution of Respondents, by Addendum and Select Demographic Characteristics**

DEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLE	Total	SURVEY ADDENDUM							
		Collective Deterrence		Youth Violence		Municipal Services		Public Health	
		N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>									
Alaska Native/American Indian (only)	95	16	2.6%	27	4.5%	27	4.5%	25	4.2%
Asian (only)	41	8	1.3	15	2.5	7	1.2	11	1.8
Black/African American (only)	53	14	2.3	13	2.2	13	2.2	13	2.2
Pacific Islander (only)	12	2	0.3	3	0.5	4	0.7	3	0.5
White/Caucasian (only)	1,965	506	83.2	478	80.2	490	81.3	491	82.4
All Other	197	55	9.0	47	7.9	49	8.1	46	7.7
MISSING/REFUSE	40	7	1.2	13	2.2	13	2.2	7	1.2
<b>Hispanic background/origin</b>									
Yes	75	21	3.5%	15	2.5%	17	2.8%	22	3.7%
No	2,312	585	96.1	576	96.6	580	96.2	571	95.8
Don't Know/Not Applicable	3	1	0.2	0	0.0	2	0.3	0	0.0
MISSING/REFUSE	13	1	0.2	5	0.8	4	0.7	3	0.5
<b>Gender</b>									
Female	1,301	309	50.8%	335	56.2%	338	56.1%	319	53.5%
Male	1,100	298	49.0	261	43.8	264	43.8	277	46.5
MISSING/REFUSE	2	1	0.2	0	0.0	1	0.2	0	0.0
<b>Age</b>									
18 – 24 yrs	99	26	4.3%	27	4.5%	17	2.8%	29	4.9%
25 – 34 yrs	250	53	8.7	75	12.6	63	10.4	59	9.9
35 – 44 yrs	396	104	17.1	87	14.6	109	18.1	96	16.1
45 – 54 yrs	719	187	30.8	160	26.8	199	33.0	173	29.0
55 – 64 yrs	525	135	22.2	135	22.7	118	19.6	137	23.0
65 yrs & up	414	103	16.9	112	18.8	97	16.1	102	17.1
MISSING/REFUSE	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
<b>Education</b>									
No degree	25	9	1.5%	9	1.5%	1	0.2%	6	1.0
High School/GED	384	95	15.6	89	14.9	97	16.1	103	17.3
1+ yrs college, no degree	636	160	26.3	153	25.7	161	26.7	162	27.2
Associate's degree	204	48	7.9	49	8.2	57	9.5	50	8.4
Bachelor's degree	602	158	26.0	151	25.4	151	25.0	142	23.8
Graduate/professional degree	542	137	22.5	142	23.8	131	21.7	132	22.1
Don't Know/Not Applicable	4	1	0.2	1	0.2	1	0.2	1	0.2
MISSING/REFUSE	6	0	0.0	2	0.3	4	0.7	0	0.0
<b>Primary work status</b>									
Full-time (35+ hrs/wk), outside home	1,375	366	60.3%	333	55.9%	343	56.9%	333	55.9%
Full-time homemaker	136	24	3.9	38	6.4	40	6.6	34	5.7
Part-time	161	39	6.4	39	6.5	37	6.1	46	7.7
Unemployed	97	23	3.8	26	4.4	23	3.8	25	4.2
Disabled for work	40	3	0.5	10	1.7	17	2.8	10	1.7
In school only	32	9	1.5	5	0.8	7	1.2	11	1.8
Retired	445	110	18.1	118	19.8	108	17.9	109	18.3
Other	109	33	5.4	26	4.4	25	4.1	25	4.2
MISSING/REFUSE	8	1	0.2	1	0.2	3	0.5	3	0.5
<b>Residency</b>									
Same residence 5 yrs ago: Yes	1,773	433	71.2%	459	77.0%	431	71.4%	450	75.5
Same residence 5 yrs ago: No	629	175	28.8	137	23.0	171	28.4	146	24.5
MISSING/REFUSE	1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.2	0	0.0
Sub-Total	2,403	608	—	596	—	603	—	596	—
No Addendum Administered <sup>a</sup>	82								
TOTAL SAMPLE	2,485								

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 –February 14, 2005.

a. Represents cases where an ACS survey addendum was not administered due to respondents terminating the interview.

**Distribution of Respondents, by Addendum and Select Household Characteristics**

HOUSEHOLD VARIABLE	Total	SURVEY ADDENDUM							
		Collective Deterrence		Youth Violence		Municipal Services		Public Health	
		N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Household Size</b>									
1 – 3 residents		438	72.0%	419	70.8%	428	71.7%	432	72.5%
4 – 6 residents		156	25.7	159	26.7	156	25.8	156	26.2
7 or more residents		11	1.8	14	2.3	13	2.2	5	0.8
MISSING/REFUSE		3	0.5	4	0.7	6	1.0	3	0.5
<b>Income (household)</b>									
Less than \$15,000		14	2.3%	21	3.5%	19	3.2%	16	2.7%
\$15,000 – \$24,999		24	3.9	22	3.7	23	3.8	28	4.7
\$25,000 – \$49,999		87	14.3	96	16.1	89	14.8	93	15.6
\$50,000 – \$59,999		79	13.0	60	10.1	53	8.8	76	12.8
\$60,000 – \$79,999		80	13.2	94	15.8	76	12.6	84	14.1
\$80,000 or more		223	36.7	217	36.4	231	38.3	211	35.4
Don't Know/Not Applicable		37	6.1	27	4.5	32	5.3	21	3.5
MISSING/REFUSE		64	10.5	59	9.9	80	13.3	67	11.2
Sub-Total	2,403	608	—	596	—	603	—	596	—
No Addendum Administered <sup>a</sup>	82								
TOTAL SAMPLE	2,485								

**Note:** These data are based on telephone interviews with adult residents selected from a stratified random sample of Anchorage households with: 1) at least one traditional land-line telephone, and 2) at least one published ("listed") phone listing. The survey was conducted: October 6, 2004 –February 14, 2005.

a. Represents cases where an ACS survey addendum was not administered due to respondents terminating the interview.





## **Appendix D: Questionnaire**



## Appendix D: Questionnaire

### Structure of Anchorage Community Survey Questionnaire

- Administered to approximately 2,500 – 3,000 Anchorage households
- Allows for detailed spatial / ecological analyses
- Conducted every time ACS is fielded

- Reserved for topic requiring detailed spatial/ ecological analyses

- Administered to random sub-sample of 500 Anchorage households
- Allows for “macro” spatial analyses
- Addenda topics included on an as-needed basis

#### **CORE SURVEY**

##### *Section I: Community Capacity*

##### **Three Dimensions of Community Capacity:**

- **Local Community Capacity:** the capacity of community residents to identify and develop informal, grassroots responses to problems of crime, delinquency and public disorder.
- **Local Government Capacity:** the capacity of city government institutions to deliver core public services in a manner that fosters public support.
- **Criminal Justice System Capacity:** the capacity of local legal institutions to respond to crime concerns of a community in a way that bolsters confidence and support for legal institutions and the rule of law.

##### *Section II: Research In Focus*

##### **Topic: Anchorage Residents Perceptions of Police Services**

- **Policing Processes:** public perceptions of policing practices in terms of the *means* (strategies, tactics, routine methods) used to achieve departmental policy goals.
- **Policing Outcomes:** public perceptions of policing practices in terms of the *ends* (goals, aims, policies) sought by departmental and municipal administrators.

##### *Section III: Respondent Demographics*

#### **SURVEY ADDENDA SECTION**

##### *Section IV: Special Topics*

**Special Topic #1:** Collective Deterrence for Gun Crime.

**Special Topic #2:** Perceptions and Attitudes of Youth Violence in Anchorage

**Special Topic #3:** Municipal Fiscal Policy: Taxation and Public Services

**Special Topic #4:** Perceptions of and Experiences with Public Health Issues in Anchorage

**S1** *May I speak with an adult resident of the household?*

 $0 \quad N\phi$ 

1 *Yes*

## 8 [DK/NA]

9 [REFUSE]

**S2** *This survey interview will take about 15 minutes to complete. Would you be willing to participate in the survey by answering some questions?*

 $0 \quad No$ 

1 *Yes*

8 [DK/NA]

9 [REFUSE]

**S3** *Before we get started, I need to make sure you're eligible for the study. I have to ask, how old were you, IN YEARS, on your LAST birthday?*

[OPEN END &gt;&gt;&gt; ENTER # OF YEARS]

888 [DK/NA]

999 [REFUSE]

### Transition statement...

(if  $S3 \geq 18$ )

*OK. Your age makes you eligible for the study.*

*Let me assure you there are no “right” or “wrong” answers to any of the questions I’ll ask you. This research is only interested in YOUR PERSPECTIVE, which cannot be “wrong” or “incorrect.” Of course, you can refuse to answer any or all of the questions I ask you. If, at any time, you feel uncomfortable answering a survey item, please let me know and we’ll move on to something else.*

*To begin, I'm going to read you a series of statements describing the people in your neighborhood. For each of these statements, please tell me if you: STRONGLY AGREE, AGREE, NEITHER AGREE nor DISAGREE, DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE.*

[GoTo LCCAP\_1]

### Transition statement...

(if  $S3 < 18$ )

*I'm sorry, but only those 18 or older can participate in the study.*

**[GoTo Transition Statement Below...]**

### Transition statement...

(if  $S3 < 18$ )

*Thank you very much for your time. Have a nice [DAY/AFTERNOON/EVENING, ETC...]*

## EXIT INTERVIEW

## Core Survey

### LOCAL COMMUNITY CAPACITY SECTION

#### Social Cohesion\*\*\*

LCCAP\_1 The first statement is: People in your neighborhood can be trusted. Would you say you: **STRONGLY AGREE, AGREE, NEITHER AGREE nor DISAGREE, DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?**

- 1 *Strongly agree;*
- 2 *Agree;*
- 3 *Neither agree nor disagree;*
- 4 *Disagree; or*
- 5 *Strongly disagree.*
- 8 **[DON'T KNOW/ NOT APPLICABLE]**
- 9 **[REFUSE]**

LCCAP\_2 People in your neighborhood generally don't get along with each other.

LCCAP\_3 People in your neighborhood do not share the same values.

LCCAP\_4 People in your neighborhood are willing to help their neighbors.

LCCAP\_5 Yours is a close-knit neighborhood.

Transition statement ...

*As part of our study, we're hoping to learn more about residents' willingness to take action when others engage in behaviors that might be seen as undesirable. I'm going to read you a series of statements describing some actions people in your neighborhood might take in some specific situations. For each of these statements, please tell me if you; STRONGLY AGREE, AGREE, NEITHER AGREE nor DISAGREE, DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE.*

\*\*\* All questions directly quoted or adapted from: Earls, Felton (1999). "Project on Human Development in Chicago Neighborhoods: Computer Survey, 1994-1995."

### Informal Social Control\*\*\*

LCCAP\_6 One or more of your neighbors could be counted on to intervene if children were spray-painting graffiti on a local building. Would you say you: **STRONGLY AGREE, AGREE, NEITHER AGREE nor DISAGREE, DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?**

- 1 *Strongly agree;*
- 2 *Agree;*
- 3 *Neither agree nor disagree;*
- 4 *Disagree; or*
- 5 *Strongly disagree.*
- 8 **[DON'T KNOW/ NOT APPLICABLE]**
- 9 **[REFUSE]**

LCCAP\_7 One or more of your neighbors could be counted on to intervene if children were showing disrespect to an adult.

LCCAP\_8 One or more of your neighbors could be counted on to intervene if the fire station closest to their home was threatened with budget cuts.

LCCAP\_9 One or more of your neighbors could be counted on to intervene if a fight broke out in front of their house.

LCCAP\_10 One or more of your neighbors could be counted on to intervene if children were skipping school and hanging out on a neighborhood street corner.

Transition statement...

*In addition to learning about community-level social controls, we're also interested in the social activities and organizations that people join in their neighborhoods. For each one that I name, please tell me if you or other members of your **household** participate in any of these activities:*

\*\*\* All questions directly quoted or adapted from: Earls, Felton (1999). "Project on Human Development in Chicago Neighborhoods: Computer Survey, 1994-1995."

**Social Participation\*\*\***

**LCCAP\_11    Do you or any household members belong to a church, synagogue, mosque or other religious organization?**

0    *No*

1    *Yes*

8    **[DON'T KNOW / NOT APPLICABLE]**

9    **[REFUSE]**

**LCCAP\_12    Do you or any household members belong to a local political organization?**

**LCCAP\_13    Do you or any household members belong to a block group, tenant association or community council?**

**LCCAP\_14    Do you or any household members belong to a business or civic group like the Chamber of Commerce, Rotary Club, or Elks or Moose lodges?**

**LCCAP\_15    Do you or any household members belong to an ethnic or nationality club in Anchorage? [FOR EXAMPLE, A HISPANIC CLUB or SAMOAN CLUB.]**

**LCCAP\_16    Do you or any household members belong to some sort of neighborhood watch group?**

**\*\*\*All questions directly quoted or adapted from: Earls, Felton (1999). "Project on Human Development in Chicago Neighborhoods: Computer Survey, 1994-1995."**

## LOCAL GOVERNMENT CAPACITY SECTION

### Core Public Services

#### Transition statement...

*The next section deals with local government services. I'm going to read you a list of services provided to the residents of Anchorage by local government. Please tell me how **satisfied** you, yourself, are with the current level of each service: VERY SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED, or NOT AT ALL SATISFIED.*

**LGCAP\_1      The first one is: fire service. Would you say you are: VERY SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED, or NOT AT ALL SATISFIED?**

- 1    *Very satisfied;*
- 2    *Somewhat satisfied;*
- 3    *Somewhat dissatisfied; or*
- 4    *Not at all satisfied.*

**8    [DK/NA]**

**9    [REFUSE]**

**LGCAP\_2      The next one is: emergency medical services?**

**LGCAP\_3      What about police services?**

**LGCAP\_4      K-12 education?**

**LGCAP\_5      The PEOPLE MOVER bus system?**



## Neighborhood-specific Services

### Transition statement...

*The next few items address services specific to **your neighborhood**. How would you rate the following services provided **in your neighborhood** over the past 12 months: EXCELLENT, PRETTY GOOD, AVERAGE, or POOR.*

**LGCAP\_6**      The first one is: garbage collection. Would you rate garbage collection in your neighborhood as EXCELLENT, PRETTY GOOD, AVERAGE, or POOR?

- 1    *Excellent;*
- 2    *Pretty good;*
- 3    *Average; or*
- 4    *Poor.*

8    [DK/NA]

9    [REFUSE]

**LGCAP\_7**      What about: snow removal?

**LGCAP\_8**      Recreational facilities?

**LGCAP\_9**      What about: the overall condition of streets and roadways in your neighborhood?

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE CAPACITY SECTION

### Transition statement...

*Next, I'd like to talk with you about some important crime and justice issues. I'm going to read you a short list of criminal justice participants and institutions. Please tell me how you would rate each one with respect to treating people fairly: EXCELLENT, PRETTY GOOD, AVERAGE, or POOR.*

[GoTo CJCAP\_1]

**CJCAP\_1**      The first one is: police. Would you rate Anchorage police as: EXCELLENT, PRETTY GOOD, AVERAGE or POOR with respect to treating people fairly?

- 1 *Excellent;*
- 2 *Pretty good;*
- 3 *Average; or*
- 4 *Poor.*

8 [DK/NA]

9 [REFUSE]

**CJCAP\_2**      What about: prosecutors?

**CJCAP\_3**      Defense attorneys?

**CJCAP\_4**      Judges?

**CJCAP\_5**      And, what about: jail/prison guards?

**CJCAP\_6**      Parole/probation officers?

**CJCAP\_7**      Do you think criminal justice officials in your community treat all races fairly, or do they tend to treat some racial groups unfairly? Would you say they:

- 0 *Treat all races fairly; or*
- 1 *Treat one or more racial groups unfairly?*

8 [DK/NA]

9 [REFUSE]

**CJCAP\_8**      Overall, how would you rate the criminal justice system in terms of being helpful and friendly: EXCELLENT, PRETTY GOOD, AVERAGE, or POOR?

- 1 *Excellent;*
- 2 *Pretty good;*
- 3 *Average; or*
- 4 *Poor.*

8 [DK/NA]

9 [REFUSE]

\* Survey items CJCAP\_1 thru CJCAP\_6, and CJCAP\_8 adapted from: *The Harris Poll* (cited in "Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics." Albany, NY: The Hindelang Criminal Justice Research Center.)

\*\*Survey item CJCAP\_7 adapted from: *The Harris Poll* (cited in "Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics." Albany, NY: The Hindelang Criminal Justice Research Center.)

## Transition statement...

*Based on what you have read or heard, or any personal experiences, how effective do you think the overall criminal justice system is in each of the following areas:*

*The response categories we'll be using are: VERY EFFECTIVE, SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE, NOT VERY EFFECTIVE, or NOT EFFECTIVE AT ALL.*

**CJCAP\_9**      **The first area is: catching people suspected of committing crimes. Would you say the criminal justice system, in general, is: VERY EFFECTIVE, SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE, NOT VERY EFFECTIVE, or NOT EFFECTIVE AT ALL?**

- 1 *Very effective;*
- 2 *Somewhat effective;*
- 3 *Not very effective; or*
- 4 *Not effective at all.*

**8 [DK/NA]**

**9 [REFUSE]**

**CJCAP\_10**      **Charging and trying people accused of crime?**

**CJCAP\_11**      **Reaching “just” outcomes at criminal trials?**

**CJCAP\_12**      **Reducing the amount of crime?**

**CJCAP\_13**      **In general, do you think the criminal justice system deals too harshly or not harshly enough with criminals?**

0 *Not harshly enough; or*

1 *Too harshly.*

**2 [JUST RIGHT]**

**8 [DK/NA]**

**9 [REFUSE]**

\* Survey items CJCAP\_9 thru CJCAP\_12 adapted from: U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Statistics, *Public Attitudes Toward the Uses of Criminal History Information* (cited in “Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics.” Albany, NY: The Hindelang Criminal Justice Research Center.)

\*\* Survey item CJCAP\_13 adapted from: National Opinion Research Center, *General Social Surveys, 1972-2000* (cited in “Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics.” Albany, NY: The Hindelang Criminal Justice Research Center.)

**RESEARCH IN FOCUS SECTION:  
Anchorage Police Department**

**Transition statement...**

*The next section is focused exclusively on your views regarding the level and quality of **police service** provided to Anchorage residents. How would you rate the police in Anchorage on each of the following:*

*The rating categories we'll be using are: EXCELLENT, PRETTY GOOD, AVERAGE, or POOR.*

**APD\_1      The first dimension of police service is: responding quickly to calls for help or assistance. Would you say the Anchorage police are: EXCELLENT, PRETTY GOOD, AVERAGE, or POOR?**

- 1    *Excellent;*
- 2    *Pretty good;*
- 3    *Average; or*
- 4    *Poor.*

**8    [DK/NA]**

**9    [REFUSE]**

**APD\_2      What about: NOT using excessive force?**

**APD\_3      Being helpful and friendly?**

**APD\_4      Treating people fairly?**

**APD\_5      Investigating/solving crimes?**

**APD\_6      Preventing crime?**

**APD\_7      Please tell me how much CONFIDENCE you, yourself, have in the Anchorage Police Department: A GREAT DEAL, QUITE A LOT, SOME, VERY LITTLE, or NONE.**

- 1    *A great deal;*
- 2    *Quite a lot;*
- 3    *Some;*
- 4    *Very little; or*
- 5    *None.*

**8    [DK/NA]**

**9    [REFUSE]**

\* Survey items APD\_1 thru APD\_6 adapted from: *The Harris Poll* (cited in "Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics." Albany, NY: The Hindelang Criminal Justice Research Center.)

\*\* Survey item APD\_7 adapted from: *The Gallup Poll* (cited in "Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics." Albany, NY: The Hindelang Criminal Justice Research Center.)

**APD\_8** Please tell me how **ACCESSIBLE** you think the Anchorage Police Department is. By “accessible” I mean the degree to which the services of APD are made available to you: **VERY ACCESSIBLE, SOMEWHAT ACCESSIBLE, SOMEWHAT INACCESSIBLE, or NOT AT ALL ACCESSIBLE.**

- 1 *Very accessible;*
- 2 *Somewhat accessible;*
- 3 *Somewhat inaccessible; or*
- 4 *Not at all accessible.*

**8 [DK/NA]**

**9 [REFUSE]**

**APD\_9** Have you, yourself, come into contact with an Anchorage police officer for any reason in the past 12 months?

0 *No;* [GoTo APD\_11]

1 *Yes.* [GoTo APD\_10]

**8 [DK/NA]**

**9 [REFUSE]**

**APD\_10** Thinking about your most recent experience with an APD officer, would you, yourself, characterize the officers’ behavior as **COMPETENT**? By “competent” I mean the officer handled things in a manner you thought was appropriate for the situation.

0 *No;* [GoTo APD\_11]

1 *Yes.* [GoTo APD\_11]

**8 [DK/NA]**

**9 [REFUSE]**

**APD\_11** Has anyone you know well, such as a family member or a close friend, come into contact with an Anchorage police officer for any reason in the past 12 months?

0 *No;*

1 *Yes.*

**8 [DK/NA]**

**9 [REFUSE]**

## RESPONDENT DEMOGRAPHICS SECTION

### Transition statement...

*For this next section of the survey, I'm going to ask you some questions that are only used by researchers at the University to compare responses. The answers you provide will be kept in the **strictest confidence**, in accordance with state and federal laws governing the protection of human subjects.*

*If there are any questions that you do not want to answer, please let me know and we'll move on to another item.*

#### D\_1      **What is your gender?**

0   *Female; or*

1   *Male?*

8   **[DK/NA]**

9   **[REFUSE]**

#### D\_2      ***What is the nearest street intersection or crossroads to your residence?***

[RECORD STREET INTERSECTION REPORTED BY RESPONDENT.]

#### D\_3      ***Are you of Hispanic or Latino/a background or origin?***

0 = *No*

1 = *Yes*

8 = [DK/NA]

9 = [REFUSE]

#### D\_4      ***What race or ethnicity would you say best describes you?***

1 = *Alaska Native or American Indian;*

2 = *Asian;*

3 = *Black or African American;*

4 = *Native Hawaiian, Samoan, or Other Pacific Islander; or*

5 = *White or Caucasian?*

6 = [OTHER >>> SPECIFY]

8 = [DK/NA]

9 = [REFUSE]

**D\_5**

***What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed?***

- 1 = *High school or GED;*
- 2 = *One or more years of college, but no degree;*
- 3 = *An associate degree;*
- 4 = *A bachelor's degree; or*
- 5 = *A graduate or professional degree?*
- 6 = [NO DEGREE >>> SPECIFY LAST GRADE COMPLETED]
- 8 = [DK/NA]
- 9 = [REFUSE]

**D\_6**

***Did you live in your current house or apartment 5 years ago (Fall 1999)?***

- 0 = *No*
- 1 = *Yes*
- 8 = [DK/NA]
- 9 = [REFUSE]

**D\_7**

***What is your current work status?***

- 1 = *Currently on active military status;*
- 2 = *Working full-time, that is, 35 or more hours per week in one or more jobs, including self-employment;*
- 3 = *Working part-time;*
- 4 = *Have a job, but out due to illness/leave/furlough/or strike;*
- 5 = *Have seasonal work, but currently not working;*
- 6 = *Unemployed or laid off and looking for work;*
- 7 = *Unemployed and not looking for work;*
- 8 = *Full-time homemaker;*
- 9 = *In school only;*
- 10 = *Retired; or*
- 11 = *Disabled for work?*
- 12 = [OTHER]
- 88 = [DK/NA]
- 99 = [REFUSE]

**D\_8**

***How many household members currently live in your residence?***

[OPEN END >>> RECORD ACTUAL NUMBER (i.e., “3” rather than “three”)]

**D\_9**

***Which of the following categories would you say **BEST** approximates the total earned income for your entire household last year?***

- 1 = *Less than \$15,000;*
- 2 = *At least \$15,000, but less than \$25,000;*
- 3 = *At least \$25,000, but less than \$50,000;*
- 4 = *Between \$50,000 and \$60,000;*
- 5 = *At least \$60,000, but less than \$80,000; or*
- 6 = *More than \$80,000?*
- 8 = [DK/NA]
- 9 = [REFUSE]



## **Survey Addenda**



## **ADDENDUM #1: PERCEIVED DETERRENCE**

**PSN\_1.** *“A person carrying a gun illegally being caught by authorities.” Do you think this would be very likely, somewhat likely, neither likely nor unlikely, somewhat unlikely or very unlikely to occur?*

1 = *Very likely*

2 = *Somewhat likely*

3 = *Neither likely nor unlikely*

4 = *Somewhat unlikely*

5 = *Very unlikely*

8 = [DK/NA]

9 = [REFUSE]

**PSN\_2.** *“A person caught committing a gun crime being prosecuted for that offense.”*

**PSN\_3.** *“A person who is caught committing a gun crime being prosecuted swiftly.”*

**PSN\_4.** *“A person who is charged with a gun crime being convicted in a state court.”*

**PSN\_5.** *“A person who is charged with a gun crime being convicted in a federal court.”*

**PSN\_6.** *“A prosecutor allowing a gun offender to plead guilty to a less serious offense in order to get a conviction.”*

**PSN\_7.** *“A person convicted of a gun crime receiving a long prison sentence.”*

**PSN\_8** *Prior to taking this survey, did you know that a person with a prior conviction for a felony crime can be sentenced to federal prison for possessing a firearm?*

0 = *No*

1 = *Yes*

8 = [DK/NA]

9 = [REFUSE]

**PSN\_9.** *Prior to taking this survey, did you know that a person with a prior conviction for a misdemeanor crime of domestic violence, or a person who has an active domestic violence protective order issued to them, could be sentenced to federal prison for possessing a firearm?*

**PSN\_10.** *Have you ever heard the phrase, “HARD TIME FOR GUN CRIME?”*

## **ADDENDUM #2: YOUTH VIOLENCE**

**YV\_1.** *Youth in your neighborhood are generally disrespectful toward adults. Would you say you - - STRONGLY AGREE; AGREE; NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE; DISAGREE; or STRONGLY DISAGREE?*

1 = *Strongly agree*

2 = *Agree*

3 = *Neither agree nor disagree*

4 = *Disagree*

5 = *Strongly disagree*

8 = [DK/NA]

9 = [REFUSE]

**YV\_2.** *Youth hanging out on the streets are intimidating. Would you say you - - STRONGLY AGREE; AGREE; NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE; DISAGREE; or STRONGLY DISAGREE?*

**YV\_3.** *In general, people tend to think there is more youth violence in Anchorage than there really is.*

**YV\_4.** *Compared to this time last year, there are fewer acts of street violence committed by youth in Anchorage.*

**YV\_5.** *In general, would say there is more or less youth violence in your neighborhood than there was at this time one year ago?*

0 = *Less*

1 = *More*

2 = [SAME / NO CHANGE]

8 = [DK/NA]

9 = [REFUSE]

**YV\_6.** *Please tell me how much concern you, yourself, have that you will be victimized by someone under the age of 18 - - A GREAT DEAL, QUITE A LOT, SOME, VERY LITTLE, or NONE.*

1 = *A great deal*

2 = *Quite a lot*

3 = *Some*

4 = *Very little*

5 = *None*

8 = [DK/NA]

9 = [REFUSE]

\* Survey item YV\_5 adapted from: *The Gallup Poll* (cited in "Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics." Albany, NY: The Hindelang Criminal Justice Research Center.)

**YV\_7.** *How much of a problem would you say youth violence is in your neighborhood?*  
*Would you say it is a - - VERY BIG PROBLEM; A BIG PROBLEM; SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM; or NOT A PROBLEM AT ALL?*

- 1 = *A very big problem*
- 2 = *A big problem*
- 3 = *Somewhat of a problem*
- 4 = *Not a problem at all*

8 = [DK/NA]

9 = [REFUSE]

**YV\_8.** *In general, do you think the juvenile justice system deals too harshly or not harshly enough with juvenile delinquents?*

- 0 = *Not harshly enough*
- 1 = *Too harshly*

2 = [JUST RIGHT]

8 = [DK/NA]

9 = [REFUSE]

\* Survey item YV\_8 adapted from: The National Opinion Research Center, *General Social Surveys 1972-2000* (cited in "Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics." Albany, NY: The Hindelang Criminal Justice Research Center.)

### **ADDENDUM #3: MUNICIPAL FISCAL POLICY POLL**

**MUNI\_1.** *The first issue is tax efficiency. In general, would you, yourself, say that Anchorage taxpayers get their money's worth when it comes to municipal services?*

0 = No

1 = Yes

8 = [DK/NA]

9 = [REFUSE]

**MUNI\_2.** *Would you, yourself, favor or oppose enhanced taxes on alcoholic beverages in order to raise funds for more city services such as public safety?*

0 = Oppose

1 = Favor

8 = [DK/NA]

9 = [REFUSE]

**MUNI\_3.** *In your view, which of the following would be the BEST way to fund parks and recreation services in Anchorage?*

1 = Property taxes

2 = User fees

3 = Private donations

4 = Sales tax; or

5 = Some other kind of tax? >>> [SPECIFY]

8 = [DK/NA]

9 = [REFUSE]

**MUNI\_4.** *Of the four remaining choices, which would you say would be the WORST way to fund parks and recreation services in Anchorage?*

1 = Property taxes

2 = User fees

3 = Private donations

4 = Sales tax; or

5 = Some other kind of tax? >>> [SPECIFY]

8 = [DK/NA]

9 = [REFUSE]

**MUNI\_5.** *In your view, which of the following parks and recreation programs are **MOST** needed in your area?*

- 1 = Undeveloped natural open space
- 2 = Minimal park development, such as playgrounds
- 3 = Highly developed park facilities
- 4 = Athletic facilities, such as a community recreation center
- 5 = Multi-use trails

6 = [OTHER, SPECIFY]

8 = [DK/NA]

9 = [REFUSE]

*These last few questions have to do with your use of city services.*

**MUNI\_6.** *In the past 12 months have you, yourself, requested or used **EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES** for any reason?*

0 = No [GoTo Muni\_8]

1 = Yes [GoTo Muni\_7]

8 = [DK/NA]

9 = [REFUSE]

**MUNI\_7.** *Thinking about your most recent experience with EMS, would you describe your experience as “satisfactory?”*

0 = No

1 = Yes

8 = [DK/NA]

9 = [REFUSE]

**MUNI\_8.** *In the past 12 months has a member of your family or a close personal friend, requested or used **EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES** for any reason?*

0 = No

1 = Yes

8 = [DK/NA]

9 = [REFUSE]

**MUNI\_9.** *Have you, yourself, ridden on a **PEOPLE MOVER** bus in the past year?*

**MUNI\_10.** *Finally, are you the parent or guardian of any children currently enrolled in an Anchorage School District school?*

#### **ADDENDUM #4: ANCHORAGE PUBLIC HEALTH ISSUES**

**HLTH\_1.** *The first potential public health problem is: substance abuse. By “substance abuse” I mean the improper or excessive use of drugs, including alcohol and tobacco. In general, would you say that substance abuse is a VERY BIG PROBLEM; A BIG PROBLEM; SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM; or, NOT A PROBLEM AT ALL IN ANCHORAGE?*

- 1 = A very big problem
- 2 = A big problem
- 3 = Somewhat of a problem
- 4 = Not a problem at all

8 = [DK/NA]

9 = [REFUSE]

**HLTH\_2.** *The second potential public health problem is: obesity. By “obesity” I mean a human medical condition characterized by excessive body fat. Would you say that obesity is a VERY BIG PROBLEM; A BIG PROBLEM; SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM; or, NOT A PROBLEM AT ALL IN ANCHORAGE?*

**HLTH\_3.** *How about: a lack of health insurance? Would you say that a lack of health insurance is a VERY BIG PROBLEM; A BIG PROBLEM; SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM; or, NOT A PROBLEM AT ALL IN ANCHORAGE?*

**HLTH\_4.** *What about: domestic violence? By “domestic violence” I mean the physical, sexual or psychological abuse of intimate partners and family members, including, children and the elderly.*

**HLTH\_5.** *On a scale between 0 and 5, where zero means ABSOLUTELY UNHEALTHY and five means PERFECTLY HEALTHY, how would you rate the overall “community health” of Anchorage?*

[OPEN END >>> ENTER EXACT NUMBER GIVEN BY RESPONDENT]

**HLTH\_6.** *On a scale between 0 and 5, where zero means ABSOLUTELY UNHEALTHY and five means PERFECTLY HEALTHY, how would you rate your own level of health?*

[OPEN END >>> ENTER EXACT NUMBER GIVEN BY RESPONDENT]

**HLTH\_7.** *In general, how big of a problem would you say suicide is in Anchorage? Would you say it’s a VERY BIG PROBLEM; A BIG PROBLEM; SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM; or, NOT A PROBLEM AT ALL IN ANCHORAGE?*

- 1 = A very big problem
- 2 = A big problem
- 3 = Somewhat of a problem
- 4 = Not a problem at all

8 = [DK/NA]

9 = [REFUSE]



**HLTH\_8.** *What about accidental injuries? That is, injuries not caused on purpose by either the person who was hurt or someone else. Would you say it's a VERY BIG PROBLEM; A BIG PROBLEM; SOMEWHAT OF A PROBLEM; or, NOT A PROBLEM AT ALL IN ANCHORAGE?*

**HLTH\_9.** *Do you, yourself, currently have health insurance? By "health insurance" I mean insurance coverage which provides payment of benefits to assist with financial losses resulting from sickness or accidental bodily injury.*

0 = No [GoTo HLTH\_12]

1 = Yes [GoTo HLTH\_10]

8 = [DK/NA]

9 = [REFUSE]

**HLTH\_10.** *Would you describe your current insurance program as publicly provided – for example insurance provided by Medicare/Veterans Administration/Indian Health Service – or privately purchased – for example, insurance provided by your employer?*

0 = Public insurance [GoTo HLTH\_11]

1 = Private insurance [GoTo HLTH\_11]

8 = [DK/NA]

9 = [REFUSE]

**HLTH\_11.** *What is the name of the insurance plan you currently have?*

[OPEN END >>> RECORD, VERBATIM, THE NAME OF INSURANCE PLAN REPORTED BY RESPONDENT]

**HLTH\_12.** *Finally, within the past year, were you and your family able to get needed healthcare?*

0 = No

1 = Yes

8 = [DK/NA]

9 = [REFUSE]

**END OF SURVEY**



